

SCAN Policies Database State Profile 2023: Nevada

Overview of the SCAN Policies Database

The State Child Abuse and Neglect (SCAN) Policies Database compiles data on the definitions and policies that states use in their surveillance of child maltreatment, along with data on associated risk and protective factors. The SCAN Policies Database is funded by the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation in collaboration with the Children's Bureau in the Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Mathematica leads this project in partnership with Child Trends.

The project's purpose is to review and compile information from states' definitions and policies to create a database of those definitions and policies that can be used for analysis. The SCAN Policies Database is a resource for researchers, analysts, child welfare agency staff, and others interested in examining differences between states in their definitions and policies on child maltreatment and how they change over time.

Content

The scope of the SCAN Policies Database includes information about state definitions and policies related to child abuse and neglect for all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. The SCAN Policies Database team gathered this information through a document collection, review, and coding process. The team obtained input from states on data collection through a confirmation and verification process. More information about these data collection procedures can be found in the data user's guide and data collection protocol, accessible at https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources.

The SCAN Policies Database 2023 represents data collected, reviewed, and verified between June 2023 and July 2024. The data reflect the state definitions and policies for the calendar year 2023. The scope of topics in the database includes states' definitions of child abuse and neglect, as well as information about policies related to reporting, screening, and investigating child maltreatment. Key aspects of the child welfare systems' response and context are also included.

The content in the SCAN Policies Database is organized into six domains. The state profiles, codebook, data collection protocol, and data file are also organized by these domains. In the protocol, each question begins with a letter prefix identifying the domain for each variable. The six domains with the identifying protocol number prefix are listed below.

| Domain | Question prefix | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Definitions | D | |
| Reporting | R | |
| Screening | S | |
| Investigation | l | |
| Child welfare response | W | |
| Child welfare system context | С | |

State profile

This SCAN Policies Database state profile is a summary of the information collected about the definitions and policies for the identified state. Each of the six domains contain a set of tables that depict the state's information for all variables within that domain.

The state profile presents data for each variable with "yes," "no," "unknown," or other response, as appropriate. The response of "unknown" appears for topics that could not be located from the state's available resources or verified with that state. In some cases, "logical skip" appears when a question was not applicable to a particular state, given a related response on a preceding question. Some information was not included in the state profile to ensure alignment with Executive Orders or other Presidential Actions.

Data use resources

Several data use resources are available to support users of the SCAN Policies Database:

- **Data user's guide:** The guide has detailed information about the data set, including the process used to collect and review the data, the scope of information included in the data set, guidance on using the data, such as how to link the data with other data sources, and notes about specific topics. The data user's guide includes appendices, including information about changes to the data between 2021 and 2023 that reflect changes to state laws and policies during that time period. There is one data user's guide that is updated and applicable for all rounds of data collection for the SCAN Policies Database.
- **Codebook:** The codebook provides information about each variable in the data set, including variable names, labels, definitions, protocol number, variable type, and frequencies. There are separate codebooks to summarize the data from each round of data collection.
- Errata statement: The errata statement presents corrections applied to previous rounds of data for the SCAN Policies Database. Starting in 2023, there is one errata statement that is updated and applicable for all rounds of data collection for the SCAN Policies Database.
- **Data collection protocol:** The protocol has the questions used to collect information about states' laws and policies as part of the data review and coding process for each round of data collection. There are separate data collection protocols for each round of data collection for the SCAN Policies Database.

These data use resources can be found on the SCAN Policies Database website (<u>https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources</u>) or from the National Data Archive for Child Abuse and Neglect (NDACAN) (<u>https://www.ndacan.acf.hhs.gov/</u>).

More information

More information about the SCAN Policies Database can be found at <u>https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com</u>. General inquiries can be submitted to <u>SCANPoliciesDatabase@mathematica-mpr.com</u>.

