

#### Overview of the SCAN Policies Database

The State Child Abuse and Neglect (SCAN) Policies Database compiles data on the definitions and policies that states use in their surveillance of child maltreatment, along with data on associated risk and protective factors. The SCAN Policies Database is funded by the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation in collaboration with the Children's Bureau in the Administration for Children and Families at the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The project team is led by Mathematica in partnership with Child Trends.

The project's purpose is to review and compile information from states' definitions and policies, to create a database of those definitions and policies (the SCAN Policies Database) that can be used for analysis. The database is a resource for researchers, analysts, and others who are interested in examining differences between states in their definitions and policies on child maltreatment.

#### Content

The scope of the SCAN Policies Database includes information about state definitions and policies related to child abuse and neglect for all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. This information represents data collected, reviewed, and verified between May 2019 and July 2020. The data reflect the state definitions and policies for the calendar year 2019. The scope of topics in the SCAN Policies Database includes states' definitions of child abuse and neglect as well as information about policies related to reporting, screening, and investigating child maltreatment. Key aspects of the child welfare systems' responseand context are also included.

The content in the database is organized into six domains. The state profiles, codebook, data collection protocol, and data file are also organized by these domains. In the protocol, each question is named with a prefix that identifies its associated domain. The six domains are listed below, with the identifying protocol number prefix.

Domain	Question prefix
Definitions	D
Reporting	R
Screening	S
Investigation	1
Child welfare response	W
Child welfare system context	С

#### **State Profile**

This SCAN Policies Database state profile serves as a summary of the information collected about the definitions and policies for the identified state. Each profile is organized by topical domains, which contain a set of tables that depict the state's information for each variable within that domain.

2019

This information was gathered through a document collection, review, and coding process conducted by the SCAN Policies Database team. Input from states on data collection was obtained through a confirmation and verification process. More information about the SCAN Policies Database data collection procedures can be found in the data user's guide and data collection protocol which are accessible from the Data Use Resources page <a href="https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources">https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources</a>.

The state profile presents responses for each variable with "yes," "no," "unknown," or other response as appropriate. The response of "unknown" was used for topics that could not be located from the state's available resources or verified with the state. In some cases, "logical skip" was used when a question was not applicable to a particular state given a related response on a preceding question.

#### Data use resources

Several data use resources are available to support users of the SCAN Policies Database:

- Data user's guide: The guide has detailed information about the data set, including the process used to collect and review the data, the scope of information included in the data set, guidance on using the data, such as how to link the data with other data sources; and notes about specific topics. This data user's guide also has two appendices. Appendix A provides a glossary of key terms. Appendix B summarizes the decisions made on the scope and variables to include in the SCAN Policies Database after a data quality assessment.
- Codebook: The codebook provides information about each variable in the data set, including variable names, labels, definitions, protocol number, variable type, and frequencies. The codebook has two appendices. Appendix A contains supplemental notes that are important for accurately interpreting and using the data. Appendix B is a comprehensive list of all state statutes and policy documentation sources used to collect data for the SCAN Policies Database for each state, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.
- Data collection protocol: The protocol has the questions used to collect information about states' statutes and policies as part of the data review and coding process. Appendix A provides a glossary of key terms.

These data use resources can be found on the SCAN Policies Database website (<a href="https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources">https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources</a>) or from National Data Archive for Child Abuse and Neglect (NDACAN) (<a href="https://www.ndacan.acf.hhs.gov/">https://www.ndacan.acf.hhs.gov/</a>).

#### **More Information**

More information about the SCAN Policies Database can be found at <a href="https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com">https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com</a>. General inquiries can be submitted to <a href="mailto:SCANPoliciesDatabase@mathematica-mpr.com">SCANPoliciesDatabase@mathematica-mpr.com</a>.

