

SCAN Policies Database State Profile 2021: Maryland

Overview of the SCAN Policies Database

The State Child Abuse and Neglect (SCAN) Policies Database compiles data on the definitions and policies that states use in their surveillance of child maltreatment, along with data on associated risk and protective factors. The SCAN Policies Database is funded by the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation in collaboration with the Children's Bureau in the Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Mathematica leads this project in partnership with Child Trends.

The project's purpose is to review and compile information from states' definitions and policies to create a database of those definitions and policies that can be used for analysis. The SCAN Policies Database is a resource for researchers, analysts, child welfare agency staff, and others interested in examining differences between states in their definitions and policies on child maltreatment and how they change over time.

Content

The scope of the SCAN Policies Database includes information about state definitions and policies related to child abuse and neglect for all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. The SCAN Policies Database team gathered this information through a document collection, review, and coding process. The team obtained input from states on data collection through a confirmation and verification process. More information about these data collection procedures can be found in the data user's guide and data collection protocol, accessible at <https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources>.

The SCAN Policies Database 2021 represents data collected, reviewed, and verified between July 2021 and January 2022. The data reflect the state definitions and policies for the calendar year 2021. The scope of topics in the database includes states' definitions of child abuse and neglect, as well as information about policies related to reporting, screening, and investigating child maltreatment. Key aspects of the child welfare systems' response and context are also included.

The content in the SCAN Policies Database is organized into six domains. The state profiles, codebook, data collection protocol, and data file are also organized by these domains. In the protocol, each question begins with a letter prefix identifying the domain for each variable. The six domains with the identifying protocol number prefix are listed below.

Domain	Question prefix
Definitions	D
Reporting	R
Screening	S
Investigation	I
Child welfare response	W
Child welfare system context	C

State profile

This SCAN Policies Database state profile is a summary of the information collected about the definitions and policies for the identified state. It is organized by six domains, with each section containing a set of tables that depict the state's information for all variables within that domain.

The state profile presents data for each variable with “yes,” “no,” “unknown,” or other response as appropriate. The response of “unknown” appears for topics that could not be located from the state's available resources or verified with that state. In some cases, “logical skip” appears when a question was not applicable to a particular state, given a related response on a preceding question.

Data use resources

Several data use resources are available to support users of the SCAN Policies Database:

- **Data user's guide:** The guide has detailed information about the data set, including the process used to collect and review the data, the scope of information included in the data set, guidance on using the data, such as how to link the data with other data sources, and notes about specific topics. The data user's guide includes appendices with information on corrections to the SCAN Policies Database 2019 and a summary of changes to the data between 2019 and 2021 that reflect changes to state laws and policies during that time period. There is one data user's guide that is updated and applicable for all rounds of data collection for the SCAN Policies Database.
- **Codebook:** The codebook provides information about each variable in the data set, including variable names, labels, definitions, protocol number, variable type, and frequencies. The codebook includes several appendices, including a list of all sources used to review and code data. There are separate codebooks to summarize the data from each round of data collection.
- **Data collection protocol:** The protocol has the questions used to collect information about states' statutes and policies as part of the data review and coding process. There are separate data collection protocols for each round of data collection for the SCAN Policies Database.

These data use resources can be found on the SCAN Policies Database website (<https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources>) or from the National Data Archive for Child Abuse and Neglect (NDACAN) (<https://www.ndacan.acf.hhs.gov/>).

More information

More information about the SCAN Policies Database can be found at <https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com>. General inquiries can be submitted to SCANPoliciesDatabase@mathematica-mpr.com.

State identifying information

Table I. State identifying information

	Response
a. State abbreviation	MD
b. State Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) code	24
c. Census region code	South
d. State verified coding of information	Yes
e. State confirmed documents reviewed	Yes
f. State definitions and policies for calendar year	2021
g. Data version	2021v1