State identifying information

Table I. State Identifying information

| | Response |
|---|----------|
| State abbreviation | NV |
| State Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) code | 32 |
| Census region code | West |
| State verified coding of information | Yes |
| State confirmed documents reviewed | Yes |
| State definitions and policies for calendar year | 2023 |
| Data version | 2023v1 |

Domain D: Definitions of child maltreatment

| | | Response |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1. Types of maltreatment definition | included in state | |
| a. Physical abuse | | Yes |
| b. Excessive corporal pun | ishment | Yes |
| c. Sexual abuse | | Yes |
| d. Emotional maltreatment | | Yes |
| e. Neglect | | Yes |
| f. Inadequate clothing | | Yes |
| g. Inadequate shelter | | Yes |
| h. Malnourishment, inadeo | quate food | Yes |
| i. Medical neglect, inadeo | uate medical care | Yes |
| j. Failure to thrive | | No |
| k. Educational neglect | | Yes |
| I. Abandonment | | Yes |
| m. Injurious environment. L health, physical well-be | ikelihood of harm to child's ing | Yes |
| n. Drug lab. Child present methamphetamine is be | | Yes |
| o. Inadequate supervision caretaker responsibilitie | • | Yes |
| p. Drug or alcohol misuse misuse causing harm to | | Yes |
| q. Prenatal exposure to dr | ugs or alcohol | No |
| r. Illicit substance. Illegally substance to a child | y providing a controlled | No |
| s. Human trafficking, invol servitude | untary servitude, sexual | Yes |
| t. Female genital mutilatio | n | Yes |
| u. Shaken baby syndrome | , abusive head trauma | Yes |
| v. Failure to protect. Failur | e to protect from harm | No |
| w. Domestic violence. Exp | osure to domestic violence | No |
| x. Factitious disorder by p | roxy | No |
| y. Institutional abuse/negle | ect | Yes |
| z. Other definition (specify | () | No |
| 2. Subtypes of maltreatm definition | | |
| a. Subtypes of maltreatmer | nt considered abuse | Notapplicable |
| b. Subtypes of maltreatmer | nt considered neglect | Not applicable |
| c. Subtypes of maltreatmer abuse or neglect | nt considered other than | Not applicable |
| 3. Level of harm included | in state's definition of | |

Yes

Yes

| Table D.I. State's | definition of | of child | maltreatment |
|--------------------|---------------|----------|--------------|
|--------------------|---------------|----------|--------------|

a. Inflicts harm

child maltreatment

b. Imminent danger or substantial risk of harm

SCAN Policies Database State profile: Nevada

Table D.I (continued)

| | | Response |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|
| 4. | Differences in level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment by type of maltreatment | No |
| 5. | Type of harm or injury specified in state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. | Death, bodily injury, impairment of physical condition | Yes |
| b. | Impairment of mental or emotional condition | Yes |
| C. | Harmful environment, conditions | Yes |
| d. | Type of harm or injury not specified | No |
| e. | Other (specify) | No |
| 6. | Variation in extent of injury or harm by maltreatment type in the state's definition of child maltreatment | No |
| 7. | Perpetrator identified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment | Yes |
| 8. | Types of perpetrators specified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. | Any person | No |
| b. | Parent | Yes |
| c. | Guardian | Yes |
| d. | Caregiver/caretaker | Yes |
| e. | Family member or parent's paramour | No |
| f. | Household member | Yes |
| g. | Person responsible for child | Yes |
| h. | Other (specify) | No |
| 9. | Types of perpetrators vary by type of maltreatment | No |
| 10 | Explanation of variation in types of perpetrator by maltreatment type | Not applicable |
| 11 | Child age included in definition of child maltreatment | Yes |
| 12 | Specific child age in definition of child maltreatment | Under age 18 with variability over 18 |
| 13 | . Variability of child age by type of maltreatment | No |

Table D.II. Child maltreatment definition exemptions

| | | Response |
|----|--|------------------------------|
| 1. | Exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. | Financial issues, financial inability to provide for a child | No |
| b. | Discipline; physical discipline, if it is reasonable and causes no bodily injury to the child | Yes |
| c. | Safe haven exemption; newborn relinquished or abandoned in accordance with infant safe haven and safe surrender laws | Yes |
| d. | Infant testing positive for drugs – medical; newborn with positive test for controlled substance due to parent's medical treatment | Yes |
| e. | Religious observance; parent relies on spiritual or religious forms of medical treatment | Yes |
| f. | Other exemption (specify) | No |
| 2. | Safe haven exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. | Must leave a child at specific safe haven locations or designated providers | Yes |
| b. | Child must be left by parent or parent's agent | Yes |
| c. | Child must be left by a certain age (specify) | Yes - 30 days old or younger |
| d. | No intent to return | Yes |
| e. | Child must be left unharmed | Yes |
| f. | Other (specify) | No |