2019

## **State Identifying Information**

## Table I.A. State identifying information

	Response
a. State abbreviation	OK
b. State Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) code	40
c. Census region code	South
d. State verified coding of information	Yes
e. State confirmed documents reviewed	Yes
f. State definitions and policies for calendar year	2019

### **Domain D: Definitions of child maltreatment**

Table II.A. State's definition of child maltreatment

	Response
Types of maltreatment included in state definition	
a. Physical abuse	Yes
b. Excessive corporal punishment	Yes
c. Sexual abuse	Yes
d. Emotional maltreatment	Yes
e. Neglect	Yes
f. Inadequate clothing	Yes
g. Inadequate shelter	Yes
h. Malnourishment, inadequate food	Yes
i. Medical neglect, inadequate medical care	Yes
j. Failure-to-thrive	Yes
k. Educational neglect	Yes
I. Abandonment	Yes
m. Injurious environment. Likelihood of harm to child's health, physical well-being	Yes
n. Drug Lab. Child present within structure where methamphetamine is being created	Yes
Inadequate supervision. Failure to meet parent or caretaker responsibilities	Yes
p. Drug or alcohol misuse. Parental drug or alcohol misuse causing harm to child	Yes
q. Prenatal exposure to drugs or alcohol	Yes
r. Illicit substance. Illegally providing a controlled substance to a child	Yes
s. Human trafficking, involuntary servitude, sexual servitude	Yes
t. Female genital mutilation	No
u. Shaken baby syndrome, abusive head trauma	Yes
v. Failure to protect. Failure to protect from harm	Yes
w. Domestic violence. Exposure to domestic violence	Yes
x. Factitious disorder by proxy	No
y. Institutional abuse/neglect	No
z. Other definition (specify)	Yes - Heinous and shocking abuse and neglect
Subtypes of maltreatment included in state definition	
a. Subtypes of maltreatment considered abuse	Abuse includes emotional maltreatment, sexual abuse and sexual exploitation, death and near death; Physical abuse includes extreme physical punishment and shaking a child

2019

## Table II.A (continued)

		Response
b.	Subtypes of maltreatment considered neglect	Neglect includes inadequate food, clothing, shelter, education, and medical care; Failure to thrive is a subtype of heinous and shocking neglect; Drugendangered child is considered threat of harm; Injurious environment could be a subtype of either failure to protect or threat of harm, death and near death
	Subtypes of maltreatment considered other than abuse or neglect	Not applicable
3.	Level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Inflicts harm	Yes
b.	Imminent danger or substantial risk of harm	Yes
4.	Differences in level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment by type of maltreatment	Yes - Definitions of 'shocking and heinous, abuse and neglect' includes levels of harm
5.	Type of harm or injury specified in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Death, bodily injury, impairment of physical condition	Yes
b.	Impairment of mental or emotional condition	Yes
C.	Harmful environment, conditions	Yes
d.	Type of harm or injury not specified	No
e.	Other (specify)	No
6.	Variation in extent of injury or harm by maltreatment type in the state's definition of child maltreatment	No
7.	Perpetrator identified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	Yes
8.	Types of perpetrators specified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Any adult	No
b.	Parent	Yes
C.	Guardian	Yes
d.	Caregiver/caretaker	Yes
e.	Family member/parent paramour	No
f.	Household member	Yes
g.	Person responsible for child	Yes
h.	Other (specify)	No

2019

## Table II.A (continued)

	Response	
Types of perpetrators vary by type of maltreatment	Yes	
Explanation of variation in types of perpetrator by maltreatment type	For failure to protect, the perpetrator is a non-abusin parent or guardian; For sexual abuse, any person over 18 years of age or the person responsible for child is a perpetrator	
11. Child age included in definition of child maltreatment	Yes	
12. Specific child age in definition of child maltreatment	Under age 18 with variability under 18	
13. Variability of child age by type of maltreatment	Yes - A child includes persons under 18 who are unmarried; Minor injury of a child under 10 is not considered physical abuse unless the actions that caused the injury placed the child in grave physical danger	

