

2021

SCAN Policies Database State Profile 2021: Vermont

Overview of the SCAN Policies Database

The State Child Abuse and Neglect (SCAN) Policies Database compiles data on the definitions and policies that states use in their surveillance of child maltreatment, along with data on associated risk and protective factors. The SCAN Policies Database is funded by the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation in collaboration with the Children's Bureau in the Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Mathematica leads this project in partnership with Child Trends.

The project's purpose is to review and compile information from states' definitions and policies to create a database of those definitions and policies that can be used for analysis. The SCAN Policies Database is a resource for researchers, analysts, child welfare agency staff, and others interested in examining differences between states in their definitions and policies on child maltreatment and how they change over time.

Content

The scope of the SCAN Policies Database includes information about state definitions and policies related to child abuse and neglect for all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. The SCAN Policies Database team gathered this information through a document collection, review, and coding process. The team obtained input from states on data collection through a confirmation and verification process. More information about these data collection procedures can be found in the data user's guide and data collection protocol, accessible at https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources.

The SCAN Policies Database 2021 represents data collected, reviewed, and verified between July 2021 and January 2022. The data reflect the state definitions and policies for the calendar year 2021. The scope of topics in the database includes states' definitions of child abuse and neglect, as well as information about policies related to reporting, screening, and investigating child maltreatment. Key aspects of the child welfare systems' response and context are also included.

The content in the SCAN Policies Database is organized into six domains. The state profiles, codebook, data collection protocol, and data file are also organized by these domains. In the protocol, each question begins with a letter prefix identifying the domain for each variable. The six domains with the identifying protocol number prefix are listed below.

| Domain | Question prefix |
|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Definitions | D |
| Reporting | R |
| Screening | S |
| Investigation | I |
| Child welfare response | W |
| Child welfare system context | C |

State profile

This SCAN Policies Database state profile is a summary of the information collected about the definitions and policies for the identified state. It is organized by six domains, with each section containing a set of tables that depict the state's information for all variables within that domain.

The state profile presents data for each variable with "yes," "no," "unknown," or other response as appropriate. The response of "unknown" appears for topics that could not be located from the state's available resources or verified with that state. In some cases, "logical skip" appears when a question was not applicable to a particular state, given a related response on a preceding question.

Data use resources

Several data use resources are available to support users of the SCAN Policies Database:

- Data user's guide: The guide has detailed information about the data set, including the process used to collect and review the data, the scope of information included in the data set, guidance on using the data, such as how to link the data with other data sources, and notes about specific topics. The data user's guide includes appendices with information on corrections to the SCAN Policies Database 2019 and a summary of changes to the data between 2019 and 2021 that reflect changes to state laws and policies during that time period. There is one data user's guide that is updated and applicable for all rounds of data collection for the SCAN Policies Database.
- **Codebook:** The codebook provides information about each variable in the data set, including variable names, labels, definitions, protocol number, variable type, and frequencies. The codebook includes several appendices, including a list of all sources used to review and code data. There are separate codebooks to summarize the data from each round of data collection.
- **Data collection protocol:** The protocol has the questions used to collect information about states' statutes and policies as part of the data review and coding process. There are separate data collection protocols for each round of data collection for the SCAN Policies Database.

These data use resources can be found on the SCAN Policies Database website (<u>https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources</u>) or from the National Data Archive for Child Abuse and Neglect (NDACAN) (<u>https://www.ndacan.acf.hhs.gov/</u>).

More information

More information about the SCAN Policies Database can be found at <u>https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com</u>. General inquiries can be submitted to <u>SCANPoliciesDatabase@mathematica-mpr.com</u>.

State identifying information

Table I. State identifying information

| | | Response |
|---|--|-----------|
| a. | State abbreviation | VT |
| b. State Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) code 50 | | 50 |
| C. | Census region code | Northeast |
| d. | State verified coding of information | No |
| e. | State confirmed documents reviewed | Yes |
| f. | State definitions and policies for calendar year | 2021 |
| g. | Data version | 2021v1 |

