

Overview of the SCAN Policies Database

The State Child Abuse and Neglect (SCAN) Policies Database compiles data on the definitions and policies that states use in their surveillance of child maltreatment, along with data on associated risk and protective factors. The SCAN Policies Database is funded by the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation in collaboration with the Children's Bureau in the Administration for Children and Families at the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The project team is led by Mathematica in partnership with Child Trends.

The project's purpose is to review and compile information from states' definitions and policies, to create a database of those definitions and policies (the SCAN Policies Database) that can be used for analysis. The database is a resource for researchers, analysts, and others who are interested in examining differences between states in their definitions and policies on child maltreatment.

Content

The scope of the SCAN Policies Database includes information about state definitions and policies related to child abuse and neglect for all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. This information represents data collected, reviewed, and verified between May 2019 and July 2020. The data reflect the state definitions and policies for the calendar year 2019. The scope of topics in the SCAN Policies Database includes states' definitions of child abuse and neglect as well as information about policies related to reporting, screening, and investigating child maltreatment. Key aspects of the child welfare systems' responseand context are also included.

The content in the database is organized into six domains. The state profiles, codebook, data collection protocol, and data file are also organized by these domains. In the protocol, each question is named with a prefix that identifies its associated domain. The six domains are listed below, with the identifying protocol number prefix.

Domain	Question prefix
Definitions	D
Reporting	R
Screening	S
Investigation	1
Child welfare response	W
Child welfare system context	С

State Profile

This SCAN Policies Database state profile serves as a summary of the information collected about the definitions and policies for the identified state. Each profile is organized by topical domains, which contain a set of tables that depict the state's information for each variable within that domain.

2019

This information was gathered through a document collection, review, and coding process conducted by the SCAN Policies Database team. Input from states on data collection was obtained through a confirmation and verification process. More information about the SCAN Policies Database data collection procedures can be found in the data user's guide and data collection protocol which are accessible from the Data Use Resources page https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources.

The state profile presents responses for each variable with "yes," "no," "unknown," or other response as appropriate. The response of "unknown" was used for topics that could not be located from the state's available resources or verified with the state. In some cases, "logical skip" was used when a question was not applicable to a particular state given a related response on a preceding question.

Data use resources

Several data use resources are available to support users of the SCAN Policies Database:

- Data user's guide: The guide has detailed information about the data set, including the process used to collect and review the data, the scope of information included in the data set, guidance on using the data, such as how to link the data with other data sources; and notes about specific topics. This data user's guide also has two appendices. Appendix A provides a glossary of key terms. Appendix B summarizes the decisions made on the scope and variables to include in the SCAN Policies Database after a data quality assessment.
- Codebook: The codebook provides information about each variable in the data set, including variable names, labels, definitions, protocol number, variable type, and frequencies. The codebook has two appendices. Appendix A contains supplemental notes that are important for accurately interpreting and using the data. Appendix B is a comprehensive list of all state statutes and policy documentation sources used to collect data for the SCAN Policies Database for each state, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.
- **Data collection protocol:** The protocol has the questions used to collect information about states' statutes and policies as part of the data review and coding process. Appendix A provides a glossary of key terms.

These data use resources can be found on the SCAN Policies Database website (https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources) or from National Data Archive for Child Abuse and Neglect (NDACAN) (https://www.ndacan.acf.hhs.gov/).

More Information

More information about the SCAN Policies Database can be found at https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com. General inquiries can be submitted to SCANPoliciesDatabase@mathematica-mpr.com.