2019

Table II.B. Child maltreatment definition exemptions

		_
		Response:
		Yes/No/Unknown
1.	Exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Financial issues, financial inability to provide for a child	No
b.	Discipline, physical discipline as long as it is reasonable and causes no bodily injury to the child	Yes
C.	Safe haven exemption, newborn relinquished or abandoned in accordance with infant safe haven laws	Yes
d.	Infant testing positive drugs – medical, newborn with positive test for controlled substance as a result of parent's medical treatment	No
e.	Religious observance, parent relies on spiritual or religious forms of medical treatment	Yes
f.	Other exemption (specify)	No
2.	Safe haven exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Must leave a child at specific safe haven locations	Yes
b.	Child must be left by parent or parent's agent	Yes
C.	Child must be left by a certain age (specify)	Yes - 7 days old or younger
d.	No intent to return	Yes
e.	Child must be left unharmed	No
f.	Other (specify)	No

# Domain R: Reporting child abuse and neglect

Table III.A. Reporting policies

	Response	
1. Statewide centralized reporting	Yes	
2. How reporting is decentralized		
a. Each county or region has its own reporting hotline	Logical Skip	
b. Some counties or regions have own reporting hotline	Logical Skip	
c. During some times of the day, counties or regions have their own reporting hotline	Logical Skip	
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip	
3. Standard for reporting child maltreatment		
a. Known abuse and neglect	Yes	
b. Reasonable cause to believe a child was abused or neglected	Yes	
4. Universal mandated reporting	Yes	
5. Required training for mandated reporters	Yes, some mandated reporters	
6. Penalties for failure to report	Yes, all adults	
7. Specific penalties for failure to report		
a. Criminal charges	Yes	
b. Civil charges	No	
c. Professional licensure suspended or revoked	Yes	
d. Other (specify)	No	
8. Penalties for false reporting	Yes	
9. Specific penalties for false reporting		
a. Criminal charges	Yes	
b. Civil charges	No	
b. Civil charges c. Professional license suspended or revoked	1	
	No	
c. Professional license suspended or revoked	No No Yes - Fine not to exceed \$5,000 and reasonable attorney fees incurred in	
c. Professional license suspended or revoked d. Other (specify)	No No Yes - Fine not to exceed \$5,000 and reasonable attorney fees incurred in recovering the sanctions	
c. Professional license suspended or revoked d. Other (specify) e. Unknown	No No Yes - Fine not to exceed \$5,000 and reasonable attorney fees incurred in recovering the sanctions No	
c. Professional license suspended or revoked d. Other (specify) e. Unknown 10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect	No No Yes - Fine not to exceed \$5,000 and reasonable attorney fees incurred in recovering the sanctions No	
c. Professional license suspended or revoked d. Other (specify)  e. Unknown 10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect 11. Information requested at the time of report	No No Yes - Fine not to exceed \$5,000 and reasonable attorney fees incurred in recovering the sanctions No Yes	
c. Professional license suspended or revoked d. Other (specify)  e. Unknown 10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect 11. Information requested at the time of report a. Identifying information of child	No No Yes - Fine not to exceed \$5,000 and reasonable attorney fees incurred in recovering the sanctions No Yes Yes	
c. Professional license suspended or revoked d. Other (specify)  e. Unknown  10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect  11. Information requested at the time of report a. Identifying information of child b. Location/contact information of child and family	No No Yes - Fine not to exceed \$5,000 and reasonable attorney fees incurred in recovering the sanctions No Yes  Yes Yes	
c. Professional license suspended or revoked d. Other (specify)  e. Unknown  10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect  11. Information requested at the time of report  a. Identifying information of child b. Location/contact information of child and family c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment	No No Yes - Fine not to exceed \$5,000 and reasonable attorney fees incurred in recovering the sanctions No Yes  Yes Yes Yes	
c. Professional license suspended or revoked d. Other (specify)  e. Unknown  10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect  11. Information requested at the time of report a. Identifying information of child b. Location/contact information of child and family c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment d. Date of suspected maltreatment	No No Yes - Fine not to exceed \$5,000 and reasonable attorney fees incurred in recovering the sanctions No Yes  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
c. Professional license suspended or revoked d. Other (specify)  e. Unknown  10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect  11. Information requested at the time of report a. Identifying information of child b. Location/contact information of child and family c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment d. Date of suspected maltreatment e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s)	No No Yes - Fine not to exceed \$5,000 and reasonable attorney fees incurred in recovering the sanctions No Yes  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
c. Professional license suspended or revoked d. Other (specify)  e. Unknown  10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect  11. Information requested at the time of report a. Identifying information of child b. Location/contact information of child and family c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment d. Date of suspected maltreatment e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s) f. Identifying and other information of reporter	No No Yes - Fine not to exceed \$5,000 and reasonable attorney fees incurred in recovering the sanctions No Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	