Domain D: Definitions of child maltreatment

Table II. State's definition of child maltreatment

| | | Response |
|----|--|----------|
| 1. | Types of maltreatment included in state definition | · |
| a. | Physical abuse | Yes |
| b. | Excessive corporal punishment | Yes |
| C. | Sexual abuse | Yes |
| d. | Emotional maltreatment | Yes |
| e. | Neglect | Yes |
| f. | Inadequate clothing | Yes |
| g. | Inadequate shelter | Yes |
| h. | Malnourishment, inadequate food | Yes |
| i. | Medical neglect, inadequate medical care | Yes |
| j. | Failure to thrive | Yes |
| k. | Educational neglect | Yes |
| ١. | Abandonment | Yes |
| m. | Injurious environment. Likelihood of harm to child's health, physical well-being | Yes |
| n. | Drug lab. Child present within structure where methamphetamine is being created | Yes |
| 0. | Inadequate supervision. Failure to meet parent or caretaker responsibilities | Yes |
| p. | Drug or alcohol misuse. Parental drug or alcohol misuse causing harm to child | Yes |
| q. | Prenatal exposure to drugs or alcohol | Yes |
| r. | Illicit substance. Illegally providing a controlled substance to a child | Yes |
| S. | Human trafficking, involuntary servitude, sexual servitude | Yes |
| t. | Female genital mutilation | Yes |
| u. | Shaken baby syndrome, abusive head trauma | Yes |
| ۷. | Failure to protect. Failure to protect from harm | Yes |
| w. | Domestic violence. Exposure to domestic violence | Yes |
| х. | Factitious disorder by proxy | Yes |
| у. | Institutional abuse/neglect | No |
| Ζ. | Other definition (specify) | No |

Table II (continued)

| | | Response |
|----|--|---|
| 2. | Subtypes of maltreatment included in state definition | |
| a. | Subtypes of maltreatment considered abuse | Medical child abuse includes factitious disorder by proxy |
| b. | Subtypes of maltreatment considered neglect | Neglect includes medical neglect, inadequate clothing, inadequate shelter, and malnourishment |
| C. | Subtypes of maltreatment considered other than abuse or neglect | Not applicable |
| 3. | Level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. | Inflicts harm | Yes |
| b. | Imminent danger or substantial risk of harm | Yes |
| 4. | Differences in level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment by type of maltreatment | No |
| 5. | Type of harm or injury specified in state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. | Death, bodily injury, impairment of physical condition | Yes |
| b. | Impairment of mental or emotional condition | Yes |
| c. | Harmful environment, conditions | Yes |
| d. | Type of harm or injury not specified | No |
| e. | Other (specify) | No |
| 6. | Variation in extent of injury or harm by maltreatment type in the state's definition of child maltreatment | Νο |
| 7. | Perpetrator identified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment | Yes |
| 8. | Types of perpetrators specified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. | Any adult | Yes |
| b. | Parent | Yes |
| c. | Guardian | Yes |
| d. | Caregiver/caretaker | Yes |
| e. | Family member/parent/paramour | Yes |
| f. | Household member | Yes |
| g. | Person responsible for child | Yes |
| h. | Other (specify) | Yes - Any other person, whether an adult or a minor |

Table II (continued)

| | Response |
|---|--|
| 9. Types of perpetrators vary by type of maltreatment | Yes |
| 10. Explanation of variation in types of perpetrator by maltreatment type | For sexual abuse, the perpetrator can be a person responsible to care for a child or any other person, whether an adult or a minor |
| 11. Child age included in definition of child maltreatment | Yes |
| 12. Specific child age in definition of child maltreatment | Under age 18 with variability under 18 |
| 13. Variability of child age by type of maltreatment | Yes - Educational neglect applies to children age 6 through grade 6 |

Table III. Child maltreatment definition exemptions

| | | Response: Yes/No/Unknown |
|----|--|------------------------------|
| 1. | Exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. | Financial issues, financial inability to provide for a child | Yes |
| b. | Discipline; physical discipline, as long as it is reasonable and causes no bodily injury to the child | Yes |
| C. | Safe haven exemption; newborn relinquished or abandoned in accordance with infant safe haven laws | Yes |
| d. | Infant testing positive for drugs – medical; newborn with positive test for controlled substance as a result of parent's medical treatment | No |
| e. | Religious observance; parent relies on spiritual or religious forms of medical treatment | Yes |
| f. | Other exemption (specify) | No |
| 2. | Safe haven exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. | Must leave a child at specific safe haven locations | Yes |
| b. | Child must be left by parent or parent's agent | Yes |
| C. | Child must be left by a certain age (specify) | Yes - 30 days old or younger |
| d. | No intent to return | No |
| e. | Child must be left unharmed | Yes |
| f. | Other (specify) | No |