2023

Table D.III. Definitions and response for child fatalities and near-fatalities cases

| | | Response |
|----|---|---|
| 1. | State's definition of fatalities or deaths caused by child maltreatment | |
| a. | Not specified or defined | No |
| b. | Injury from abuse or neglect caused death | No |
| c. | Abuse or neglect was contributing factor in death | Yes |
| d. | Death of child who was in child welfare custody or foster care | No |
| e. | Other (specify) | Yes - The cessation of life, manifested in people by a loss of heart beat, absence of spontaneous breathing, and the permanent loss of brain function; Loss of life |
| 2. | State conducts case reviews with a child fatality review team or a similar review process for fatalities caused by child abuse or neglect | Yes, reviews are required for all or some cases |
| 3. | State's definition of near-fatalities or near- deaths caused by child maltreatment | |
| a. | Not specified or defined | No |
| b. | General reference to a serious or critical condition or injury that is life threatening with a substantial risk of death | Yes |
| c. | Specific injury or specific medical treatment or intervention (specify) | No |
| d. | Other (specify) | No |
| 4. | State conducts case reviews with a review team or similar review process for near-fatalities caused by child abuse or neglect | Yes, reviews are required for all or some cases |

Domain R: Reporting child abuse and neglect

Table R.I. Reporting policies

| | | Response |
|----|--|---|
| 1. | Statewide centralized reporting | No |
| 2. | Decentralized reporting | Some counties/region have own reporting hotline |
| 3. | Standard for reporting child maltreatment | |
| a. | Known abuse and neglect | No |
| b. | Reasonable cause to believe a child was abused or neglected | Yes |
| 4. | Universal mandated reporting | No |
| 5. | Required training for mandated reporters | No |
| 6. | Penalties for failure to report | Yes, some mandated reporters |
| 7. | Specific penalties for failure to report | |
| a. | Criminal charges | Yes |
| b. | Civil charges | No |
| c. | Professional licensure suspended or revoked | No |
| d. | Other (specify) | No |
| 8. | Penalties for false reporting | No |
| 9. | Specific penalties for false reporting | Logical Skip |
| 10 | . Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect | Yes |
| 11 | . Information requested at the time of report | |
| a. | Identifying information of child | Yes |
| b. | Location and contact information of child and family | Yes |
| c. | Type and severity of suspected maltreatment | Yes |
| d. | Date of suspected maltreatment | Yes |
| e. | Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s) | Yes |
| f. | Identifying and other information of reporter | Yes |
| g. | ldentifying information of child's parents, guardian, or caregiver/caretaker | Yes |
| h. | ldentifying and other information of family or household members | Yes |
| i. | Information on prior maltreatment | Yes |

Table R.I (continued)

| | Response |
|---|---|
| j. Other (specify) | Yes - Additional information is requested when law enforcement is the reporting party, such as whether they are requesting immediate Child Protective Services (CPS) response, circumstances that led to law enforcement involvement, whether law enforcement agency is responding or have they already responded, any additional concerns; Additional information is collected for the following reports: 1) educational neglect (documentation from the school district that includes how the absences are due to the faults and habits of the child's parents), 2) Substance Exposed Infant/Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act (CARA) Plan of Care (the nature and extent of the effects of the prenatal alcohol and/or drug exposure on the newborn and the nature of the withdrawal symptoms, Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome (NAS) including the medical diagnosis and/or copy lab results), and 3) child fatalities/near fatalities (whether the fatality or near fatality is related to abuse or neglect, whether there are other children living in the household, statement from a licensed physician or health care professional that the child is in serious or critical condition or that resuscitative efforts were performed) |
| 12. Anonymity of reporter | All reporters can remain anonymous |
| 13. Tribal involvement in state or local public child welfare agency's process to accept reports of Tribal cases | No, Tribes are not involved |
| 14. State requires all notifications of substance- exposed newborns (SENs) to be submitted as reports of child maltreatment | |
| a. State does not require all cases of SENs to be reported for child maltreatment, but they could be reported if they meet certain criteria | No |
| b. State requires all SENs to be reported as child maltreatment | Yes |
| c. Other (specify) | No |
| 15. Accepts reports of risk without an allegation of child maltreatment (risk-only reports) | No |