2019

### Table III.A (continued)

	Response
j. Other (specify)	Yes - Indian Child Welfare Act applicability; Worker safety
12. Anonymity of reporter	
a. All reporters (including mandated reports) can stay anonymous	Yes
b. The general public can be anonymous (mandated reporters cannot remain anonymous)	No
c. Reporters (including mandated reporters) cannot be anonymous	No
d. Unknown	No
13. Tribal involvement in accepting reports of tribal cases	
a. Tribes are not involved	No
b. Tribes accept reports (specify tribes)	No
c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency accept reports (specify tribes)	Yes - Tribes are not specified
d. Unknown	No

Table III.B. Types of mandated reporters

	Response	Response	Response
1. Types of mandated reporters	Included in state's definition of mandated reporters	Training required <sup>1</sup>	Subject to penalties for failure to report <sup>2</sup>
a. Foster parents	Yes	Yes	Yes
b. School staff – teachers	Yes	No	Yes
c. School bus drivers or other transportation staff	Yes	No	Yes
d. Before/after school program staff	Yes	No	Yes
e. Child care staff	Yes	No	Yes
f. Camp counselors, directors, or administrators	Yes	No	Yes
g. Athletic coaches or staff	Yes	No	Yes
h. Medical or dental professionals	Yes	No	Yes
i. Substance abuse disorder treatment providers	Yes	No	Yes
j. Mental health, counselors, or other social service professionals	Yes	No	Yes
k. Police or other law enforcement	Yes	No	Yes
l. Emergency medical technicians, firefighters, or other emergency personnel	Yes	No	Yes
m. Judges	Yes	No	Yes
n. District attorneys or other attorneys	Yes	No	Yes
o. Guardian ad litems or Court-appointed special advocates	Yes	No	Yes
p. Other court personnel	Yes	No	Yes
q. Shelter staff	Yes	No	Yes
r. Those who work in fields processing or monitoring print, film, or computer mages	Yes	No	Yes
s. Religious clergy	Yes	No	Yes
t. Volunteers	Yes	No	Yes
u. Other type of mandated reporter (specify)	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes - Not specified

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no training is required for mandated reporters (Table III.A.5). Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table III.A.4) and all mandated reporters require training (Table III.A.5).

2019

#### Table III.B (continued)

<sup>2</sup>Responses in this column can equal logical skip with the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table III.A.6). Reponses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table III.A.4) and when all adults or all mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table III.A.6)

2019

# Domain S: Screening reports of child abuse and neglect

### Table IV.A. Screening policies

	Response
1. Statewide centralized screening	Yes
2. How screening is decentralized	
a. Each county or region has its own screening unit	Logical Skip
b. Some counties or regions have their own screening units	Logical Skip
c. During certain times of the day, counties or regions have their own screening units	Logical Skip
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
3. Information required to screen in report	
a. Identifying information of child	No
b. Location/contact information of child and family	No
c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment	Yes
d. Date of suspected maltreatment	Yes
e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No

2019

Table IV.B. Screening Decision Process and Activities

	_	_	Conditions or
4 Pasisian massacra used duning accessing	Response	Required cases	types of cases
1. Decision processes used during screening			
a. Supervisory review	Yes	Required for all	_
b. Team-based decision	No	Logical Skip	_
c. Individual screener	No	Logical Skip	_
d. Other (specify)	No	Logical Skip	_
e. Unknown	No	_	_
2. Variability of decision process used for screening			
a. Consistent statewide	Yes	_	_
b. Varies locally	No	_	_
c. Unknown	No	_	_
3. Certain activities or information are required as part of screening	Yes		
4. Activities/information required as part of screening			
a. Safety or risk assessment	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
b. Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
c. Other (specify)	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable
5. Types of safety/risk assessment used during screening			
a. Structured Decision Making	Yes	_	_
b. Other (specify)	No	_	
6. Consistency of screening activities/information			
a. Consistent statewide	Yes	_	_
b. Varies locally (specify)	No	_	
c. Unknown	No	_	_