| Table IV. Definitions and response for child fatalities and near-fatalities cases |
|---|
|---|

| | | Response: Yes/No/Unknown |
|----|---|-----------------------------|
| 1. | State's definition of fatalities or deaths caused by child maltreatment | |
| a. | Not specified/not defined | No |
| b. | Injury from abuse or neglect caused death | Yes |
| C. | Abuse or neglect was contributing factor in death | No |
| d. | Death of child was in child welfare custody/foster care | No |
| e. | Other (specify) | No |
| f. | Unknown | No |
| 2. | State conducts case reviews with a child fatality review team or a similar review process for fatalities caused by child abuse or neglect | |
| a. | No case review or review process | No |
| b. | Reviews are required of all or some cases | No |
| C. | Reviews can be conducted but are not required | Yes |
| d. | Other (specify) | No |
| e. | Unknown | No |
| 3. | State's definition of near-fatalities or near-deaths caused by child maltreatment | |
| a. | Not specified/not defined | No |
| b. | General reference to a serious or critical condition/injury that is life threatening with a substantial risk of death | Yes |
| C. | Specific injury or specific medical treatment/intervention (specify) | No |
| d. | Other (specify) | No |
| e. | Unknown | No |
| 4. | State conducts case reviews with a review team or similar review process for near-fatalities caused by child abuse or neglect | |
| a. | No case review or review process | No |
| b. | Reviews are required of all or some cases | No |
| C. | Reviews can be conducted but are not required | Yes |
| d. | Other (specify) | No |
| | Unknown | No |

Domain R: Reporting child abuse and neglect

Table V. Reporting policies

| | Response |
|---|------------------------------|
| 1. Statewide centralized reporting | Yes |
| 2. How reporting is decentralized | |
| a. Each county or region has its own reporting hotline | Logical Skip |
| b. Some counties or regions have their own reporting hotline | Logical Skip |
| c. During some times of the day, counties or regions have their own reporting hotline | g Logical Skip |
| d. Other (specify) | Logical Skip |
| 3. Standard for reporting child maltreatment | |
| a. Known abuse and neglect | No |
| b. Reasonable cause to believe a child was abused or neglected | Yes |
| 4. Universal mandated reporting | No |
| 5. Required training for mandated reporters | No |
| 6. Penalties for failure to report | Yes, some mandated reporters |
| 7. Specific penalties for failure to report | |
| a. Criminal charges | Yes |
| b. Civil charges | No |
| c. Professional licensure suspended or revoked | No |
| d. Other (specify) | No |
| 8. Penalties for false reporting | No |
| 9. Specific penalties for false reporting | |
| a. Criminal charges | Logical Skip |
| b. Civil charges | Logical Skip |
| c. Professional license suspended or revoked | Logical Skip |
| d. Other (specify) | Logical Skip |
| e. Unknown | Logical Skip |
| 10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect | Yes |
| 11. Information requested at the time of report | |
| a. Identifying information of child | Yes |
| b. Location/contact information of child and family | Yes |
| c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment | Yes |
| d. Date of suspected maltreatment | No |
| e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s) | No |
| f. Identifying and other information of reporter | Yes |
| g. Identifying information of child's parents, guardian, or caregiver/caretaker | Yes |
| h. Identifying and other information of family/household members | Yes |
| i. Information on prior maltreatment | Yes |
| j. Other (specify) | No |
| 12. Anonymity of reporter | |
| a. All reporters (including mandated reports) can stay anonymous | Yes |

Table V (continued)

| | Response |
|--|----------|
| b. The general public can be anonymous (mandated reporters cannot remain anonymous) | No |
| c. Reporters (including mandated reporters) cannot be anonymous | No |
| d. Unknown | No |
| 13. Tribal involvement in accepting reports of tribal cases | |
| a. Tribes are not involved | No |
| b. Tribes accept reports (specify tribes) | No |
| Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency to accept reports (specify tribes) | No |
| Tribes accept reports and collaborate with state/local public child welfare agency (specify tribes) | No |
| e. Unknown | Yes |
| 14. State requires all notifications of substance-exposed newborns (SENs) to be submitted as reports of child maltreatment | |
| State does not require all cases of SENs to be reported for child maltreatment, but they could be reported if they meet certain criteria | Yes |
| b. State requires all SENs to be reported as child maltreatment | No |
| c. Other (specify) | No |
| d. Unknown | No |
| 15. Accepts reports of risk without an allegation of child maltreatment (risk-only reports) | |
| a. No | Yes |
| b. Yes | No |
| c. Other (specify) | No |
| d. Unknown | No |