State Identifying Information

Table I.A. State identifying information

	Response
a. State abbreviation	TX
b. State Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) code	48
c. Census region code	South
d. State verified coding of information	Yes
e. State confirmed documents reviewed	Yes
f. State definitions and policies for calendar year	2019

2019

Domain D: Definitions of child maltreatment

Table II.A. State's definition of child maltreatment

	Response
Types of maltreatment included in state definition	Кезропзе
a. Physical abuse	Yes
b. Excessive corporal punishment	Yes
c. Sexual abuse	Yes
d. Emotional maltreatment	Yes
e. Neglect	Yes
f. Inadequate clothing	Yes
g. Inadequate shelter	Yes
h. Malnourishment, inadequate food	Yes
i. Medical neglect, inadequate medical care	Yes
j. Failure-to-thrive	Yes
k. Educational neglect	No
I. Abandonment	Yes
m. Injurious environment. Likelihood of harm to child's health, physical well-being	Yes
n. Drug Lab. Child present within structure where methamphetamine is being created	Yes
Inadequate supervision. Failure to meet parent or caretaker responsibilities	Yes
Drug or alcohol misuse. Parental drug or alcohol misuse causing harm to child	Yes
q. Prenatal exposure to drugs or alcohol	Yes
r. Illicit substance. Illegally providing a controlled substance to a child	Yes
s. Human trafficking, involuntary servitude, sexual servitude	Yes
t. Female genital mutilation	Yes
u. Shaken baby syndrome, abusive head trauma	Yes
v. Failure to protect. Failure to protect from harm	Yes
w. Domestic violence. Exposure to domestic violence	No
x. Factitious disorder by proxy	Yes
y. Institutional abuse/neglect	No
z. Other definition (specify)	Yes - Forcing or coercing a child to enter into a marriage

2019

Table II.A (continued)

		Response
2.	Subtypes of maltreatment included in state definition	Кеоронос
a.	Subtypes of maltreatment considered abuse	Abuse includes emotional abuse, labor trafficking, physical abuse, sex trafficking, sexual abuse; Physical abuse includes substance use by parent, (specifically current use of a controlled substance, in a manner or to the extent that the use results in physical injury to a child and causing, expressly permitting, or encouraging a child to use a controlled substance); Emotional abuse include parental substance use (specifically the current use of a controlled substance, in a manner or to the extent that the use results in mental or emotional injury to a child)
b.	Subtypes of maltreatment considered neglect	Neglect includes abandonment, neglectful supervision, medical neglect, physical neglect, refusal to assume parental responsibility
	Subtypes of maltreatment considered other than abuse or neglect	Not applicable
3.	Level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Inflicts harm	Yes
b.	Imminent danger or substantial risk of harm	Yes
4.	Differences in level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment by type of maltreatment	No
5.	Type of harm or injury specified in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Death, bodily injury, impairment of physical condition	Yes
b.	Impairment of mental or emotional condition	Yes
C.	Harmful environment, conditions	Yes
d.	Type of harm or injury not specified	No
e.	Other (specify)	No
6.	Variation in extent of injury or harm by maltreatment type in the state's definition of child maltreatment	No
7.	Perpetrator identified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	Yes
8.	Types of perpetrators specified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Any adult	No
b.	Parent	Yes
C.	Guardian	Yes
d.	Caregiver/caretaker	Yes
e.	Family member/parent paramour	Yes
f.	Household member	Yes

2019

Table II.A (continued)

		Response
g.	Person responsible for child	Yes
h.	Other (specify)	No
9.	Types of perpetrators vary by type of maltreatment	Yes
10.	Explanation of variation in types of perpetrator by maltreatment type	For abandonment, the perpetrator is a parent, guardian, or managing or possessory conservator of the child; Temporary caregivers can be considered perpetrators for neglectful supervision or refusal to assume parental responsibility
11.	Child age included in definition of child maltreatment	Yes
12.	Specific child age in definition of child maltreatment	Under age 18 with variability under 18
13.	Variability of child age by type of maltreatment	Yes - A child is a person under 18 who is not and has not been married or who has not had his disabilities of minority removed for general purposes

