SCAN Policies Database State profile: Mississippi



SCAN Policies Database State Profile 2023: Mississippi

Overview of the SCAN Policies Database

The State Child Abuse and Neglect (SCAN) Policies Database compiles data on the definitions and policies that states use in their surveillance of child maltreatment, along with data on associated risk and protective factors. The SCAN Policies Database is funded by the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation in collaboration with the Children's Bureau in the Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Mathematica leads this project in partnership with Child Trends.

The project's purpose is to review and compile information from states' definitions and policies to create a database of those definitions and policies that can be used for analysis. The SCAN Policies Database is a resource for researchers, analysts, child welfare agency staff, and others interested in examining differences between states in their definitions and policies on child maltreatment and how they change over time.

Content

The scope of the SCAN Policies Database includes information about state definitions and policies related to child abuse and neglect for all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. The SCAN Policies Database team gathered this information through a document collection, review, and coding process. The team obtained input from states on data collection through a confirmation and verification process. More information about these data collection procedures can be found in the data user's guide and data collection protocol, accessible at https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources.

The SCAN Policies Database 2023 represents data collected, reviewed, and verified between June 2023 and July 2024. The data reflect the state definitions and policies for the calendar year 2023. The scope of topics in the database includes states' definitions of child abuse and neglect, as well as information about policies related to reporting, screening, and investigating child maltreatment. Key aspects of the child welfare systems' response and context are also included.

The content in the SCAN Policies Database is organized into six domains. The state profiles, codebook, data collection protocol, and data file are also organized by these domains. In the protocol, each question begins with a letter prefix identifying the domain for each variable. The six domains with the identifying protocol number prefix are listed below.

Domain	Question prefix	
Definitions	D	
Reporting	R	
Screening	S	
Investigation	I	
Child welfare response	W	
Child welfare system context	С	

State profile

This SCAN Policies Database state profile is a summary of the information collected about the definitions and policies for the identified state. Each of the six domains contain a set of tables that depict the state's information for all variables within that domain.

The state profile presents data for each variable with "yes," "no," "unknown," or other response, as appropriate. The response of "unknown" appears for topics that could not be located from the state's available resources or verified with that state. In some cases, "logical skip" appears when a question was not applicable to a particular state, given a related response on a preceding question. Some information was not included in the state profile to ensure alignment with Executive Orders or other Presidential Actions.

Data use resources

Several data use resources are available to support users of the SCAN Policies Database:

- Data user's guide: The guide has detailed information about the data set, including the process used to collect and review the data, the scope of information included in the data set, guidance on using the data, such as how to link the data with other data sources, and notes about specific topics. The data user's guide includes appendices, including information about changes to the data between 2021 and 2023 that reflect changes to state laws and policies during that time period. There is one data user's guide that is updated and applicable for all rounds of data collection for the SCAN Policies Database.
- **Codebook:** The codebook provides information about each variable in the data set, including variable names, labels, definitions, protocol number, variable type, and frequencies. There are separate codebooks to summarize the data from each round of data collection.
- **Errata statement:** The errata statement presents corrections applied to previous rounds of data for the SCAN Policies Database. Starting in 2023, there is one errata statement that is updated and applicable for all rounds of data collection for the SCAN Policies Database.
- **Data collection protocol:** The protocol has the questions used to collect information about states' laws and policies as part of the data review and coding process for each round of data collection. There are separate data collection protocols for each round of data collection for the SCAN Policies Database.

These data use resources can be found on the SCAN Policies Database website (https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources) or from the National Data Archive for Child Abuse and Neglect (NDACAN) (https://www.ndacan.acf.hhs.gov/).

More information

More information about the SCAN Policies Database can be found at https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com. General inquiries can be submitted to SCANPoliciesDatabase@mathematica-mpr.com.