Table VI. Types of mandated reporters

| | | Response | Response | Response |
|----|--|---|--------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Types of mandated reporters | Included in state's definition of mandated reporters | Training required ¹ | Subject to penalties for failure to report ² |
| a. | Foster parents | Yes | Logical Skip | No |
| b. | School staff - teachers | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| C. | School bus drivers or other transportation staff | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| d. | Before- /after-school program staff | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| e. | Child care staff | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| f. | Camp counselors, directors, or administrators | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| g. | Athletic coaches or staff | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| h. | Medical or dental professionals | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| i. | Substance abuse disorder treatment providers | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| j. | Mental health, counselors, or other social service professionals | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| k. | Police or other law enforcement | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| I. | Emergency medical technicians, firefighters, or other emergency personnel | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| m. | Judges | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| n. | District attorneys or other attorneys | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| 0. | Guardian ad litems or court-appointed special advocates | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| p. | Other court personnel | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| q. | Shelter staff | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| r. | Those who work in fields processing or monitoring print, film, or computer images | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| S. | Religious clergy | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| t. | Volunteers | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| u. | Coroners or medical examiners | No | No | No |
| ۷. | Staff or contractors of state and county agencies | Yes | No | Yes |
| w. | Other type of mandated reporter (specify) | Yes - Social workers | Logical Skip | Yes - Social workers |

Table VI (continued)

¹Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no training is required for mandated reporters (Table V.5). Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table V.4) and all mandated reporters require training (Table V.5).

²Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table V.6). Reponses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table V.4) and when all adults or all mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table V.6).

Domain S: Screening reports of child abuse and neglect

Table VII. Screening policies

| | | Response |
|----|---|--------------|
| 1. | Statewide centralized screening | Yes |
| 2. | How screening is decentralized | |
| a. | Each county or region has its own screening unit | Logical Skip |
| b. | Some counties or regions have their own screening units | Logical Skip |
| c. | During certain times of the day, counties or regions have their own screening units | Logical Skip |
| d. | Other (specify) | Logical Skip |
| 3. | Information required to screen in report | |
| a. | Identifying information of child | No |
| b. | Location/contact information of child and family | No |
| C. | Type/severity of suspected maltreatment | Yes |
| d. | Date of suspected maltreatment | No |
| e. | Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s) | No |
| f. | Other (specify) | No |
| g. | Unknown | No |

Table VIII. Screening decision process and activities

| | | Response | Required cases | Conditions or types of cases |
|----|--|----------|------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. | Decision processes used during screening | | | |
| a. | Supervisory review | Yes | Required for all | — |
| b. | Team-based decision | No | Logical Skip | _ |
| c. | Individual screener | No | Logical Skip | — |

Table VIII (continued)

| | Deenenee | Demuined econo | Conditions or |
|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Response | Required cases | types of cases |
| d. Other (specify) | Yes - There | Required for all | — |
| | are 2 stages | | |
| | to the | | |
| | screening process. The | | |
| | first screen | | |
| | occurs at the | | |
| | centralized | | |
| | intake level | | |
| | statewide | | |
| | and the | | |
| | second | | |
| | screen | | |
| | occurs in the | | |
| | district office | | |
| | locally. A | | |
| | supervisor | | |
| | makes the | | |
| | initial | | |
| | determination | | |
| | about report | | |
| | acceptance. | | |
| | If not | | |
| | accepted by | | |
| | the | | |
| | supervisor, a | | |
| | second | | |
| | person | | |
| | (Family | | |
| | Services | | |
| | Worker, | | |
| | another | | |
| | supervisor, | | |
| | District | | |
| | Director, Director of | | |
| | Operations, | | |
| | Sr. Policy | | |
| | and | | |
| | Operations | | |
| | Manager, or | | |
| | Policy and | | |
| | Operations | | |
| | Manager) will | | |
| | screen the | | |
| | report to | | |
| | determine if a | | |
| | report is a | | |
| | valid | | |
| | allegation. | | |
| e. Unknown | No | | <u> </u> |
| C. UNIXIOWII | | | — |