2019

### Table IV.C. Screeners

	Response
Screener of abuse and neglect reports	recopolico
a. Case workers (frontline staff)	No
b. Case managers (supervisors)	No
c. Staff in specialized screening unit	Yes
d. Other (specify)	No
2. Qualifications of screener	
a. Associate's degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes
c. Master's degree	No
d. Training for screening (specify)	Yes - Specialists are required to complete a 6-week training course which includes in-class training as well as on-the-job training in the field with other specialists, mentors, and supervisors; There is a total of 8 weeks of training completion required prior to specialists begin call intake and disposition
e. Years of experience (specify)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No
3. Tribal involvement in screening process of tribal cases	
a. Tribes are not involved	No
b. Tribes conduct screening (specify tribes)	No
c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency (specify tribes)	Yes - All federally recognized tribes
d. Unknown	No

2019

# Domain I: Investigations of child abuse and neglect

## Table V.A. Investigations policies

	Response
1. Child maltreatment investigations lead to criminal penalties	Yes
2. Investigator for reports	
a. Case workers (frontline staff)	Yes
b. Case managers (supervisors)	No
c. Staff in specialized investigations unit	Yes
d. Law enforcement	No
e. Other (specify)	No
3. Qualifications of investigator	
a. Associate's degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes
c. Master's degree	No
d. Training for conducting investigations (specify)	Yes - Specialists are required to complete a 6-week training course which includes in-class training as well as on-the-job training in the field with other specialists, mentors, and supervisors; There is a total of 8 weeks of training completion required prior to specialists receive a case load assignment
e. Years of experience (specify)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No
4. Level of evidence required for substantiation (founded/indicated/confirmed)	
a. Preponderance of evidence	No
b. Credible or substantial evidence	Yes
c. Probable or reasonable cause	No
d. Other (specify)	No

Table V.B. Required activities/information for investigation

able v.b. Required activities/information for investigation			
	Response	Required cases	Conditions or types of cases
Certain     activities/information     required for the investigation     process	Yes		
2. Specific activities or information required for investigation			
Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
b. Visit to child's home	Yes	Required for some	The investigation or assessment shall include a visit to the home of the child, unless there is reason to believe that there is an extreme safety risk to the child or worker; Home visits are not required for children alleged as abused and/or neglected in a facility based out-of-home setting
c. Interview or observation of child victim	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
d. Interview or observation of other children living in child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
e. Risk or safety assessment	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
f. Evaluation of home environment or home study	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
g. Interviews with child's parents, caregivers, or other adults residing in child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
h. Check of criminal records for adults in home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
i. Check of child welfare or central registry for prior child maltreatment allegations against adults in home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
j. Medical evaluation	Yes	Required for some	The investigation or assessment may include a medical, psychological, or psychiatric examination of any child in the home
k. Mental health evaluation	Yes	Required for some	The investigation or assessment may include a medical, psychological, or psychiatric examination of any child in the home
I. Interview alleged perpetrator	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable

2019

### Table V.B (continued)

	Response	Required cases	Conditions or types of cases
m. Interview reporter or collateral source	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable
n. Other (specify)	Yes - The investigation or assessment shall include an inquiry into whether the person responsible for the health, safety or welfare of the child is an active duty service member of the military or the spouse of an active duty service member	Required for some	Specialized protocols exist in policy for cases including but not limited to: (1) out-of-home investigations, (2) open deprived cases, (3) victims of human trafficking, (4) active military families, (5) infants born to incarcerated mothers (Erica's Rule), (6) abandoned/relinquished newborns, (7) Judicial referrals, (8) investigations involving DHS employees, (9) infants with medical neglect, (10) drug endangered children, (11) cases involving child death and/or near deaths, and (12) cases involving a Multidisciplinary Team (MDT)