te profile: Texas 2019

Table II.B. Child maltreatment definition exemptions

		Response: Yes/No/Unknown
1.	Exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Financial issues, financial inability to provide for a child	Yes
b.	Discipline, physical discipline as long as it is reasonable and causes no bodily injury to the child	Yes
C.	Safe haven exemption, newborn relinquished or abandoned in accordance with infant safe haven laws	Yes
d.	Infant testing positive drugs – medical, newborn with positive test for controlled substance as a result of parent's medical treatment	Yes
e.	Religious observance, parent relies on spiritual or religious forms of medical treatment	Yes
f.	Other exemption (specify)	Yes - Truancy; Runaway child; Children in need of supervision; Latch-key children; Harmful or violent children; Children with severe emotional disturbances
2.	Safe haven exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Must leave a child at specific safe haven locations	Yes
b.	Child must be left by parent or parent's agent	Yes
C.	Child must be left by a certain age (specify)	Yes - 60 days old or younger
d.	No intent to return	Yes
e.	Child must be left unharmed	Yes
f.	Other (specify)	No

2019

Domain R: Reporting child abuse and neglect

Table III.A. Reporting policies

Table III.A. Reporting policies	_	
	Response	
1. Statewide centralized reporting	Yes	
2. How reporting is decentralized		
a. Each county or region has its own reporting hotline	Logical Skip	
b. Some counties or regions have own reporting hotline	Logical Skip	
c. During some times of the day, counties or regions have their own reporting hotline	Logical Skip	
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip	
3. Standard for reporting child maltreatment		
a. Known abuse and neglect	No	
b. Reasonable cause to believe a child was abused or neglected	Yes	
4. Universal mandated reporting	Yes	
5. Required training for mandated reporters	No	
6. Penalties for failure to report	Yes, all adults	
7. Specific penalties for failure to report		
a. Criminal charges	Yes	
b. Civil charges	No	
c. Professional licensure suspended or revoked	Yes	
d. Other (specify)	No	
8. Penalties for false reporting	Yes	
9. Specific penalties for false reporting		
a. Criminal charges	Yes	
b. Civil charges	Yes	
c. Professional license suspended or revoked	No	
d. Other (specify)	No	
e. Unknown	No	
10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect	Yes	
11. Information requested at the time of report		
a. Identifying information of child	Yes	
b. Location/contact information of child and family	Yes	
c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment	Yes	
d. Date of suspected maltreatment	Yes	
e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s)	Yes	
f. Identifying and other information of reporter	Yes	
g. Identifying information of child's parents, guardian, or caregiver/caretaker	No	
h. Identifying and other information of family/household members	Yes	
i. Information on prior maltreatment	No	
j. Other (specify)	Yes - Collateral contacts	
12. Anonymity of reporter		
a. All reporters (including mandated reports) can stay anonymous	Yes	

2019

Table III.A (continued)

	Response
b. The general public can be anonymous (mandated reporters cannot remain anonymous)	No
c. Reporters (including mandated reporters) cannot be anonymous	No
d. Unknown	No
13. Tribal involvement in accepting reports of tribal cases	
a. Tribes are not involved	Yes
b. Tribes accept reports (specify tribes)	No
c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency accept reports (specify tribes)	No
d. Unknown	No

Table III.B. Types of mandated reporters

	Response	Response	Response
1. Types of mandated reporters	Included in state's definition of mandated reporters	Training required ¹	Subject to penalties for failure to report ²
a. Foster parents	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
b. School staff – teachers	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
c. School bus drivers or other transportation staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
d. Before/after school program staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
e. Child care staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
f. Camp counselors, directors, or administrators	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
g. Athletic coaches or staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
h. Medical or dental professionals	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
i. Substance abuse disorder treatment providers	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
j. Mental health, counselors, or other social service professionals	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
k. Police or other law enforcement	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
l. Emergency medical technicians, firefighters, or other emergency personnel	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
m. Judges	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
n. District attorneys or other attorneys	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
o. Guardian ad litems or Court-appointed special advocates	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
p. Other court personnel	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
q. Shelter staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
r. Those who work in fields processing or monitoring print, film, or computer mages	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
s. Religious clergy	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
t. Volunteers	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
u. Other type of mandated reporter (specify)	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes - Not specified

¹Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no training is required for mandated reporters (Table III.A.5). Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table III.A.4) and all mandated reporters require training (Table III.A.5).