Table R.II. Types of mandated reporters

| | | Response | Response | Response |
|----|--|--|--------------------------------|--|
| 1. | Types of mandated reporters | Included in state's definition of mandated reporters | Training required ¹ | Subject to penalties for failure to report ² |
| a. | Foster parents | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| b. | School staff - teachers | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| C. | School bus drivers or other transportation staff | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| d. | Before- /after-school program staff | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| e. | Child care staff | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| f. | Camp counselors, directors, or administrators | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| g. | Athletic coaches or staff | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| h. | Medical or dental professionals | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| i. | Substance abuse disorder treatment providers | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| j. | Mental health, counselors, or other social service professionals | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| k. | Police or other law enforcement | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| Ι. | Emergency medical technicians, firefighters, or other emergency personnel | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| m. | Judges | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| n. | District attorneys or other attorneys | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| 0. | Guardians ad litem or court-appointed special advocates | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| р. | Other court personnel | Yes | Logical Skip | No |
| q. | Shelter staff | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| r. | Those who work in fields processing or monitoring print, film, or computer images | Νο | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| s. | Religious clergy | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| t. | Volunteers | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| u. | Coroners or medical examiners | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| ۷. | Staff or contractors of state and county agencies | Νο | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |

Table R.II (continued)

| | Response | Response | Response |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| w. Other type of mandated reporter (specify) | Yes - Doulas | Logical Skip | Yes - Doulas |

¹ Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no training is required for mandated reporters (Table R.II). Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table R.I) and all mandated reporters require training (Table R.II).

² Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table R.I). Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table R.I) and when all adults

or all mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table R.I).

Domain S: Screening reports of child abuse and neglect

| Table | S.I. | Screening | policies |
|--------|------|-----------|----------|
| 1 4010 | •••• | oorooning | ponoi00 |

| | | Response |
|----|--|--|
| 1. | Statewide centralized screening | No |
| 2. | How screening is decentralized | Some counties/region have own screening unit |
| 3. | Information required to screen in report | |
| a. | Identifying information of child | Yes |
| b. | Location and contact information of child and family | No |
| c. | Type and severity of suspected maltreatment | Yes |
| d. | Date of suspected maltreatment | No |
| e. | Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s) | Yes |
| f. | Other (specify) | No |

Table S.II. Screening decision process and activities

| | Response | Required cases | Conditions or types of cases |
|---|---|-------------------|---|
| 1. Decision processes used during screening | | | |
| a. Supervisory review | Yes | Required for all | — |
| b. Team-based decision | No | Logical Skip | _ |
| c. Individual screener | No | Logical Skip | — |
| d. Other (specify) | No | Logical Skip | — |
| e. Unknown | No | — | _ |
| 2. Variability of decision process used for screening | | | |
| a. Consistent statewide | Yes | — | — |
| b. Varies locally | No | | _ |
| 3. Certain activities or information are required as part of screening | Yes | | |
| Activities or information required as part of screening | | | |
| a. Safety or risk assessment | Yes | Required for some | Reports with present or impending danger |
| b. Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| c. Other (specify) | No | Logical Skip | Not applicable |
| 5. Types of safety or risk assessment used during screening | | | |
| a. Structured decision making | No | — | — |
| b. Other (specify) | Yes - The type of assessment or procedure is not specified | _ | _ |
| 6. Consistency of screening activities/information | | | |
| a. Consistent statewide | Yes | _ | — |
| b. Varies locally (specify) | No | _ | _ |