Domain D: Definitions of child maltreatment

Table II. State's definition of child maltreatment

	Response
1. Types of maltreatment included in state definition	
a. Physical abuse	Yes
b. Excessive corporal punishment	No
c. Sexual abuse	Yes
d. Emotional maltreatment	Yes
e. Neglect	Yes
f. Inadequate clothing	Yes
g. Inadequate shelter	Yes
h. Malnourishment, inadequate food	Yes
i. Medical neglect, inadequate medical care	Yes
j. Failure to thrive	Yes
k. Educational neglect	No
l. Abandonment	Yes
m. Injurious environment. Likelihood of harm to child's health, physical well-being	Yes
n. Drug lab. Child present within structure where methamphetamine is being created	Yes
o. Inadequate supervision. Failure to meet parent or caretaker responsibilities	Yes
p. Drug or alcohol misuse. Parental drug or alcohol misuse causing harm to child	Yes
q. Prenatal exposure to drugs or alcohol	No
r. Illicit substance. Illegally providing a controlled substance to a child	Yes
s. Human trafficking, involuntary servitude, sexual servitude	Yes
t. Female genital mutilation	Yes
u. Shaken baby syndrome, abusive head trauma	Yes
v. Failure to protect. Failure to protect from harm	Yes
w. Domestic violence. Exposure to domestic violence	Yes
x. Factitious disorder by proxy	No
y. Institutional abuse/neglect	No
z. Other definition (specify)	No

Table II (continued)

	Response
2. Subtypes of maltreatment included in state definition	
a. Subtypes of maltreatment considered abuse	Abuse includes physical injury and sexual abuse; Sexual abuse includes sexual trafficking; Mental injury is a subtype of both abuse and neglect; Female genital mutilation would be accepted as physical abuse, if the parent performed the mutilation
b. Subtypes of maltreatment considered neglect	Neglect includes failure to provide proper care and attention, malnourishment, inadequate clothing, inadequate shelter, and medical neglect; Mental injury is a subtype of both abuse and neglect; Female genital mutilation would be accepted as neglect, if the parent allowed it to occur
c. Subtypes of maltreatment considered other than abuse or neglect	Not applicable
3. Level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a. Inflicts harm	Yes
b. Imminent danger or substantial risk of harm	Yes
4. Differences in level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment by type of maltreatment	No
5. Type of harm or injury specified in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a. Death, bodily injury, impairment of physical condition	Yes
b. Impairment of mental or emotional condition	Yes
c. Harmful environment, conditions	Yes
d. Type of harm or injury not specified	No
e. Other (specify)	No
6. Variation in extent of injury or harm by maltreatment type in the state's definition of child maltreatment	No
7. Perpetrator identified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	Yes
8. Types of perpetrators specified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	
a. Any adult	Yes
b. Parent	Yes
c. Guardian	Yes
d. Caregiver/caretaker	Yes
e. Family member/parent/paramour	Yes
f. Household member	Yes
g. Person responsible for child	Yes
h. Other (specify)	Yes - There is no need to identify perpetrator for sex trafficking cases

Table II (continued)

	Response
9. Types of perpetrators vary by type of maltreatment	Yes
10. Explanation of variation in types of perpetrator by maltreatment type	Any individual can be a perpetrator of sex trafficking; For neglect, a perpetrator can only be a parent, guardian, or caregiver of the alleged victim
11. Child age included in definition of child maltreatment	Yes
12. Specific child age in definition of child maltreatment	Under age 18
13. Variability of child age by type of maltreatment	No

Table III. Child maltreatment definition exemptions

	Response: Yes/No/Unknown
1. Exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a. Financial issues, financial inability to provide for a child	No
b. Discipline; physical discipline, as long as it is reasonable and causes no bodily injury to the child	Yes
c. Safe haven exemption; newborn relinquished or abandoned in accordance with infant safe haven laws	Yes
d. Infant testing positive for drugs – medical; newborn with positive test for controlled substance as a result of parent's medical treatment	Yes
e. Religious observance; parent relies on spiritual or religious forms of medical treatment	No
f. Other exemption (specify)	Yes - Physical injury of a child by accidental means
2. Safe haven exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a. Must leave a child at specific safe haven locations	Yes
b. Child must be left by parent or parent's agent	Yes
c. Child must be left by a certain age (specify)	Yes - 10 days old or younger
d. No intent to return	Yes
e. Child must be left unharmed	Yes
f. Other (specify)	No

Table IV. Definitions and response for child fatalities and near-fatalities cases