State identifying information

Table I. State Identifying information

	Response
State abbreviation	MS
State Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) code	28
Census region code	South
State verified coding of information	Yes
State confirmed documents reviewed	Yes
State definitions and policies for calendar year	2023
Data version	2023v1

Domain D: Definitions of child maltreatment

Table D.I. State's definition of child maltreatment

Iak	able D.I. State's definition of child maitreatment			
		Response		
1.	Types of maltreatment included in state definition			
a.	Physical abuse	Yes		
b.	Excessive corporal punishment	Yes		
C.	Sexual abuse	Yes		
d.	Emotional maltreatment	Yes		
e.	Neglect	Yes		
f.	Inadequate clothing	Yes		
g.	Inadequate shelter	Yes		
h.	Malnourishment, inadequate food	Yes		
i.	Medical neglect, inadequate medical care	Yes		
j.	Failure to thrive	No		
k.	Educational neglect	Yes		
Ι.	Abandonment	Yes		
m.	Injurious environment. Likelihood of harm to child's health, physical well-being	No		
n.	Drug lab. Child present within structure where methamphetamine is being created	Yes		
0.	Inadequate supervision. Failure to meet parent or caretaker responsibilities	Yes		
p.	Drug or alcohol misuse. Parental drug or alcohol misuse causing harm to child	Yes		
q.	Prenatal exposure to drugs or alcohol	No		
r.	Illicit substance. Illegally providing a controlled substance to a child	Yes		
S.	Human trafficking, involuntary servitude, sexual servitude	Yes		
t.	Female genital mutilation	No		
u.	Shaken baby syndrome, abusive head trauma	No		
٧.	Failure to protect. Failure to protect from harm	Yes		
W.	Domestic violence. Exposure to domestic violence	No		
х.	Factitious disorder by proxy	No		
у.	Institutional abuse/neglect	No		
Z.	Other definition (specify)	No		
2.	Subtypes of maltreatment included in state definition			
a.	Subtypes of maltreatment considered abuse	Abuse includes mental injury, sexual abuse, sexual exploitation, emotional abuse, nonaccidental other maltreatment, and human trafficking		
b.	Subtypes of maltreatment considered neglect	Neglect includes educational, medical neglect, and inadequate supervision		
C.	Subtypes of maltreatment considered other than abuse or neglect	Not applicable		

Table D.I (continued)

	Response
Level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a. Inflicts harm	Yes
b. Imminent danger or substantial risk of harm	Yes
Differences in level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment by type of maltreatment	Yes - Definition of neglect does not include harmor risk of harm; Definition of abuse includes harm; Definition of sexual abuse includes harm or risk of harm
5. Type of harm or injury specified in state's definition of child maltreatment	
Death, bodily injury, impairment of physical condition	Yes
b. Impairment of mental or emotional condition	Yes
c. Harmful environment, conditions	Yes
d. Type of harm or injury not specified	No
e. Other (specify)	No
Variation in extent of injury or harm by maltreatment type in the state's definition of child maltreatment	Yes - Definition of neglect and its subtypes is defined by the child's lack of care; Definition of abuse refers to causing or allowing the cause of specific injury types; Definition of sexual abuse refers to harm or threat of harm
7. Perpetrator identified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	Yes
Types of perpetrators specified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	
a. Any person	Yes
b. Parent	Yes
c. Guardian	Yes
d. Caregiver/caretaker	Yes
e. Family member or parent's paramour	No
f. Household member	No
g. Person responsible for child	Yes
h. Other (specify)	No
Types of perpetrators vary by type of maltreatment	Yes
10. Explanation of variation in types of perpetrator by maltreatment type	For neglect and abuse, the perpetrator is any person responsible for the child's care or support; For trafficking, the perpetrator is any person, without regard to the relationship of the person to the child
11. Child age included in definition of child maltreatment	Yes
12. Specific child age in definition of child maltreatment	Under age 18
13. Variability of child age by type of maltreatment	No

Table D.II. Child maltreatment definition exemptions

	·		
		Response	
1.	Exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment		
a.	Financial issues, financial inability to provide for a child	No	
b.	Discipline; physical discipline, if it is reasonable and causes no bodily injury to the child	Yes	
C.	Safe haven exemption; newborn relinquished or abandoned in accordance with infant safe haven and safe surrender laws	Yes	
d.	Infant testing positive for drugs – medical; newborn with positive test for controlled substance due to parent's medical treatment	Yes	
e.	Religious observance; parent relies on spiritual or religious forms of medical treatment	Yes	
f.	Other exemption (specify)	No	
2.	Safe haven exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment		
a.	Must leave a child at specific safe haven locations or designated providers	Yes	
b.	Child must be left by parent or parent's agent	Yes	
C.	Child must be left by a certain age (specify)	Yes - 45 days old or younger	
d.	No intent to return	Yes	
e.	Child must be left unharmed	Yes	
f.	Other (specify)	No	