Table S.III. Screeners

| | | Response |
|----|---|--|
| 1. | Screener of abuse and neglect reports | |
| a. | Caseworkers (frontline staff) | Yes |
| b. | Case managers (supervisors) | Yes |
| C. | Staff in specialized screening unit | Yes |
| d. | Other (specify) | No |
| 2. | Qualifications of screener | |
| a. | Associate's degree | No |
| b. | Bachelor's degree | Yes |
| C. | Master's degree | No |
| d. | Training for screening (specify) | No |
| e. | Years of experience (specify) | No |
| f. | Other (specify) | No |
| 3. | Degree in social work or related field for | Yes, required |
| | screeners | |
| 4. | Tribal involvement in state or local public child welfare agency's process to screen Tribal cases | Yes, Tribes directly conduct screening - All federally recognized tribes |

Domain I: Investigations of child abuse and neglect

| Table I.I. Investigations policies | Table I.I. | Investigations | policies |
|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|----------|
|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|----------|

| | | Response |
|----|--|---|
| 1. | Findings from child maltreatment investigations can lead to criminal penalties | Yes |
| 2. | Investigator for reports | |
| a. | Caseworkers (frontline staff) | Yes |
| b. | Case managers (supervisors) | Yes |
| c. | Staff in specialized investigations unit | Yes |
| d. | Law enforcement | No |
| e. | Other (specify) | No |
| 3. | Qualifications of investigator | |
| a. | Associate's degree | No |
| b. | Bachelor's degree | Yes |
| c. | Master's degree | No |
| d. | Training for conducting investigations (specify) | Yes - Initial training: Nevada Child Welfare Training Academy Pre-Service Training Program; Ongoing training: At least 30 hours of continuing education in the field of child welfare practice every 2 years from the date of hire, including 4 hours related to ethics in the practice of social work |
| e. | Years of experience (specify) | No |
| f. | Other (specify) | No |
| 4. | Degree in social work or related field for investigators | Yes, required |
| 5. | Level of evidence required for substantiation (founded/indicated/confirmed) | Preponderance of evidence |
| 6. | Investigation determination can result in an "inconclusive" finding | Yes |

| Table I.II. Required | activities/information | for investigation |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|

| | | Response | Required cases | Conditions or types of cases |
|----|--|----------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. | Certain activities or information required for the investigation process | Yes | | |
| 2. | Specific activities or information required for investigation | | | |
| a. | Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| b. | Visit to child's home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| C. | Interview or observation of child victim | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| d. | Interview or observation of other children living in child's home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| e. | Risk or safety assessment | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| f. | Evaluation of home environment or home study | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| g. | Interviews with child's parents, caregivers, or other adults residing in child's home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| h. | Check of criminal records for adults in home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| i. | Check of child welfare or central registry for prior child maltreatment allegations against adults in home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| j. | Medical evaluation | No | Logical Skip | Not applicable |
| k. | Mental health evaluation | No | Logical Skip | Not applicable |
| ١. | Interview alleged perpetrator | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| m. | Interview reporter or collateral source | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| n. | Other (specify) | No | Logical Skip | Not applicable |