	Response: Yes/No/Unknown
1. State's definition of fatalities or deaths caused by child maltreatment	
a. Not specified/not defined	Yes
b. Injury from abuse or neglect caused death	No
c. Abuse or neglect was contributing factor in death	No
d. Death of child was in child welfare custody/foster care	No
e. Other (specify)	No
f. Unknown	No
2. State conducts case reviews with a child fatality review team or a similar review process for fatalities caused by child abuse or neglect	
a. No case review or review process	No
b. Reviews are required of all or some cases	Yes
c. Reviews can be conducted but are not required	No
d. Other (specify)	No
e. Unknown	No
3. State's definition of near-fatalities or near-deaths caused by child maltreatment	
a. Not specified/not defined	Yes
b. General reference to a serious or critical condition/injury that is life threatening with a substantial risk of death	No
c. Specific injury or specific medical treatment/intervention (specify)	No
d. Other (specify)	No
e. Unknown	No
4. State conducts case reviews with a review team or similar review process for near-fatalities caused by child abuse or neglect	
a. No case review or review process	No
b. Reviews are required of all or some cases	Yes
c. Reviews can be conducted but are not required	No
d. Other (specify)	No
e. Unknown	No

Domain R: Reporting child abuse and neglect

Table V. Reporting policies

	Response
1. Statewide centralized reporting	Yes
2. How reporting is decentralized	
a. Each county or region has its own reporting hotline	Logical Skip
b. Some counties or regions have their own reporting hotline	Logical Skip
c. During some times of the day, counties or regions have their own reporting hotline	Logical Skip
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
3. Standard for reporting child maltreatment	
a. Known abuse and neglect	No
b. Reasonable cause to believe a child was abused or neglected	Yes
4. Universal mandated reporting	No
5. Required training for mandated reporters	No
6. Penalties for failure to report	Yes, some mandated reporters
7. Specific penalties for failure to report	
a. Criminal charges	No
b. Civil charges	No
c. Professional licensure suspended or revoked	Yes
d. Other (specify)	No
8. Penalties for false reporting	Yes
9. Specific penalties for false reporting	
a. Criminal charges	Yes
b. Civil charges	No
c. Professional license suspended or revoked	No
d. Other (specify)	No
e. Unknown	No
10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect	Yes
11. Information requested at the time of report	
a. Identifying information of child	Yes
b. Location/contact information of child and family	Yes
c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment	Yes
d. Date of suspected maltreatment	Yes
e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s)	Yes
f. Identifying and other information of reporter	Yes
g. Identifying information of child's parents, guardian, or caregiver/caretaker	Yes
h. Identifying and other information of family/household members	Yes
i. Information on prior maltreatment	Yes

Table V (continued)

	Response
j. Other (specify)	Yes - Collateral contact; The general condition, emotional and physical state, and proximity to threat of all children; The family's likely level of cooperation or overall receptivity to accepting agency intervention or assistance; Any potential danger to an investigating worker
12. Anonymity of reporter	
a. All reporters (including mandated reports) can stay anonymous	No
b. The general public can be anonymous (mandated reporters cannot remain anonymous)	Yes
c. Reporters (including mandated reporters) cannot be anonymous	No
d. Unknown	No
13. Tribal involvement in accepting reports of tribal cases	
a. Tribes are not involved	Yes
b. Tribes accept reports (specify tribes)	No
c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency to accept reports (specify tribes)	No
d. Tribes accept reports and collaborate with state/local public child welfare agency (specify tribes)	No
e. Unknown	No
14. State requires all notifications of substance-exposed newborns (SENs) to be submitted as reports of child maltreatment	
a. State does not require all cases of SENs to be reported for child maltreatment, but they could be reported if they meet certain criteria	Yes
b. State requires all SENs to be reported as child maltreatment	No
c. Other (specify)	No
d. Unknown	No
15. Accepts reports of risk without an allegation of child maltreatment (risk-only reports)	
a. No	No
b. Yes	Yes
c. Other (specify)	No
d. Unknown	No

Table VI. Types of mandated reporters

	Response	Response	Response
1. Types of mandated reporters	Included in state's definition of mandated reporters	Training required ¹	Subject to penalties for failure to report ²
a. Foster parents	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
b. School staff - teachers	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
c. School bus drivers or other transportation staff	Yes	Logical Skip	No
d. Before- /after-school program staff	Yes	Logical Skip	No
e. Child care staff	Yes	Logical Skip	No
f. Camp counselors, directors, or administrators	Yes	Logical Skip	No
g. Athletic coaches or staff	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
h. Medical or dental professionals	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
i. Substance abuse disorder treatment providers	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
j. Mental health, counselors, or other social service professionals	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
k. Police or other law enforcement	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
l. Emergency medical technicians, firefighters, or other emergency personnel	Yes	Logical Skip	No
m. Judges	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
n. District attorneys or other attorneys	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
o. Guardian ad litem or court-appointed special advocates	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
p. Other court personnel	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
q. Shelter staff	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
r. Those who work in fields processing or monitoring print, film, or computer images	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
s. Religious clergy	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
t. Volunteers	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
u. Coroners or medical examiners	No	No	No
v. Staff or contractors of state and county agencies	No	No	No
w. Other type of mandated reporter (specify)	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip

Table VI (*continued*)

¹Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no training is required for mandated reporters (Table V.5). Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table V.4) and all mandated reporters require training (Table V.5).

²Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table V.6). Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table V.4) and when all adults or all mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table V.6).

Domain S: Screening reports of child abuse and neglect

Table VII. Screening policies

	Response
1. Statewide centralized screening	No
2. How screening is decentralized	
a. Each county or region has its own screening unit	Yes
b. Some counties or regions have their own screening units	No
c. During certain times of the day, counties or regions have their own screening units	No
d. Other (specify)	No
3. Information required to screen in report	
a. Identifying information of child	Yes
b. Location/contact information of child and family	Yes
c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment	Yes
d. Date of suspected maltreatment	No
e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s)	No

Table VII (continued)

	Response
f. Other (specify)	Yes - Any factors that suggest a child is at immediate risk of harm, including present or impending dangers; Name and contact information of non-offending parents or caretakers; The names and contact information of other people with information regarding the child(ren), family, or alleged maltreatment and of protective adults who may be available; Household composition and demographics (name, age, gender, race, and ethnicity) for all members of the household and their relationship to each other; For family members, any addresses, phone numbers, places of employment, and school or childcare, as applicable; The general condition, emotional and physical state, and proximity to threat of all children; The caretakers' level of functioning, mental and physical health, emotional state, ability to parent, use of alcohol or drugs, and attitudes toward or perceptions of, the child(ren); The child(ren)'s level of functioning, mental and physical health, emotional state, and behavior, including the effects on the child of child maltreatment, caregiver behavior, and family conditions; The level of family functioning, including the presence of domestic violence; Living arrangements, household activity (including people in and out of the home), and the condition of the residence; Specific caregiver behavior indicative of child maltreatment; Any known prior incidents of abuse or neglect; Whether the maltreatment represents a change for this family and a description of conditions in the home when the family was doing better; The family's likely level of cooperation or overall receptivity to accepting agency intervention or assistance; Any potential danger to an investigating worker; The legal status of the child(ren), e.g., custody orders, ex parte orders, criminal proceedings, or interstate compact issues; The reporter's name, relationship to the family, source of information, motivation for making a report at this time, and recommendations regarding the situation; Any possible language or communication barriers, including the need for interpreter services; Specific questions related to domestic violence
g. Unknown	No

Table VIII. Screening decision process and activities

	Response	Required cases	Conditions or types of cases
1. Decision processes used during screening			
a. Supervisory review	Yes	Required for all	—
b. Team-based decision	No	Logical Skip	—
c. Individual screener	No	Logical Skip	—
d. Other (specify)	No	Logical Skip	—
e. Unknown	No	—	—
2. Variability of decision process used for screening			
a. Consistent statewide	Yes	—	—
b. Varies locally	No	—	—
c. Unknown	No	—	—
3. Certain activities or information are required as part of screening			
Yes			
4. Activities/information required as part of screening			
a. Safety or risk assessment	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable
b. Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
c. Other (specify)	Yes - Review of sex offender registry and MD Judiciary Case search; Complete Child Abuse/Neglect screening and response time assessment; Check history on Department of Juvenile Services dashboard	Required for all	Not applicable
5. Types of safety/risk assessment used during screening			
a. Structured decision making	Logical Skip	—	—
b. Other (specify)	Logical Skip	—	—
6. Consistency of screening activities/information			
a. Consistent statewide	Yes	—	—
b. Varies locally (specify)	No	—	—
c. Unknown	No	—	—