2019

Table III.B (continued)

²Responses in this column can equal logical skip with the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table III.A.6). Reponses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table III.A.4) and when all adults or all mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table III.A.6)

profile: Texas 2019

Domain S: Screening reports of child abuse and neglect

Table IV.A. Screening policies

	Response
1. Statewide centralized screening	Yes
2. How screening is decentralized	
a. Each county or region has its own screening unit	Logical Skip
b. Some counties or regions have their own screening units	Logical Skip
c. During certain times of the day, counties or regions have their own screening units	Logical Skip
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
3. Information required to screen in report	
a. Identifying information of child	No
b. Location/contact information of child and family	No
c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment	Yes
d. Date of suspected maltreatment	No
e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No

e profile: Texas 2019

Table IV.B. Screening Decision Process and Activities

	_	_	Conditions or
4 Postsian construction and destination according	Response	Required cases	types of cases
1. Decision processes used during screening	Y	De mine d'fen e ence	
a. Supervisory review	Yes	Required for some	_
b. Team-based decision	No	Logical Skip	_
c. Individual screener	Yes	Required for some	_
d. Other (specify)	No	Logical Skip	_
e. Unknown	No	_	_
2. Variability of decision process used for screening			
a. Consistent statewide	Yes	_	_
b. Varies locally	No	_	_
c. Unknown	No	_	_
3. Certain activities or information are required as part of screening	Yes		
4. Activities/information required as part of screening			
a. Safety or risk assessment	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable
b. Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
c. Other (specify)	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable
5. Types of safety/risk assessment used during screening			
a. Structured Decision Making	Logical Skip	_	_
b. Other (specify)	Logical Skip	_	_
6. Consistency of screening activities/information			
a. Consistent statewide	No	_	_
b. Varies locally (specify)	Yes - Screening for some reports follow regional protocols	_	_
c. Unknown	No	_	_

2019

Table IV.C. Screeners

	Response
1. Screener of abuse and neglect reports	
a. Case workers (frontline staff)	Yes
b. Case managers (supervisors)	Yes
c. Staff in specialized screening unit	No
d. Other (specify)	No
2. Qualifications of screener	
a. Associate's degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes
c. Master's degree	No
d. Training for screening (specify)	Yes - 7 week training (3 in classroom and 4 on the job)
e. Years of experience (specify)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No
3. Tribal involvement in screening process of tribal cases	
a. Tribes are not involved	Yes
b. Tribes conduct screening (specify tribes)	No
c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency (specify tribes)	No
d. Unknown	No

profile: Texas 2019

Domain I: Investigations of child abuse and neglect

Table V.A. Investigations policies

	Response
1. Child maltreatment investigations lead to criminal penalties	Yes
2. Investigator for reports	
a. Case workers (frontline staff)	Yes
b. Case managers (supervisors)	Yes
c. Staff in specialized investigations unit	Yes
d. Law enforcement	Yes
e. Other (specify)	No
3. Qualifications of investigator	
a. Associate's degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	No
c. Master's degree	No
d. Training for conducting investigations (specify)	No
e. Years of experience (specify)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	Yes
4. Level of evidence required for substantiation (founded/indicated/confirmed)	
a. Preponderance of evidence	Yes
b. Credible or substantial evidence	No
c. Probable or reasonable cause	No
d. Other (specify)	No

SCAN Policies Database

State profile: Texas 2019

Table V.B. Required activities/information for investigation

	Response	Required cases	Conditions or types of cases
Certain activities/information required for the investigation process	Yes		
2. Specific activities or information required for investigation			
Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
b. Visit to child's home	Yes	Required for some	If there is a principal child in the home who is 5 years old or younger; The allegations involve the condition of the home or other circumstances in the case make a home visit necessary to ensure child safety
c. Interview or observation of child victim	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
d. Interview or observation of other children living in child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
e. Risk or safety assessment	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
f. Evaluation of home environment or home study	Yes	Required for some	If there is an infant (under 12 months of age), the caseworker must observe the area where the infant sleeps for any unsafe sleep practices
g. Interviews with child's parents, caregivers, or other adults residing in child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
h. Check of criminal records for adults in home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
i. Check of child welfare or central registry for prior child maltreatment allegations against adults in home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
j. Medical evaluation	Yes	Unknown	Not applicable
k. Mental health evaluation	Yes	Unknown	Not applicable
I. Interview alleged perpetrator	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
m. Interview reporter or collateral source	Yes	Required for some	School investigations; In cases where the allegations have been refuted

ate profile: Texas 2019

Table V.B (continued)