SCAN Policies Database State profile: Vermont

Table VIII (continued)

| | | Desmannes | Domuined coord | Conditions or |
|----|---|---|-------------------|---|
| 2 | | Response | Required cases | types of cases |
| ۷. | Variability of decision process used for screening | | | |
| a. | Consistent statewide | Yes | — | — |
| b. | Varies locally | No | — | — |
| C. | Unknown | No | — | — |
| 3. | Certain activities or information are required as part of screening | Yes | | |
| 4. | Activities/information required as part of screening | | | |
| a. | Safety or risk assessment | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| b. | Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services | Yes | Required for some | If information in the report is insufficient to justify report acceptance or indicates that a family may have had previous child protection involvement, an intake worker will review prior records |
| C. | Other (specify) | No | Logical Skip | Not applicable |
| 5. | Types of safety/risk assessment used during screening | | | |
| a. | Structured decision making | No | — | — |
| b. | Other (specify) | Yes - The type of assessment or procedure is not specified | — | — |
| 6. | Consistency of screening activities/information | | | |
| a. | Consistent statewide | Yes | — | — |
| b. | Varies locally (specify) | No | — | — |
| c. | Unknown | No | _ | _ |

Table IX. Screeners

| | | Response |
|----|--|--|
| 1. | Screener of abuse and neglect reports | |
| a. | Caseworkers (frontline staff) | No |
| b. | Case managers (supervisors) | No |
| C. | Staff in specialized screening unit | Yes |
| d. | Other (specify) | No |
| 2. | Qualifications of screener | |
| a. | Associate's degree | No |
| b. | Bachelor's degree | Yes |
| C. | Master's degree | Yes |
| d. | Training for screening (specify) | No |
| e. | Years of experience (specify) | Yes - 1.5 years of human services casework, including at least 6 months with a child or youth services caseload where duties included direct responsibility for client intake, assessment, plan development, monitoring, service procurement, and case closure in a formal human services delivery system |
| f. | Other (specify) | No |
| g. | Unknown | No |
| 3. | Degree in social work or related field for screeners | |
| a. | Not required | No |
| b. | Required | No |
| C. | Recommended or preferred, but not required | Yes |
| d. | Other (specify) | No |
| e. | Unknown | No |
| 4. | Tribal involvement in screening process of tribal cases | |
| a. | Tribes are not involved | No |
| b. | Tribes conduct screening (specify tribes) | No |
| C. | Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency (specify tribes) | No |
| d. | Tribes conduct screening and collaborate with state/local public child welfare agency (specify tribes) | No |
| e. | Unknown | Yes |

Domain I: Investigations of child abuse and neglect

Table X. Investigations policies

| | | Response |
|----|--|---|
| 1. | Child maltreatment investigations lead to criminal penalties | Yes |
| 2. | Investigator for reports | |
| a. | Caseworkers (frontline staff) | Yes |
| b. | Case managers (supervisors) | Yes |
| C. | Staff in specialized investigations unit | Yes |
| d. | Law enforcement | Yes |
| e. | Other (specify) | No |
| 3. | Qualifications of investigator | |
| a. | Associate's degree | No |
| b. | Bachelor's degree | Yes |
| C. | Master's degree | Yes |
| d. | Training for conducting investigations (specify) | No |
| e. | Years of experience (specify) | Yes - 1.5 years of human services casework, including at least six months with a child or youth services caseload where duties included direct responsibility for client intake, assessment, plan development, monitoring, service procurement, and case closure in a formal human services delivery system |
| f. | Other (specify) | No |
| g. | Unknown | No |
| 4. | Degree in social work or related field for investigators | |
| a. | Not required | No |
| b. | Required | No |
| C. | Recommended or preferred, but not required | Yes |
| d. | Other (specify) | No |
| e. | Unknown | No |
| 5. | Level of evidence required for substantiation (founded/indicated/confirmed) | |
| a. | Preponderance of evidence | No |
| b. | Credible or substantial evidence | No |
| C. | Probable or reasonable cause | Yes |
| d. | Unknown | No |
| 6. | Investigation determination can result in an "inconclusive" finding | |
| a. | No | Yes |
| b. | Yes | No |
| C. | Unknown | No |