Domain W: Child welfare response

Table W.I. Differential or alternative response

| 1. Differential/alternative response Yesimplemented in specific counties or regio and Washoe Counties 2. Types of maltreatment eligible for differential/alternative response Only certain types of maltreatment are eligible for differential/alternative response 3. Types of maltreatment not eligible for differential/alternative response Only certain types of maltreatment are eligible a. Cases involving child fatalities and near-fatalities Yes b. Substance-exposed infants No c. Physical abuse Yes e. Neglect Yes f. Abandoned infants No g. Other (specify) Yes - Institutional abuse or neglect; Active dru Failure to thrive or severe malnutrition; Manufa illegal substances; Medical neglect indicating medical condition that could cause substantia immediate harm 4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination No 5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 7. Other (specify) Yes 6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response No 7. Other cases or conditions No <tr< th=""><th></th></tr<> | |
|---|--|
| differential/alternative response 1 1 3. Types of maltreatment not eligible for differential/alternative response 1 a. Cases involving child fatalities and near-fatalities Yes b. Substance-exposed infants No c. Physical abuse Yes d. Sexual abuse Yes e. Neglect Yes f. Abandoned infants No g. Other (specify) Yes - Institutional abuse or neglect; Active dru Failure to thrive or severe malnutrition; Manufa illegal substances; Medical neglect indicating medical condition that could cause substantia immediate harm 4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination No 5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response No a. No other cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; O currently an open case or currently in protect ustody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child W. Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school emptore </td <td>ıs - Clark</td> | ıs - Clark |
| differential/alternative response a. Cases involving child fatalities and near-fatalities b. Substance-exposed infants No c. Physical abuse Yes d. Sexual abuse Yes e. Neglect Yes f. Abandoned infants No g. Other (specify) Yes - Institutional abuse or neglect; Active dru Failure to thrive or severe malnutrition; Manufa illegal substances; Medical neglect indicating medical condition that could cause substantia immediate harm 4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination No 5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response No 7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response No a. No other cases or conditions No b. No immediate safety concerns Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; O currently an open case or currently in protect custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child W/ Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school emplor | |
| b. Substance-exposed infants No c. Physical abuse Yes d. Sexual abuse Yes e. Neglect Yes f. Abandoned infants No g. Other (specify) Yes - Institutional abuse or neglect; Active dru Failure to thrive or severe malnutrition; Manufa illegal substances; Medical neglect indicating medical condition that could cause substantia immediate harm 4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination No 5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response No a. No other cases or conditions No b. No immediate safety concerns Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; O currently an open case or currently in protect custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child Wo Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school emplor | |
| c. Physical abuse Yes d. Sexual abuse Yes e. Neglect Yes f. Abandoned infants No g. Other (specify) Yes - Institutional abuse or neglect; Active dru Failure to thrive or severe mainutrition; Manufa illegal substances; Medical neglect indicating medical condition that could cause substantia immediate harm 4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination No 5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response No a. No other cases or conditions No b. No immediate safety concerns Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; C currently an open case or currently in protect custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child WA Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school emptor | |
| d. Sexual abuse Yes e. Neglect Yes f. Abandoned infants No g. Other (specify) Yes - Institutional abuse or neglect; Active dru Failure to thrive or severe malnutrition; Manufa illegal substances; Medical neglect indicating medical condition that could cause substantia immediate harm 4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination No 5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response No a. No other cases or conditions No b. No immediate safety concerns Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; O currently an open case or currently in protect custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child W/ Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school emplor | |
| e. Neglect Yes f. Abandoned infants No g. Other (specify) Yes - Institutional abuse or neglect; Active dru Failure to thrive or severe malnutrition; Manufa illegal substances; Medical neglect indicating medical condition that could cause substantia immediate harm 4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination No 5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response No a. No other cases or conditions No b. No immediate safety concerns Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; O currently an open case or currently in protect custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child W/ Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school emplor | |
| f. Abandoned infants No g. Other (specify) Yes - Institutional abuse or neglect; Active dru Failure to thrive or severe malnutrition; Manufa illegal substances; Medical neglect indicating medical condition that could cause substantia immediate harm 4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination No 5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response No a. No other cases or conditions No b. No immediate safety concerns Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; O currently an open case or currently in protect ustody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child W Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school employee | |
| g. Other (specify) Yes - Institutional abuse or neglect; Active dru Failure to thrive or severe malnutrition; Manufa illegal substances; Medical neglect indicating medical condition that could cause substantia immediate harm 4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination No 5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response No a. No other cases or conditions No b. No immediate safety concerns Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; O custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child Wa Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school emplot | |
| Failure to thrive or severe malnutrition; Manufa illegal substances; Medical neglect indicating medical condition that could cause substantia immediate harm 4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination No 5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response No a. No other cases or conditions No b. No immediate safety concerns Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; Ocurrently an open case or currently in protect custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child WA Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school emplor | |
| determined by a risk determination 5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response Logical Skip a. No other cases or conditions No b. No immediate safety concerns Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; O currently an open case or currently in protect custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child Wo Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school emplor | cturing of serious |
| differential/alternative response Degree output 6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response Logical Skip 7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response Logical Skip a. No other cases or conditions No b. No immediate safety concerns Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; Currently an open case or currently in protect custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child We Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school employee | |
| response 7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response a. No other cases or conditions No b. No immediate safety concerns Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; Currently an open case or currently in protect custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child We Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school employee | |
| differential/alternative response a. No other cases or conditions No b. No immediate safety concerns Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; Courrently an open case or currently in protect custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child We Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school employee | |
| b. No immediate safety concerns Yes c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; Courrently an open case or currently in protectic custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child We Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school employee | |
| c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect No d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; Courrently an open case or currently in protect custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child We Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school employee | |
| d. Other (specify) Yes - Cases without repeated abuse/neglect; C currently an open case or currently in protect custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child We Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school emplo | |
| currently an open case or currently in protect custody; Alleged perpetrator is not a Child We Agency employee or volunteer, foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or school emplo | |
| differential response services; No active drug actively suicidal parents or children; No weapo threaten or harm anyone in the house | ve elfare yee or refusing raid; No |
| 8. When is determination made for differential/alternative response | |
| a. At the time of screening to assign to differential No response | |
| b. After a report is screened in Yes | |
| c. Other (specify) No | |