	Response	Required cases	Conditions or types of cases
n. Other (specify)	Yes - Arrange for joint investigation with law enforcement if Priority 1 and sexual abuse or physical abuse is alleged, or document why a joint investigation cannot occur; Visual examination of the child; Obtain demographic information from principals; Sexual abuse examinations	Required for some	Sexual abuse

profile: Texas 2019

Domain W: Child welfare response

Table VI.A. Differential or alternative response

	Response
1. Differential/alternative response	
a. No	No
b. Yes—implemented statewide	Yes
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions (specify)	No
d. Unknown	No
2. Types of maltreatment eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. All types of maltreatment are eligible	No
b. Only certain types of maltreatment are eligible	Yes
c. Unknown	No
3. Types of maltreatment <u>not</u> eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. Cases involving child fatalities	Yes
b. Substance-exposed infants	No
c. Physical abuse	Yes
d. Sexual abuse	Yes
e. Neglect	No
f. Abandoned infants	No
g. Other (specify)	No
4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response	
determined by a risk determination	
a. No	No
b. Yes	Yes
c. Other (specify)	No
d. Unknown	No Substituting the state of th
5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response	Yes - Priority rating system
6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. No risk	No
b. Low risk	Yes
c. Moderate risk	Yes
d. Other (specify)	No
e. Unknown	No
7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. No immediate safety concerns	No
b. No prior reports of child abuse or neglect	No

2019

Table VI.A (continued)

	Response
c. Other (specify)	Yes - Any relationship between victim and alleged perpetrator that excludes school employee/volunteer, Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) employee/volunteer/contractor/foster parent/prospective adoptive parent; There can be no open investigation, family-based safety services, or conservatorship case; Case does not qualify if DFPS has had prior custody of a child living in the home and that case was closed within the last 2 years; Case does not qualify if the family has previously refused to participate in DFPS recommended services necessary for the safety of the child, and the collateral information obtained by the Child Protective Investigations (CPI) screener demonstrates the family may again refuse services; Case cannot be an allegation involving manufacturing of illegal substances or involve an active drug raid; Case cannot be an allegation including weapons used to threaten or harm members of household; Case cannot appear that child removal is likely; Case does not qualify if medical neglect allegations indicate there is a serious medical condition that could cause substantial and immediate harm; Case does not qualify if a current household member is a convicted sex offender; Case does not qualify if the child is under the age of 6 and is designated as an alleged victim in the intake, discovered living in the home and appears to be a victim of physical abuse, or living in the home but is not listed as a victim on an intake with allegations of physical abuse; Case is not eligible if the report has been upgraded from a P2 to P1
8. When is determination made for differential/alternative response	
a. At time of screening to screen-out to differential response	No
b. After a report is screened-in	Yes
c. Other (specify)	No
Referrals to community services for cases engaged in differential response	
a. No	No
b. Yes—for all cases	No
c. Yes—when families express interest	No
d. Yes—when there is a determination of risk	Yes
e. Yes—other (specify)	No

profile: Texas 2019

Table VI.B. In-home services and foster care

	Response
In-home services provided for unsubstantiated cases to maintain intact families	Response
a. No	No
b. Yes—implemented statewide	Yes
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions	No
d. Unknown	No
2. In-home services provided post reunification	
a. No	No
b. Yes—implemented statewide	Yes
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions	No
d. Unknown	No
3. Tribal involvement in foster care for tribal cases	
a. Tribes do not provide foster care	No
b. Tribes provide foster care (specify tribes)	No
c. Unknown	Yes
4. Foster care extension for those older than 18 years	Yes
5. Age youth are allowed to remain in extended foster care	
a. Age 21	Yes
b. Other (specify)	No
6. Foster care case management staff	
a. State/county public agency staff	No
b. Contracted provider staff	No
c. Tribal agency staff	No
d. Unknown	Yes
7. Qualifications of foster care case managers	
a. Associate's degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	No
c. Master's degree	No
d. Training for case management (specify)	No
e. Years of experience (specify)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	Yes