Table XI. Required activities/information for investigation

| | | Response | Required cases | Conditions or types of cases |
|----|--|----------|-------------------|--|
| 1. | Certain activities/information required for the investigation process | Yes | | |
| 2. | Specific activities or information required for investigation | | | |
| a. | Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| b. | Visit to child's home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| C. | Interview or observation of child victim | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| d. | Interview or observation of other children living in child's home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| e. | Risk or safety assessment | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| f. | Evaluation of home environment or home study | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| g. | Interviews with child's parents, caregivers, or other adults residing in child's home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| h. | Check of criminal records for adults in home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| i. | Check of child welfare or central registry for prior child maltreatment allegations against adults in home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| j. | Medical evaluation | Yes | Required for some | When child has visible trauma; To determine whether emotional maltreatment resulted in impaired psychological growth and development |
| k. | Mental health evaluation | Yes | Required for some | When sought out by family services worker; To determine whether emotional maltreatment resulted in impaired psychological growth and development |

Table XI (continued)

| | Response | Required cases | Conditions or types of cases |
|--|----------|-------------------|---|
| I. Interview alleged perpetrator | Yes | Required for some | Perpetrator willing to be interviewed; Perpetrator is a minor and their parents give permission; Perpetrator is not the child's parent or caretaker or not residing in the home, and in both cases, has not been interviewed by the police in the context of a joint investigation; Perpetrator can be located; Perpetrator does not present a significant risk to the safety of the child or protective parent; Perpetrator will not be informed of the allegation due to the wishes of the youth victim and approval by the child safety manager |
| m. Interview reporter or collateral source | Yes | Required for some | If it is in the best interest of the child; If interviewing is unreasonable given the circumstances; If the safety of any individual will not be jeopardized |
| n. Other (specify) | No | Logical Skip | Not applicable |

Domain W: Child welfare response

Table XII. Differential or alternative response

| | | Response |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | Differential/alternative response | |
| a. | No | No |
| b. | Yes - implemented statewide | Yes |
| C. | Yes - implemented in specific counties or regions (specify) | No |
| d. | Unknown | No |
| 2. | Types of maltreatment eligible for differential/alternative response | |
| a. | All types of maltreatment are eligible | No |
| b. | Only certain types of maltreatment are eligible | Yes |
| C. | Unknown | No |
| 3. | Types of maltreatment <u>not</u> eligible for differential/alternative response | |
| a. | Cases involving child fatalities | Yes |
| b. | Substance-exposed infants | No |
| C. | Physical abuse | Yes |
| d. | Sexual abuse | Yes |
| e. | Neglect | No |
| f. | Abandoned infants | Yes |
| g. | Other (specify) | Yes - Malicious punishment; Child exposed to methamphetamine production |
| 4. | Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination | |
| a. | No | No |
| b. | Yes | Yes |
| C. | Other (specify) | No |
| d. | Unknown | No |
| 5. | Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response | Unknown |
| 6. | Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response | |
| a. | No risk | No |
| b. | Low risk | No |
| c. | Moderate risk | No |
| d. | Other (specify) | No |
| e. | Unknown | Yes |

Table XII (continued)

| | | Response |
|----|---|--|
| 7. | Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response | |
| a. | No other cases or conditions | No |
| b. | No immediate safety concerns | No |
| C. | No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect | No |
| d. | Other (specify) | Yes - There are 5 factors considered when determining whether to respond with an investigation or an assessment response: (1) there is reason to believe that a child's safety will be jeopardized if parental permission cannot be obtained to interview the child, (2) the nature and severity of the abuse and extent of a child's injury, if any (for example, physical abuse and peer-to-peer sexual abuse can be accepted as an assessment instead of an investigation), (3) the alleged perpetrator's prior history of child abuse or neglect, including history of past reports, investigations or assessments, (4) to the extent known by the reporter, the alleged perpetrator's willingness and capability to accept responsibility for the conduct and engage in a plan of services, (5) any strengths and formal and informal supports and/or resources that are available or exist within the family and community, including resources and supports for people with disabilities if relevant |
| 8. | When is determination made for differential/alternative response | |
| a. | At time of screening to screen out to differential response | No |
| b. | After a report is screened in | Yes |
| C. | Other (specify) | No |
| 9. | Referrals to community services for cases engaged in differential response | |
| a. | No | No |
| b. | Yes - for all cases | No |
| C. | Yes - when families express interest | No |
| d. | Yes - when there is a determination of risk | Yes |
| e. | Yes - other (specify) | No |