Table D.III. Definitions and response for child fatalities and near-fatalities cases

	Posponso		
		Response	
1.	State's definition of fatalities or deaths caused by child maltreatment		
a.	Not specified or defined	No	
b.	Injury from abuse or neglect caused death	Yes	
C.	Abuse or neglect was contributing factor in death	No	
d.	Death of child who was in child welfare custody or foster care	Yes	
e.	Other (specify)	No	
2.	State conducts case reviews with a child fatality review team or a similar review process for fatalities caused by child abuse or neglect	Yes, reviews are required for all or some cases	
3.	State's definition of near-fatalities or near-deaths caused by child maltreatment		
a.	Not specified or defined	No	
b.	General reference to a serious or critical condition or injury that is life threatening with a substantial risk of death	Yes	
C.	Specific injury or specific medical treatment or intervention (specify)	No	
d.	Other (specify)	No	
4.	State conducts case reviews with a review team or similar review process for near-fatalities caused by child abuse or neglect	Yes, reviews are required for all or some cases	

Domain R: Reporting child abuse and neglect

Table R.I. Reporting policies

		Response	
1.	Statewide centralized reporting	Yes	
	Decentralized reporting	Logical Skip	
	Standard for reporting child maltreatment		
	Known abuse and neglect	No	
b.	Reasonable cause to believe a child was abused or neglected	Yes	
4.	Universal mandated reporting	Yes	
5.	Required training for mandated reporters	No	
6.	Penalties for failure to report	Yes, all adults	
7.	Specific penalties for failure to report		
a.	Criminal charges	Yes	
b.	Civil charges	No	
C.	Professional licensure suspended or revoked	No	
d.	Other (specify)	No	
8.	Penalties for false reporting	Yes	
9.	Specific penalties for false reporting		
a.	Criminal charges	Yes	
b.	Civil charges	No	
C.	Professional license suspended or revoked	No	
d.	Other (specify)	No	
10.	Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect	Yes	
11.	Information requested at the time of report		
a.	Identifying information of child	Yes	
b.	Location and contact information of child and family	Yes	
C.	Type and severity of suspected maltreatment	Yes	
d.	Date of suspected maltreatment	No	
e.	Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s)	Yes	
f.	Identifying and other information of reporter	Yes	
g.	Identifying information of child's parents, guardian, or caregiver/caretaker	Yes	
h.	Identifying and other information of family or household members	Yes	
i.	Information on prior maltreatment	Yes	
j.	Other (specify)	Yes - Potential safety risks for worker	

Table R.I (continued)

	Response	
12. Anonymity of reporter	All reporters can remain anonymous	
13. Tribal involvement in state or local public child welfare agency's process to accept reports of Tribal cases	Yes, Tribes directly accept reports - Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	
14. State requires all notifications of substance- exposed newborns (SENs) to be submitted as reports of child maltreatment		
State does not require all cases of SENs to be reported for child maltreatment, but they could be reported if they meet certain criteria	Yes	
b. State requires all SENs to be reported as child maltreatment	No	
c. Other (specify)	No	
15. Accepts reports of risk without an allegation of child maltreatment (risk-only reports)	Yes	

Table R.II. Types of mandated reporters

		Response	Response	Response	
1.	Types of mandated reporters	Included in state's definition of mandated reporters	Training required ¹	Subject to penalties for failure to report ²	
a.	Foster parents	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
b.	School staff - teachers	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
C.	School bus drivers or other transportation staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
d.	Before- /after-school program staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
e.	Child care staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
f.	Camp counselors, directors, or administrators	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
g.	Athletic coaches or staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
h.	Medical or dental professionals	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
i.	Substance abuse disorder treatment providers	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
j.	Mental health, counselors, or other social service professionals	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
k.	Police or other law enforcement	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
1.	Emergency medical technicians, firefighters, or other emergency personnel	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
m.	Judges	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
n.	District attorneys or other attorneys	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
0.	Guardians ad litem or court-appointed special advocates	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
p.	Other court personnel	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
q.	Shelter staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
r.	Those who work in fields processing or monitoring print, film, or computer images	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
s.	Religious clergy	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
t.	Volunteers	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
u.	Coroners or medical examiners	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	
٧.	Staff or contractors of state and county agencies	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes	

Table R.II (continued)

	Response	Response	Response
w. Other type of mandated reporter (specify)	Yes - Any adults	Logical Skip	Yes - All adults

¹ Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no training is required for mandated reporters (Table R.II). Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table R.I) and all mandated reporters require training (Table R.II).

² Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table R.I). Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table R.I) and when all adults or all mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table R.I).

Domain S: Screening reports of child abuse and neglect

Table S.I. Screening policies

		Response	
		Response	
1.	Statewide centralized screening	Yes	
2.	How screening is decentralized	Logical Skip	
3.	Information required to screen in report		
a.	Identifying information of child	No	
b.	Location and contact information of child and family	Yes	
C.	Type and severity of suspected maltreatment	Yes	
d.	Date of suspected maltreatment	No	
e.	Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s)	Yes	
f.	Other (specify)	Yes - If the child has been harmed or is in immediate risk of being harmed	

Table S.II. Screening decision process and activities

		Response	Required cases	Conditions or types of cases
1.	Decision processes used during screening			
a.	Supervisory review	No	Logical Skip	_
b.	Team-based decision	No	Logical Skip	_
c.	Individual screener	Yes	Required for all	_
d.	Other (specify)	No	Logical Skip	_
e.	Unknown	No	_	_
2.	Variability of decision process used for screening			
a.	Consistent statewide	Yes	_	_
b.	Varies locally	No		_
3.	Certain activities or information are required as part of screening	Yes		
4.	Activities or information required as part of screening			
a.	Safety or risk assessment	Yes	Required for some	Only cases that meet the statutory criteria of maltreatment (e.g., Level II and III cases)
b.	Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable

Table S.II (continued)

			Conditions or
	Response	Required cases	types of cases
c. Other (specify) Yes - Provide a copy of report to the county youth court and/or prosecutor, district altorney, and law enforcement; When a report of maltreatment in an out-of-home setting is received, the Intake Worker shall ascertain from the reporter whether the alleged perpetrator is the parent, guardian, custodian, person responsible for the child's care or support, or an adult relative or household member with access to the child. If the child is in foster care, the report is screened in and assigned to a Special Investigations Unit (SIU). The Intake Supervisor should notify the law enforcement agency in whose jurisdiction the reported act occurred, as well as any relevant licensing agencies. After the report of Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation is screened, the county Intake Supervisor/SIU Bureau Director shall refer the report to the district attorney's office and the youth court in whose jurisdiction the act is alleged to have occurred by phone and email. 5. Types of safety or risk assessment used during screening a. Structured decision making b. Other (specify) Yes - No specific tool is mentioned; Determination is made whether the child is in imminent risk of harm or the presence of lifethreatening harm 6. Consistency of screening		Required for some	All screened in reports are sent to the county youth court. Felony reports are sent to the county youth court/prosecutor/district attorney and law enforcement; If abuse occurred in out-of-home setting, referral made to law enforcement
assessment used during			
		_	_
b. Other (specify)	mentioned; Determination is made whether the child is in imminent risk of harm or the presence of life-	_	_
6. Consistency of screening activities/information			
a. Consistent statewide	Yes	_	_
b. Varies locally (specify)	No		

Table S.III. Screeners

		Response
1.	Screener of abuse and neglect reports	
a.	Caseworkers (frontline staff)	No
b.	Case managers (supervisors)	No
C.	Staff in specialized screening unit	Yes
d.	Other (specify)	No
2.	Qualifications of screener	
a.	Associate's degree	No
b.	Bachelor's degree	Yes
C.	Master's degree	Yes
d.	Training for screening (specify)	No
e.	Years of experience (specify)	No
f.	Other (specify)	No
3.	Degree in social work or related field for screeners	Yes, required
4.	Tribal involvement in state or local public child welfare agency's process to screen Tribal cases	Yes, Tribes directly conduct screening - Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians

Domain I: Investigations of child abuse and neglect

Table I.I. Investigations policies

		Response
1.	Findings from child maltreatment investigations can lead to criminal penalties	Yes
2.	Investigator for reports	
a.	Caseworkers (frontline staff)	Yes
b.	Case managers (supervisors)	No
C.	Staff in specialized investigations unit	Yes
d.	Law enforcement	Yes
e.	Other (specify)	No
3.	Qualifications of investigator	
a.	Associate's degree	No
b.	Bachelor's degree	Yes
c.	Master's degree	Yes
d.	Training for conducting investigations (specify)	No
e.	Years of experience (specify)	No
f.	Other (specify)	No
4.	Degree in social work or related field for investigators	Yes, required
5.	Level of evidence required for substantiation (founded/indicated/confirmed)	Credible or substantial evidence
6.	Investigation determination can result in an "inconclusive" finding	No