te profile: Texas 2019

Table VI.C. Permanency

	Response
1. Kinship guardianship as a permanency option	Yes
2. Subsidized guardianship	Yes
3. Subsidized kinship guardianship	Yes
4. Subsidized adoption	Yes

profile: Texas 2019

Domain C: Child welfare system context

Table VII.A. Child welfare system context

	Response
1. State or county-administered child welfare system	
a. State-administered	Yes
b. County-administered	No
c. Hybrid (partially administered by the state and partially administered by the counties)	No
d. Unknown	No
2. State operates under legal consent decree or other court-ordered monitoring	Yes - M.D. vs. Abbott (also known as M.D. vs. Perry)

2019

Supplemental Notes on State

Definitions

Inadequate clothing and inadequate shelter are considered maltreatment only when they can be tied to a detrimental impact to the child.

Exposure to domestic violence is considered maltreatment whenever a child attempts to intervene, or when a child is within enough proximity to potentially be harmed, or when it causes emotional harm to the child.

In most cases, "religious observance, parent relies on spiritual or religious forms of medical treatment" is exempted from the state's definition of child maltreatment, but there are exceptions if life sustaining medical treatment is required for a newborn, and the parent is refusing treatment.

In Texas, the term "CPS" now refers to ongoing services that may occur after an investigation. This includes family based services and conservatorship. the investigations and alternative response functions are carried out by the program child protective investigations.

Screening

In rare circumstances, an associate's degree will be accepted as a requirement for screeners if it is coupled with some type of protective services experience.

Child welfare response

According to Texas statute, the department may implement the alternative response in one or more of the department's administrative regions before implementing the system statewide. The department shall study the results of the system in the regions where the system has been implemented in determining the method by which to implement the system statewide.

2019

State Statutes and Policy Documentation Sources

Assaultive Offenses, Tex. Penal Code Ann. § 5-22 (2019).

- Investigation of Report of Child Abuse or Neglect, Tex. Family Code Ann. § 5-261 (2019).
- Procedures in Suit by Governmental Entity to Protect Health and Safety of Child, Tex. Family Code Ann. § 5-262 (2019).
- Review of Placement of Children Under Care of Department of Protective and Regulatory Services, Tex. Family Code Ann. § 5-263 (2019).
- Texas Department of Family and Protective Services. (n.d.). *Reporting abuse, neglect and exploitation*. Retrieved July 3, 2019.
- Texas Department of Family and Protective Services. (n.d.). Reporting suspected abuse or neglect of a child in Texas: Reporting basics. Retrieved May 23, 2019.
- Texas Department of Family and Protective Services. (2018). Section IV: Consultation and coordination between states and tribes. Retrieved July 11, 2019, from https://www.dfps.state.tx.us/About_DFPS/Title_IV-B_State_Plan/2018_Progress_Report/VI_Consultation_and_Coordination_of_Tribes_2018.p df
- Texas Department of Family and Protective Services. (2020). *Child protective services handbook*. Retrieved June 3, 2019, from http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/handbooks/CPS/Files/CPS_pg_2120.asp#CPS_2120
- Texas Department of Family and Protective Services. (2020). *Recognize the signs of child abuse*. http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/Child_Protection/Child_Safety/recognize_abuse.asp
- Texas Department of Family and Protective Services. (2020). *Statewide intake policy and procedures*. Retrieved June 26, 2019, from http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/handbooks/SWI_Procedures/Files/SWP_pg_4000.asp#SWP_41 00
- Trafficking of Persons, Tex. Penal Code Ann. § 5-20A.02 (2019).