| | | Response |
|----|---|--|
| 1. | In-home services provided for unsubstantiated cases to maintain intact families | |
| a. | No | No |
| b. | Yes - implemented statewide | Yes |
| C. | Yes - implemented in specific counties or regions | No |
| d. | Unknown | No |
| 2. | In-home services provided post reunification | |
| a. | No | No |
| b. | Yes - implemented statewide | Yes |
| C. | Yes - implemented in specific counties or regions | No |
| d. | Unknown | No |
| 3. | Tribal involvement in foster care for tribal cases | |
| a. | Tribes do not provide foster care | Yes |
| b. | Tribes provide foster care (specify tribes) | No |
| C. | Unknown | No |
| 4. | Foster care extension for those older than 18 | Yes |
| 5. | Age youth are allowed to remain in extended foster care | |
| a. | Age 21 | No |
| b. | Other (specify) | Yes - 22 |
| 6. | Permanency | |
| a. | Kinship guardianship as a permanency option | Yes |
| b. | Subsidized guardianship | Yes |
| C. | Subsidized kinship guardianship | Yes |
| d. | Subsidized adoption | Yes |
| 7. | Foster care case management staff | |
| a. | State/county public agency staff | Yes |
| b. | Contracted provider staff | No |
| C. | Tribal agency staff | No |
| d. | Unknown | No |
| 8. | Qualifications of foster care case managers | |
| a. | Associate degree | No |
| b. | Bachelor's degree | Yes |
| C. | Master's degree | Yes |
| d. | Training for case management (specify) | No |
| e. | Years of experience (specify) | Yes - 3 years or more of experience in human services work or community resource development for individuals with a bachelor's degree; 1 year or more of experience in human services work or community resource development for individuals with a master's degree |
| | Other (specify) | No |

SCAN Policies Database State profile: Vermont

Table XIII (continued)

| | | Response |
|----|--|----------|
| g. | Unknown | No |
| 9. | Degree in social work or related field for foster care case managers | |
| a. | Not required | No |
| b. | Required | Yes |
| C. | Recommended or preferred, but not required | No |
| d. | Other (specify) | No |
| e. | Unknown | No |

Table XIV. Agency staff dedicated to equity

| | | Response |
|----|--|----------------|
| 1. | Child welfare agency uses staff roles/functions dedicated to addressing disproportionality and/or equity | |
| a. | No staff or roles | Yes |
| b. | Uses cultural brokers | No |
| C. | Uses manager, administrator, or office focused on diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) or multicultural or tribal affairs | Νο |
| d. | Other (specify) | No |
| e. | Unknown | No |
| 2. | Aspects of child welfare process that involve cultural brokers | |
| a. | Screening process | Logical Skip |
| b. | Investigation process | Logical Skip |
| C. | Case management/child welfare response | Logical Skip |
| d. | Other (specify) | Logical Skip |
| e. | Unknown | Logical Skip |
| 3. | Specify other staff roles/job functions dedicated to addressing disproportionality and/or equity | Not applicable |
| 4. | Aspects of child welfare process that involve other staff roles/functions used to address disproportionality and/or equity | |
| a. | Screening process | Logical Skip |
| b. | Investigation process | Logical Skip |
| C. | Case management/child welfare response | Logical Skip |
| d. | Other (specify) | Logical Skip |
| e. | Unknown | Logical Skip |

Domain C: Child welfare system context

Table XV. Child welfare system context

| | | Response |
|----|---|----------|
| 1. | State- or county-administered child welfare system | |
| a. | State administered | Yes |
| b. | County administered | No |
| c. | Hybrid (partially administered by the state and partially administered by the counties) | No |
| d. | Unknown | No |
| 2. | State operates under legal consent decree or other court-ordered monitoring | No |

Supplemental Notes on State

Definitions

Foster or kinship parents are often referred to as caregivers or caretakers, and they are included in the definition of "person responsible for a child's welfare." Any substitute care provider would also be included in this definition.