Table IX. Screeners

	Response
1. Screener of abuse and neglect reports	
a. Caseworkers (frontline staff)	Yes
b. Case managers (supervisors)	Yes
c. Staff in specialized screening unit	Yes
d. Other (specify)	No
2. Qualifications of screener	
a. Associate's degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes
c. Master's degree	No
d. Training for screening (specify)	Yes - Screeners must be properly trained on Structured Decision Making (SDM) or, screening practices aligned with Family-Centered Practices, and apply screening policies that allow screeners to obtain the most comprehensive and complete information possible to inform decision making and subsequent agency actions; 'Trained staff or trained screener' is defined as those staff who have the technical skills and knowledge to receive reports/information and are able to make a recommendation to the supervisor for appropriate resolution; Trained staff, generally classified as Family Services Caseworker, Family Services Case Work Specialist, Social Worker, or their supervisors, are required to participate in ongoing child welfare services training
e. Years of experience (specify)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No
3. Degree in social work or related field for screeners	
a. Not required	No
b. Required	Yes
c. Recommended or preferred, but not required	No
d. Other (specify)	No
e. Unknown	No
4. Tribal involvement in screening process of tribal cases	
a. Tribes are not involved	Yes
b. Tribes conduct screening (specify tribes)	No
c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency (specify tribes)	No
d. Tribes conduct screening and collaborate with state/local public child welfare agency (specify tribes)	No
e. Unknown	No

Domain I: Investigations of child abuse and neglect

Table X. Investigations policies

	Response
1. Child maltreatment investigations lead to criminal penalties	Yes
2. Investigator for reports	
a. Caseworkers (frontline staff)	Yes
b. Case managers (supervisors)	No
c. Staff in specialized investigations unit	Yes
d. Law enforcement	Yes
e. Other (specify)	No
3. Qualifications of investigator	
a. Associate's degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes
c. Master's degree	No
d. Training for conducting investigations (specify)	Yes - The agencies responsible for investigating reported cases of suspected sexual abuse, including the local department, the appropriate law enforcement agencies, and the local State's Attorney, shall implement a joint investigation procedure for conducting joint investigations of sexual abuse, which includes ongoing training program for personnel involved in the investigation or prosecution of sexual abuse cases
e. Years of experience (specify)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No
4. Degree in social work or related field for investigators	
a. Not required	No
b. Required	Yes
c. Recommended or preferred, but not required	No
d. Other (specify)	No
e. Unknown	No
5. Level of evidence required for substantiation (founded/indicated/confirmed)	
a. Preponderance of evidence	No
b. Credible or substantial evidence	Yes
c. Probable or reasonable cause	No
d. Unknown	No
6. Investigation determination can result in an "inconclusive" finding	
a. No	Yes
b. Yes	No

Table X (continued)

	Response
c. Unknown	No

Table XI. Required activities/information for investigation

	Response	Required cases	Conditions or types of cases
1. Certain activities/information required for the investigation process	Yes		
2. Specific activities or information required for investigation			
a. Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
b. Visit to child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
c. Interview or observation of child victim	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
d. Interview or observation of other children living in child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
e. Risk or safety assessment	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
f. Evaluation of home environment or home study	Yes	Required for some	Allegations involving the child's home environment being unsafe
g. Interviews with child's parents, caregivers, or other adults residing in child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
h. Check of criminal records for adults in home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
i. Check of child welfare or central registry for prior child maltreatment allegations against adults in home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
j. Medical evaluation	Yes	Required for some	Only if considered pertinent
k. Mental health evaluation	Yes	Required for some	If mental injury is suspected, an assessment by two of the following: (1) a licensed physician, (2) a licensed psychologist, or (3) a licensed social worker
l. Interview alleged perpetrator	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
m. Interview reporter or collateral source	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
n. Other (specify)	Yes - Parental screening for substance use and domestic violence	Required for some	Required only if applicable

Domain W: Child welfare response

Table XII. Differential or alternative response

	Response
1 Differential/alternative response	
a. No	No
b. Yes - implemented statewide	Yes
c. Yes - implemented in specific counties or regions (specify)	No
d. Unknown	No
2. Types of maltreatment eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. All types of maltreatment are eligible	No
b. Only certain types of maltreatment are eligible	Yes
c. Unknown	No
3. Types of maltreatment <u>not</u> eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. Cases involving child fatalities	Yes
b. Substance-exposed infants	No
c. Physical abuse	No
d. Sexual abuse	Yes
e. Neglect	No
f. Abandoned infants	No
g. Other (specify)	No
4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination	
a. No	No
b. Yes	Yes
c. Other (specify)	No
d. Unknown	No
5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response	No
6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. No risk	No
b. Low risk	Yes
c. Moderate risk	No
d. Other (specify)	No
e. Unknown	No