Table I.II. Required activities/information for investigation

	ne i.ii. Required activities/iiilo			Conditions or
		Response	Required cases	Conditions or
	Outsing a stratical and information	-	Required cases	types of cases
1.	Certain activities or information required for the investigation process	Yes		
2.	Specific activities or information required for investigation			
a.	Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
b.	Visit to child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
C.	Interview or observation of child victim	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
d.	Interview or observation of other children living in child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
e.	Risk or safety assessment	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable
f.	Evaluation of home environment or home study	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
g.	Interviews with child's parents, caregivers, or other adults residing in child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
h.	Check of criminal records for adults in home	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable
i.	Check of child welfare or central registry for prior child maltreatment allegations against adults in home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
j.	Medical evaluation	Yes	Required for some	When there are specific allegations indicating injury which can be corroborated and verified by an examination
k.	Mental health evaluation	Yes	Required for some	When there are specific allegations indicating injury which can be corroborated and verified by an examination
1.	Interview alleged perpetrator	Yes	Required for some	Required for all cases, unless law enforcement requests that they not be interviewed
m.	Interview reporter or collateral source	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable

Table I.II (continued)

	Response	Required cases	Conditions or types of cases
n. Other (specify)	Yes - Notify tribal partners; Physical assessment of victim for evidence of abuse/neglect; Photographs of the child, child's home, or location of residence to document any physical evidence of abuse/neglect; Video recording is also allowed; Drug and alcohol screening may be requested. Referral to a Children's Advocacy Center.	Required for some	Notifying Tribal partners is required if Tribal partners are involved in the case; Physical assessment of victim is required for all; Photographs and videos require permission; Drug and alcohol screening may be requested if drug/alcohol abuse is suspected; Referral to Children's Advocacy Center required depending on the nature of the allegations

Domain W: Child welfare response

Table W.I. Differential or alternative response

		Response
1.	Differential/alternative response	No
2.	Types of maltreatment eligible for differential/alternative response	Logical Skip
3.	Types of maltreatment <u>not</u> eligible for differential/alternative response	Logical Skip
4.	Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination	Logical Skip
5.	Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response	Logical Skip
6.	Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response	Logical Skip
7.	Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response	Logical Skip
8.	When is determination made for differential/alternative response	Logical Skip
9.	Referrals to community services for cases engaged in differential response	Logical Skip

Table W.II. In-home services, foster care, and permanency

ı aı	one w.n. m-nome services, toster care, and p	cilitationcy
		Response
1.	In-home services provided for unsubstantiated cases to maintain intact families	Yesimplemented statewide
2.	In-home services provided post reunification	Yesimplemented statewide
3.	Permanency	
a.	Kinship guardianship as a permanency option	Yes
b.	Subsidized guardianship	No
c.	Subsidized kinship guardianship	No
d.	Subsidized adoption	Yes
4.	Foster care case management staff	
a.	State/county public agency staff	Yes
b.	Contracted provider staff	Yes
c.	Tribal agency staff	No
5.	Qualifications of foster care case managers	
a.	Associate degree	No
b.	Bachelor's degree	Yes
c.	Master's degree	Yes
d.	Training for case management (specify)	No
e.	Years of experience (specify)	No
f.	Other (specify)	No
6.	Degree in social work or related field for foster care case managers	Yes, required

Table W.III. Tribal foster care and Tribes that directly operate Title IV-E programs through an agreement with HHS

		Response
1.	Tribal involvement in foster care for Tribal cases	Yes, Tribes within state provide foster care - Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians
2.	Tribes directly operate a Title IV-E program through an agreement with US HHS	No
3.	Number of Tribes that directly operate a Title IV- E program through an agreement with US HHS	Logical Skip
4.	Specify Tribes that directly operate a Title IV-E program through an agreement with US HHS	Not applicable

Table W.IV. Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA)

		Response
1.	Federal ICWA requirements codified in state law	No
2.	Federal ICWA requirements that are codified in state law	Logical Skip
3.	State law codifying ICWA includes state- recognized Tribes	Logical Skip