Although female genital mutilation (FGM) is not specifically defined, reports or risk of FGM (such as plans, plane ticket purchased, etc.) would be accepted. The acceptance criteria may differ depending on whether anything has actually happened (risk of harm or physical abuse).

Reporting

If the state needs to seek court involvement through a Child in Need of Care or Supervision petition, the identity of the reporter would become known in the affidavit. Reporters are informed of this during training.

A member of the public can refuse to give their name and therefore remain anonymous.

Screening

The state screens and accepts reports with missing information (fields are marked "unknown," and more information is collected later):

- 1. Identity, address, or other contact information of the reporter
- 2. Name and address of parents or person responsible for the child's care
- 3. Child's age
- 4. Nature and extent of the child's injuries, together with any evidence of previous abuse and neglect of the child or the child's siblings
- 5. Any other information that might be helpful in establishing the cause of the injuries or reasons for the neglect, as well as in protecting the child and assisting the family

Screener staff are part of a specialized team or unit called Centralized Intake & Emergency Services (CIES).

Screener staff qualifications include a master's degree without any years of experience. Those without a post-baccalaureate degree need to satisfactorily complete 12 to 18 months of experience as a family services worker trainee in the Department for Children and Families.

Investigations

Other activities that could be part of an investigation, but are not required, are taking photographs of trauma visible on a child who is the subject of a report, seeking consultation with a physician, and, if the physician deems it appropriate, giving the child a radiological examination.

For investigation staff with a master's degree do not require experience. For those without a post-baccalaureate degree, satisfactory completion of 12 to 18 months of experience as a family

services worker trainee in the Department for Children and Families fulfills the minimum qualifications requirement.

State Statutes and Policy Documentation Sources

Child Welfare Services, Vt. Stat. Ann. § 33-49 (2021).

Children and Persons Who Are Incompetent, Vt. Stat. Ann. § 13-25 (2021).

Guardianship, Vt. Stat. Ann. § 14-111 (2021).

- University of Vermont Larner College of Medicine. (2020). Overview of the Vermont plan of safe care (POSC) & newborns exposed to substances tracing (NEST) system. Retrieved July 1, 2021 from <u>http://contentmanager.med.uvm.edu/docs/2020_-</u> vchip webinar 3 (2 18 20)/vchip-documents/2020 vchip webinar 3 (2 18 20).pdf?sfvrsn=40c0ca91 2.
- Vermont Agency of Administration Department of Human Resources. (2014). *Job specifications: foster care manager* [job description]. Retrieved January 13, 2022, <u>https://humanresources.vermont.gov/classification-position-management/classification/job-specifications?code=542100</u>.
- Vermont Department for Children and Families. (n.d.). *Child protection & youth justice work*. Retrieved July 1, 2021, from <u>https://dcf.vermont.gov/fsd/career-sw</u>.
- Vermont Department for Children and Families. (n.d.). *Mandated reporters in Vermont*. Retrieved July 1, 2021, from https://dcf.vermont.gov/protection/reporting/mandated/reporters.
- Vermont Department for Children and Families. (n.d.). *Transition age youth*. Retrieved July 1, 2021 from <u>https://dcf.vermont.gov/sites/dcf/files/FSD/Rules/7000.pdf</u>.
- Vermont Department for Children and Families. (2015). *Mandated reporters of child abuse/neglect*. Retrieved July 1, 2021, from https://dcf.vermont.gov/protection/reporting/mandated.
- Vermont Department for Children and Families. (2019). CVR 13-172-200. Retrieved July 1, 2021.
- Vermont Department for Children and Families. (2021). *Family services policy manual*. Retrieved June 30, 2021, from <u>https://dcf.vermont.gov/fsd/policies</u>.
- Vermont Department for Children and Families. (2021). Vermont CAPTA requirements related to newborns exposed to substances during pregnancy. Retrieved January 12, 2022, from https://dcf.vermont.gov/sites/dcf/files/FSD/Docs/CAPTA-Flowchart-VT.pdf.
- Vermont Department for Children and Families. (2021). *Vermont requirements related to substance use during pregnancy*. Retrieved January 12, 2022, from <u>https://dcf.vermont.gov/sites/dcf/files/FSD/Docs/VT-Substance-Use-Pregnancy.pdf</u>.