Table W.I (continued)

| | | Response |
|----|--|----------|
| 9. | Referrals to community services for cases engaged in differential response | |
| a. | No | No |
| b. | Yes - for all cases | Yes |
| c. | Yes - when families express interest | No |
| d. | Yes - when there is a determination of risk | No |
| e. | Yes - other (specify) | No |

Table W.II. In-home services, foster care, and permanency

| | Response |
|--|--|
| 1. In-home services provided for unsubstantiated cases to maintain intact families | Yesimplemented statewide |
| 2. In-home services provided post reunification | Yesimplemented statewide |
| 3. Permanency | |
| a. Kinship guardianship as a permanency option | Yes |
| b. Subsidized guardianship | Yes |
| c. Subsidized kinship guardianship | Yes |
| d. Subsidized adoption | Yes |
| 4. Foster care case management staff | |
| a. State/county public agency staff | Yes |
| b. Contracted provider staff | Yes |
| c. Tribal agency staff | No |
| 5. Qualifications of foster care case managers | |
| a. Associate degree | No |
| b. Bachelor's degree | Yes |
| c. Master's degree | No |
| d. Training for case management (specify) | Yes - Initial training: Nevada Child Welfare Training Academy Pre-Service Training Program and 5 week classroom training and 5 week on-the-job training on agency specific procedures; Ongoing training: At least 30 hours of continuing education in the field of child welfare practice every 2 years from the date of hire, including 4 hours related to ethics in the practice of social work |
| e. Years of experience (specify) | No |
| f. Other (specify) | No |
| 6. Degree in social work or related field for foster care case managers | Yes, required |

Table W.III. Tribal foster care and Tribes that directly operate Title IV-E programs through an agreement with HHS

| | Response |
|---|--|
| 1. Tribal involvement in foster care for Tribal cases | No, Tribes within state do not provide foster care |
| 2. Tribes directly operate a Title IV-E program through an agreement with US HHS | No |
| 3. Number of Tribes that directly operate a Title IV- E program through an agreement with US HHS | Logical Skip |
| 4. Specify Tribes that directly operate a Title IV-E program through an agreement with US HHS | Not applicable |

Table W.IV. Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA)

| | | Response |
|----|--|----------|
| 1. | Federal ICWA requirements codified in state law | Yes |
| 2. | Federal ICWA requirements that are codified in state law | |
| a. | Identifying a child's Tribal status | Yes |
| b. | Use of active efforts to prevent removal/reunite families | Yes |
| C. | Notification of child's parents and Tribe for child custody proceedings | Yes |
| d. | Actively working to involve a child's parents and Tribe during the custody proceedings | Yes |
| e. | Identifying a foster care or pre-adoptive placement using ICWA preferences provisions | Yes |
| f. | Use of qualified expert witnesses | Yes |
| 3. | State law codifying ICWA includes state- recognized Tribes | No |