Table XII (continued)

	Response
7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. No other cases or conditions	No
b. No immediate safety concerns	No
c. No or few prior reports of child abuse or neglect	No
d. Other (specify)	Yes - A reassessment of the report or relevant facts that demonstrate that the case meets the criteria for an Alternative Response and a determination that accepted services would address all issues of risk of abuse or neglect and child safety
8. When is determination made for differential/alternative response	
a. At time of screening to screen out to differential response	No
b. After a report is screened in	Yes
c. Other (specify)	No
9. Referrals to community services for cases engaged in differential response	
a. No	No
b. Yes - for all cases	No
c. Yes - when families express interest	No
d. Yes - when there is a determination of risk	No
e. Yes - other (specify)	Yes - If the case does not meet criteria to receive services via in-home services

Table XIII. In-home services, foster care, and permanency

	Response
1. In-home services provided for unsubstantiated cases to maintain intact families	
a. No	No
b. Yes - implemented statewide	Yes
c. Yes - implemented in specific counties or regions	No
d. Unknown	No
2. In-home services provided post reunification	
a. No	No
b. Yes - implemented statewide	Yes
c. Yes - implemented in specific counties or regions	No
d. Unknown	No
3. Tribal involvement in foster care for tribal cases	
a. Tribes do not provide foster care	Yes
b. Tribes provide foster care (specify tribes)	No
c. Unknown	No
4. Foster care extension for those older than 18	Yes
5. Age youth are allowed to remain in extended foster care	
a. Age 21	Yes
b. Other (specify)	No
6. Permanency	
a. Kinship guardianship as a permanency option	Yes
b. Subsidized guardianship	Yes
c. Subsidized kinship guardianship	Yes
d. Subsidized adoption	Yes
7. Foster care case management staff	
a. State/county public agency staff	Yes
b. Contracted provider staff	No
c. Tribal agency staff	No
d. Unknown	No
8. Qualifications of foster care case managers	
a. Associate degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes
c. Master's degree	No
d. Training for case management (specify)	No
e. Years of experience (specify)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No
9. Degree in social work or related field for foster care case managers	
a. Not required	No

Table XIII (*continued*)

	Response
b. Required	No
c. Recommended or preferred, but not required	Yes
d. Other (specify)	No
e. Unknown	No

Table XIV. Agency staff dedicated to equity

	Response
1. Child welfare agency uses staff roles/functions dedicated to addressing disproportionality and/or equity	
a. No staff or roles	Yes
b. Uses cultural brokers	No
c. Uses manager, administrator, or office focused on diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) or multicultural or tribal affairs	No
d. Other (specify)	No
e. Unknown	No
2. Aspects of child welfare process that involve cultural brokers	
a. Screening process	Logical Skip
b. Investigation process	Logical Skip
c. Case management/child welfare response	Logical Skip
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
e. Unknown	Logical Skip
3. Specify other staff roles/job functions dedicated to addressing disproportionality and/or equity	Not applicable
4. Aspects of child welfare process that involve other staff roles/functions used to address disproportionality and/or equity	
a. Screening process	Logical Skip
b. Investigation process	Logical Skip
c. Case management/child welfare response	Logical Skip
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
e. Unknown	Logical Skip

Domain C: Child welfare system context

Table XV. Child welfare system context

	Response
1. State- or county-administered child welfare system	
a. State administered	Yes
b. County administered	No
c. Hybrid (partially administered by the state and partially administered by the counties)	No
d. Unknown	No
2. State operates under legal consent decree or other court-ordered monitoring	Yes - L.J. vs. Massinga

Supplemental Notes on State

Definitions

The State Child Fatality Review (CFR) Team and the multidisciplinary and multiagency child fatality review team established a county consult with each other to define "near fatality."

Reporting

SEN notifications must meet the SEN definition and SDM qualifier to screen in as a SEN Risk of Harm service case. All SEN Risk of Harm service cases are assigned as:

1. Primary Program Assignment: In-Home Services
2. Sub-Program Assignment: Services to Families with Children - Intake (SFC-I) for the assessment

For families requiring ongoing services (transfer of service case), a subprogram assignment change to Family Preservation Services is required. State statute notes a report made to the local department for SEN does not create a presumption that a child has been or will be abused or neglected. However, the state policy on substance-exposed newborns states that at any time during a SEN assessment when circumstances indicate the newborn or any other child associated with the case is in serious, immediate danger and continued placement in the home is contrary to the welfare and safety of the child, the Local Department of Social Services (LDSS) will consider whether the circumstances warrant taking the child into custody and petitioning the court for shelter care. After the LDSS determines petitioning the court for shelter care is warranted (or any time when the worker has reason to believe that a child has been subjected to abuse or neglect), a maltreatment report must be made to Child Protective Services screening documenting the circumstances.