Table W.V. Extended foster care

		Response
1.	Foster care extension for youth older than age 18	Yes
2.	Age youth are allowed to remain in extended foster care	Up to age 21 (until 21st birthday)
3.	Youth must proactively request, provide consent, or opt-in to receive extended foster care	No
4.	Criteria for youth to remain in extended foster care	
a.	There are no criteria to remain in extended foster care	Yes
b.	Enrolled in school	No
C.	Employed	No
d.	Participating in workforce development/training program	No
e.	Comply with independent living/self-sufficiency plan	No
f.	Receive independent living or other services	No
g.	Medical condition exemption	No
h.	Other (specify)	No
5.	Reentry to extended foster care allowed for youth older than age 18 who aged out or left foster care	No
6.	Conditions for youth to reenter extended foster care	Logical Skip

Domain C: Child welfare system context

Table C.I. Child welfare system context

	Response
State- or county-administered child welfare system	State-administered
State operates under legal consent decree or other court-ordered monitoring	Yes - Olivia Y. vs. Reeves

Supplemental Notes on State

Definitions

Inadequate food, clothing, and shelter are included in the criminal code as felony child abuse. Those are defined as the deprivation of necessary clothing and shelter and when a child is starved of nourishments needed to sustain life and growth intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly.

Abandonment is defined only in the public welfare administrative code as it pertains to a foster care placement program and termination of parental rights.

Youth under age 18 who are married or are on active duty for a branch of the armed services are not considered children.

"Reasonable" corporal punishment is exempt from the state's definition of maltreatment, except in cases where such punishment consists of acts that are defined as child abuse in the criminal code.

Near-fatality must be medically diagnosed.

Reporting

The state notes that any person having reasonable cause to suspect maltreatment must make a report, but also specifies 'professional mandated reporters.' Professional mandated reporters include but are not limited to:

- 1. Any attorney,
- 2. Physician,
- 3. Dentist,
- 4. Intern,
- 5. Resident,
- 6. Nurse,
- 7. Psychologist,
- 8. Social worker,
- 9. Family protection worker,
- 10. Family protection specialist,
- 11. Child caregiver,
- 12. Minister,
- 13. Law enforcement officer,
- 14. Public or private school employee, or
- 15. Any other professional who becomes aware of information leading them to believe a child has been abused or neglected. Professional mandated reporters are subject to additional reporting requirements.

Screening

Mississippi has one centralized intake unit that handles the receipt of referrals of child maltreatment. After the centralized unit has screened in the case, all intakes are reviewed by centralized screening supervisors.

Investigations

The Special Investigations Unit investigates maltreatment in foster care.

Child welfare response

Mississippi refers to "kinship guardianship" as "durable legal relative guardianship."

Foster care automatically extends to youth until age 20.

Child welfare context

Consent decree was previously known as Olivia Y. v. Bryant. The state noted that Mississippi's governor, Bryant, has been replaced by Reeves (current governor as of 2024).

State Statutes and Policy Documentation Sources

- Child Welfare, Miss. Code Ann. § 43-15 (2023).
- Government Jobs Career Pages (2023). Social Services Specialist I [Job Posting].
- Mississippi Department of Child Protection Services. (2017). In-home services policies and procedures.
- Mississippi Department of Child Protection Services. (2018). MDCPS policies and procedures: Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA) Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act (CARA) amendments.
- Mississippi Department of Child Protection Services. (2021). Intake Screening Tool.
- Mississippi Department of Child Protection Services. (2021). MDCPS policies and procedures: Adoption.
- Mississippi Department of Child Protection Services (2022). MDCPS Policies and Procedures: Indian Child Welfare Act.
- Poisons, Drugs and Other Controlled Substances, Miss. Code Ann. § 41-29 (2014).
- State of Mississippi Department of Human Services Division of Family and Children's Services. (2016). Section B: Intake/assessment policy.
- State of Mississippi Department of Human Services Division of Family and Children's Services. (2017). In-Home Services Policies and Procedures.
- State of Mississippi Department of Human Services Division of Family and Children's Services. (2017). Section D: Foster care policy.
- State of Mississippi Department of Human Services Division of Family and Children's Services. (2020). Intake Policies and Procedures.
- Youth Court, Miss. Code Ann. § 43-21 (2019).