Table W.V. Extended foster care

| | | Response |
|----|--|---|
| 1. | Foster care extension for youth older than age 18 | Yes |
| 2. | Age youth are allowed to remain in extended foster care | Up to age 21 (until 21st birthday) |
| 3. | Youth must proactively request, provide consent, or opt-in to receive extended foster care | Yes |
| 4. | Criteria for youth to remain in extended foster care | |
| a. | There are no criteria to remain in extended foster care | No |
| b. | Enrolled in school | Yes |
| c. | Employed | Yes |
| d. | Participating in workforce development/training program | Yes |
| e. | Comply with independent living/self-sufficiency plan | Yes |
| f. | Receive independent living or other services | No |
| g. | Medical condition exemption | No |
| h. | Other (specify) | Yes - Save enough money to pay for their monthly expenses for at least 3 months; Secure housing; Have adequate income to meet their monthly expenses; Identify an adult who will be available to provide support; If applicable, have established appropriate supportive services to address any mental health or developmental needs; If a child is not capable of achieving one or more of the goals, the child must have goals that are appropriate for the child based upon the needs of the child |
| 5. | Reentry to extended foster care allowed for youth older than age 18 who aged out or left foster care | No |
| 6. | Conditions for youth to reenter extended foster care | Logical Skip |

Domain C: Child welfare system context

Table C.I. Child welfare system context

| | | Response |
|----|--|----------|
| 1. | State- or county-administered child welfare system | Hybrid |
| 2. | State operates under legal consent decree or other court-ordered monitoring | No |

Supplemental Notes on State

Definitions

In 2024, the state removed the reference to drug lab in its definition of child maltreatment.

In the definition of maltreatment, the state describes several environmental conditions and may consider failure to thrive, illegally providing a controlled substance to a child, failure to protect, and factitious order by proxy as maltreatment under those conditions.

Nevada defines a child as a person under the age of 18 or a person who is in school but has not graduated.

Reporting

Attorneys are not required to make a report of abuse or neglect of a child if they acquired knowledge of the abuse or neglect from a client during a privileged communication.

Child welfare response

The Nevada Child Welfare Training Academy has two levels of workers: (1) case managers who have a bachelor's degree in an area other than social work and (2) social workers who are licensed in the state of Nevada. Most new workers are required to have a degree in social work and be a licensed social worker.

Nevada uses twenty-six criteria to identify potential safety threats that indicate a child(ren) is unsafe, and a report cannot be assigned for differential response.

In 2023, Nevada drafted new policies for extended foster care, including reentry. These policies are expected to be implemented in July 2025.

State Statutes and Policy Documentation Sources

- Children's Bureau. (2018). Child and family services reviews: Nevada statewide assessment. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.
- Crimes Against Public Decency and Good Morals, Nev. Rev. Stat. § 15-201 (2004).
- Crimes Against the Person, Nev. Rev. Stat. § 15-200 (2023).
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services. (2019). Training Policy
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services. (2020). Youth Independent Living Program: Ages 17 and Over.
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Child and Family Services. (2008). Statewide policy manual: Nevada safety assessment.
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Child and Family Services. (2018). Guidelines for substantiating.
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Child and Family Services. (2018). Statewide child welfare policy manual: Safe haven response.
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Child and Family Services. (2019). Practice guidelines for CARA plan of care.
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Child and Family Services (2020). Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA).
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Child and Family Services. (2020). Statewide child welfare policy manual: Intake and priority response.
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Child and Family Services. (2021). Health services.
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Child and Family Services. (2021). Statewide child welfare policy manual: Investigation Findings and Closure Policy.
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Child and Family Services. (2021). Statewide child welfare policy manual: Kinship guardianship assistance program.
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Child and Family Services. (2021). Statewide child welfare policy manual: Nevada initial assessment (NIA).
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Child and Family Services. (2022). Statewide child welfare policy manual: Case planning.
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Child and Family Services. (2023). Administrative review for child fatality or near fatality cases.
- Protection of Children from Abuse and Neglect, Nev. Rev. Stat. § 38-432B (2023).

Welfare and Supportive Services, Nev. Rev. Stat. § 38-422A (2005).