The Department of Human Services does not require training for mandated reporters; however, individual employers and governing bodies may require training on a regular basis. For instance, each local public school system requires staff to be trained yearly.

Screening

The Maryland Children's Electronic Social Services Information Exchange, MD CHESSIE, is Maryland's Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS).

Investigations

A report screened in as an investigative response can be changed to an alternative response if the worker obtains information that meets the criteria for an alternative response after the investigation has begun. Alternatively, a report screened in as an alternative response can be changed to an investigative response if the worker obtains information that meets the criteria for an investigative response after the alternative response has begun.

Child welfare response

For cases not eligible for alternative response, the local department must consider whether it has other information leading to a conclusion that an alternative response would not be the most appropriate intervention to meet the needs of the family or to ensure the safety of children. The supervisor considers the following factors in making this determination, although the mere presence of one of the factors does not rule out assignment to alternative response:

1. History of a family's inability or refusal to participate in an alternative response assessment, including those situations where a family refuses the worker access to a child; family refuses to discuss allegations; family refuses to cooperate, and risk and safety are a concern; or family rejects the services necessary to address immediate safety issues for the child
2. Multiple similar reports (within 2 years)
3. Allegations that the individual suspected of abuse or neglect is responsible for domestic violence currently occurring in the home
4. Criminal history bearing on child safety
5. A current expressed threat of serious harm to child
6. A need for law enforcement for worker's or child safety. Involving law enforcement to conduct a joint response or to address environmental threats that are not specific to the child or family does not preclude the department from providing an alternative response
7. A court has ordered an investigation

Child welfare context

Maryland's consent decree only applies to out-of-home placement in one jurisdiction, Baltimore City.

State Statutes and Policy Documentation Sources

- Child Abuse and Neglect, Md. Code, Fam. Law §§ 5-701–5-715 (2020).
- Child Care; Foster Care, Md. Code, Fam. Law §§ 5-501–5-595 (2020).
- Child Fatality Review Teams, Md. Code § 5-701-709. (n.d.). Retrieved September 3, 2021, from https://health.maryland.gov/phpa/mch/Documents/CFR_StateLaw_5-701_to_5-709.pdf.
- Definitions, Md. Code §§ 07-02-29-02 (n.d.). Retrieved October 23, 2019, from <http://www.dsd.state.md.us/comar/comarhtml/07/07.02.29.02.htm>.
- Domestic Violence, Md. Code, Fam. Law §§ 4-501–4-531 (2019).
- General Requirements, Md. Code §§ 07-02-29-03 (n.d.). Retrieved October 23, 2019, from <http://www.dsd.state.md.us/comar/comarhtml/07/07.02.29.03.htm>.
- Immunities and Prohibited Actions—Health and Public Safety, Md. Code, Courts and Judicial Proceedings §§ 5-601–5-643 (2019).
- Maryland Department of Human Resources. (n.d.). *Youth matter: Out-of-home program handbook for Maryland's youth in foster care*. Retrieved June 2, 2019, from <https://dhs.maryland.gov/business-center/documents/manuals/>.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources. (2007). *Report on implementation of the fiscal 2007 expansion of the subsidized guardianship program and outcomes for children in the subsidized guardianship program*.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources, & Maryland State Department of Education. (2012). *Access to education for children in state-supervised care*. Retrieved June 2, 2019, from <http://dhs.maryland.gov/documents/Manuals/Foster%20Care/access-to-education-for-children-in-foster-care.pdf>.
- Maryland Department of Human Services Social Services Administration. (n.d.). *Policy directive SSA 21-10: Screening reports of child and vulnerable adult abuse/neglect and requests for services*. Retrieved August 3, 2021, from a link which is no longer available.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2004). *Circular letter SSA 04-13: Implementation of the Integration of Child Welfare and Substance Abuse Treatment Services Act of 2000*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from <https://dhs.maryland.gov/documents/SSA%20Policy%20Directives/Child%20Welfare/SSA%2004-13%20Implementation%20of%20The%20Integration%20of%20Child%20Welfare%20and%20Substance%20Abuse%20Treatment%20Services%20Act%20of%202000.pdf>.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2010). *Policy directive SSA 11-04: Policy on courtesy and cross jurisdictional interviews and investigation policy*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from <https://dhs.maryland.gov/documents/SSA%20Policy%20Directives/Child%20Welfare/SSA%2011-04%20Courtesy%20and%20Cross%20Jurisdictional%20Interviews%20and%20Investigation%20Policy.pdf>.

- Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2012). *Policy directive SSA 12-39: Consolidated in-home services policy*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from <https://dhs.maryland.gov/documents/SSA%20Policy%20Directives/Child%20Welfare/SSA%2012-39%20Consolidated%20In-Home%20Services.pdf>.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2012). *Policy directive SSA 13-01: Adoption assistance program*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from <https://dhs.maryland.gov/documents/?dir=SSA%20Policy%20Directives/Child%20Welfare>.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2014). *Intimate partner violence lethality assessment*. Retrieved Oct 25, 2021, from <https://dhs.maryland.gov/documents/SSA%20Policy%20Directives/Child%20Welfare/SSA%2014-14%20Intimate%20Partner%20Violence%20Lethality%20Assessment.pdf>.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2014). *Policy directive SSA-CW 14-10: How to appropriately respond to reports alleging substantial risk of sexual abuse by registered child sexual offenders*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from <https://dhs.maryland.gov/documents/SSA%20Policy%20Directives/Child%20Welfare/SSA%2014-10%20How%20to%20Appropriately%20Respond%20to%20Reports%20Alleging%20Substantial%20Risk%20of%20Sexual%20Abuse%20by%20Registered%20Child%20Sexual%20Offenders.pdf>.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2015). *Policy directive SSA-CW 15-19: Alternative response*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from a link which is no longer available.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2015). *Policy directive SSA-CW 15-21: Maryland's SAFE-C and safety plan*. Retrieved from <https://dhs.maryland.gov/documents/?dir=SSA%20Policy%20Directives/Child%20Welfare>.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2015). *Policy directive SSA-CW 16-03: Caseworker visitation with child*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from <https://dhs.maryland.gov/documents/SSA%20Policy%20Directives/Child%20Welfare/SSA%2016-03%20Caseworker%20Visitation%20with%20Child.pdf>.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2016). *Policy directive SSA-CW 17-14: Failure to report child abuse and neglect*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from <https://dhs.maryland.gov/documents/SSA%20Policy%20Directives/Child%20Welfare/SSA%2017-14%20Failure%20to%20Report%20Child%20Abuse%20and%20Neglect.pdf>.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2017). *Policy directive SSA-CW 18-04: Maryland Safe Haven program*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from <https://dhs.maryland.gov/documents/SSA%20Policy%20Directives/Child%20Welfare/SSA%2018-04%20Maryland%20Safe%20Haven%20Program.pdf>.
- Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2017). *Policy directive SSA-CW 18-06: CPS Screening and case determination process*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from <https://dhs.maryland.gov/documents/?dir=SSA%20Policy%20Directives/Child%20Welfare>.

Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2018). *Policy directive SSA-CW 18-16: Investigations of allegations of child abuse and neglect in child care facilities*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from a link which is no longer available.

Maryland Department of Human Resources Social Services Administration. (2018). *Policy directive SSA-CW 19-1: Utilization of adoption promotion and support services funding*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from a link which is no longer available.

Maryland Department of Human Services. (n.d.). *Risk of harm*. Retrieved September 3, 2021, from <https://dhs.maryland.gov/child-protective-services/risk-of-harm/>.

Maryland Department of Human Services. (2021). *Policy directive SSA 21-05: Substance exposed newborn*. Retrieved August 3, 2021, from a link which is no longer available.

Maryland Safe Haven Program, Md. Code §§ 07-02-27 (n.d.).

Receiving Reports of Child Abuse or Neglect, Md. Code §§ 07-02-27-05 (n.d.).

Development of Procedures for Joint Investigations, Md. Code §§ 07-02-07-23 (n.d.).

Employee Qualifications, Md. Code §§ 07-05-01-14 (n.d.). Retrieved July 7, 2021, from <http://www.dsd.state.md.us/comar/comarhtml/07/07.05.01.14.htm>.