# **Domain W: Child welfare response**

Table VI.A. Differential or alternative response

·	Response
1. Differential/alternative response	Поролос
a. No	No
b. Yes—implemented statewide	Yes
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions (specify)	No
d. Unknown	No
2. Types of maltreatment eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. All types of maltreatment are eligible	No
b. Only certain types of maltreatment are eligible	Yes
c. Unknown	No
3. Types of maltreatment <u>not</u> eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. Cases involving child fatalities	Yes
b. Substance-exposed infants	Yes
c. Physical abuse	Yes
d. Sexual abuse	Yes
e. Neglect	Yes
f. Abandoned infants	No
g. Other (specify)	No
4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination	
a. No	No
b. Yes	Yes
c. Other (specify)	No
d. Unknown	No
5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response	Yes - Not specified
6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. No risk	Yes
b. Low risk	No
c. Moderate risk	No
d. Other (specify)	No
e. Unknown	No
7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. No immediate safety concerns	Yes
b. No prior reports of child abuse or neglect	Yes
c. Other (specify)	Yes - Prior reports of abuse or neglect is less than 3; An assessment is conducted when a report meets the abuse or neglect guidelines but does not constitute a serious and immediate safety threat to a child

2019

### Table VI.A (continued)

	Response
8. When is determination made for differential/alternative response	
a. At time of screening to screen-out to differential response	No
b. After a report is screened-in	Yes
c. Other (specify)	No
Referrals to community services for cases engaged in differential response	
a. No	No
b. Yes—for all cases	No
c. Yes—when families express interest	Yes
d. Yes—when there is a determination of risk	Yes
e. Yes—other (specify)	No

Table VI.B. In-home services and foster care

	Response
In-home services provided for unsubstantiated cases to maintain intact families	
a. No	No
b. Yes—implemented statewide	Yes
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions	No
d. Unknown	No
2. In-home services provided post reunification	
a. No	No
b. Yes—implemented statewide	No
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions	No
d. Unknown	Yes
3. Tribal involvement in foster care for tribal cases	
a. Tribes do not provide foster care	No
b. Tribes provide foster care (specify tribes)	Yes - Cherokee Nation of Oklahoma; Cheyenne-Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma; Chickasaw Nation; Choctaw Nation; Citizen Potawatomi Nation; Comanche Nation; Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma; Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma; Kaw Nation; Kialegee Tribe Town; Kickapoo Tribe of Oklahoma; Kiowa Tribe of Oklahoma; Miami Tribe of Oklahoma; Muscogee (Creek) Nation; Osage Nation; Otoe-Missouri Tribe of Oklahoma; Pawnee Nation; Ponca Tribe of Oklahoma; Quapaw Tribe of Oklahoma; Sac and Fox Nation; Seminole Nation of Oklahoma; Seneca- Cayuga Nation of Oklahoma; Tonkawa Tribe of Oklahoma; Wichita and Affiliated Tribes of Oklahoma
c. Unknown	No
4. Foster care extension for those older than 18 years	Yes
5. Age youth are allowed to remain in extended foster care	
a. Age 21	No
b. Other (specify)	Yes - Age 20
6. Foster care case management staff	
a. State/county public agency staff	Yes
b. Contracted provider staff	Yes
c. Tribal agency staff	Yes
d. Unknown	No
7. Qualifications of foster care case managers	
a. Associate's degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes
c. Master's degree	No

2019

### Table VI.B (continued)

	Response
d. Training for case management (specify)	Yes - Completion of the Child Welfare (CW) Specialist Development Plan also known as CORE training is mandatory for each CW specialist. The CW Specialist Development Plan affords a uniform level of competency regardless of the specialist's level of education or field of study. The development plan includes 10-14 weeks of CORE training, structured mentoring, mandatory specialized training, and intensive supervision; The Child Welfare Comprehensive Training Program (CWCTP) is a system that provides in-service training to Child Welfare (CW) specialists through standardized competency-based curricula. This system is designed to provide basic and specialized child welfare knowledge and skills and is one of several sources of job-related training. In addition, the system provides basic skills related to computerized information management necessary to support casework activities
e. Years of experience (specify)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No

e profile: Oklahoma 2019

### Table VI.C. Permanency

	Response
1. Kinship guardianship as a permanency option	Yes
2. Subsidized guardianship	Yes
3. Subsidized kinship guardianship	Yes
4. Subsidized adoption	Yes

2019

# **Domain C: Child welfare system context**

Table VII.A. Child welfare system context

	Response
State or county-administered child welfare system	
a. State-administered	Yes
b. County-administered	No
c. Hybrid (partially administered by the state and partially administered by the counties)	No
d. Unknown	No
2. State operates under legal consent decree or other court-ordered monitoring	Yes - D.G. vs. Yarbrough (also known as D.G. vs. Henry)

2019

### **Supplemental Notes on State**

#### Reporting

Each Department of Human Services (DHS) staff member ensures the employee receives relevant training on their employee's responsibility to report a child or vulnerable adult's alleged abuse, neglect, or exploitation. Any other required training is determined by each specific entity and not known to DHS.

Abuse or neglect occurring to tribal children on tribal land is referred to the tribe and screened out by DHS. Any abuse/neglect occurring to DHS custody children on tribal land is addressed by DHS jointly and in collaboration with the tribe. Any abuse/neglect to tribal children on State land is addressed by DHS and jointly in collaboration with the tribe when the tribe so choses to join.

#### Investigations

Specialized protocols exist in policy for cases including but not limited to:

- 1. Out-of-home investigations
- 2. Open deprived cases
- 3. Victims of human trafficking
- 4. Active military families
- **5.** Infants born to incarcerated mothers (Erica's Rule)
- 6. Abandoned/relinquished newborns
- 7. Judicial referrals
- 8. Investigations involving DHS employees
- **9.** Infants with medical neglect
- 10. Drug-endangered children
- 11. Cases involving child death and/or near death
- 12. Cases involving a multidisciplinary team

Searches for all public records are required on all cases. Additional records checks are obtained when deemed pertinent by the child welfare specialist.

2019

### **State Statutes and Policy Documentation Sources**

- Children and Juvenile Code, Okla. Stat. tit. 10A (2019). Retrieved July 31, 2019, from https://casetext.com/statute/oklahoma-statutes/title-10a-children-and-juvenile-code
- Crimes and Punishments, Okla. Stat. tit. 21 (2019). Retrieved July 31, 2019, from https://casetext.com/statute/oklahoma-statutes/title-21-crimes-and-punishments
- Oklahoma Department of Human Services. (2015). *Policy 340:2-3-33. Procedure for reporting suspected abuse, neglect, verbal abuse, caretaker misconduct, and exploitation.* Retrieved May 28, 2019, from http://www.okdhs.org/library/policy/Pages/oac340002030033000.aspx
- Oklahoma Department of Human Services. (2017). *Policy 340:2-3-2. Definitions*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from http://www.okdhs.org/library/policy/Pages/oac340002030002000.aspx
- Oklahoma Department of Human Services. (2018). *Policy 340:2-3-34. Facility administrator's responsibilities regarding allegations reportable to Office of Client Advocacy (OCA)*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from http://www.okdhs.org/library/policy/Pages/oac340002030034000.aspx
- Oklahoma Office of Administrative Rules. (2018). *Online Oklahoma administrative code and register*. Retrieved September 18, 2019, from http://www.oar.state.ok.us/oar/codedoc02.nsf/frmMain?OpenFrameSet&Frame=Main&Src=\_75tnm2shfcdnm8pb4dthj0chedppmcbq8dtmmak31ctijujrgcln50ob7ckj42tbkdt374obdcli00\_
- Marriage and Family, Okla. Stat. tit. 43 (2019). Retrieved July 31, 2019, from https://casetext.com/statute/oklahoma-statutes/title-43-marriage-and-family