

Overview of the SCAN Policies Database

The State Child Abuse and Neglect (SCAN) Policies Database compiles data on the definitions and policies that states use in their surveillance of child maltreatment, along with data on associated risk and protective factors. The SCAN Policies Database is funded by the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation in collaboration with the Children's Bureau in the Administration for Children and Families at the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The project team is led by Mathematica in partnership with Child Trends.

The project's purpose is to review and compile information from states' definitions and policies, to create a database of those definitions and policies (the SCAN Policies Database) that can be used for analysis. The database is a resource for researchers, analysts, and others who are interested in examining differences between states in their definitions and policies on child maltreatment.

Content

The scope of the SCAN Policies Database includes information about state definitions and policies related to child abuse and neglect for all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. This information represents data collected, reviewed, and verified between May 2019 and July 2020. The data reflect the state definitions and policies for the calendar year 2019. The scope of topics in the SCAN Policies Database includes states' definitions of child abuse and neglect as well as information about policies related to reporting, screening, and investigating child maltreatment. Key aspects of the child welfare systems' responseand context are also included.

The content in the database is organized into six domains. The state profiles, codebook, data collection protocol, and data file are also organized by these domains. In the protocol, each question is named with a prefix that identifies its associated domain. The six domains are listed below, with the identifying protocol number prefix.

Domain	Question prefix
Definitions	D
Reporting	R
Screening	S
Investigation	1
Child welfare response	W
Child welfare system context	С

State Profile

This SCAN Policies Database state profile serves as a summary of the information collected about the definitions and policies for the identified state. Each profile is organized by topical domains, which contain a set of tables that depict the state's information for each variable within that domain.

2019

This information was gathered through a document collection, review, and coding process conducted by the SCAN Policies Database team. Input from states on data collection was obtained through a confirmation and verification process. More information about the SCAN Policies Database data collection procedures can be found in the data user's guide and data collection protocol which are accessible from the Data Use Resources page https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources.

The state profile presents responses for each variable with "yes," "no," "unknown," or other response as appropriate. The response of "unknown" was used for topics that could not be located from the state's available resources or verified with the state. In some cases, "logical skip" was used when a question was not applicable to a particular state given a related response on a preceding question.

Data use resources

Several data use resources are available to support users of the SCAN Policies Database:

- Data user's guide: The guide has detailed information about the data set, including the
 process used to collect and review the data, the scope of information included in the data
 set, guidance on using the data, such as how to link the data with other data sources; and
 notes about specific topics. This data user's guide also has two appendices. Appendix A
 provides a glossary of key terms. Appendix B summarizes the decisions made on the scope
 and variables to include in the SCAN Policies Database after a data quality assessment.
- Codebook: The codebook provides information about each variable in the data set, including variable names, labels, definitions, protocol number, variable type, and frequencies. The codebook has two appendices. Appendix A contains supplemental notes that are important for accurately interpreting and using the data. Appendix B is a comprehensive list of all state statutes and policy documentation sources used to collect data for the SCAN Policies Database for each state, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.
- **Data collection protocol:** The protocol has the questions used to collect information about states' statutes and policies as part of the data review and coding process. Appendix A provides a glossary of key terms.

These data use resources can be found on the SCAN Policies Database website (https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources) or from National Data Archive for Child Abuse and Neglect (NDACAN) (https://www.ndacan.acf.hhs.gov/).

More Information

More information about the SCAN Policies Database can be found at https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com. General inquiries can be submitted to SCANPoliciesDatabase@mathematica-mpr.com.

2019

State Identifying Information

Table I.A. State identifying information

	Response
a. State abbreviation	KS
b. State Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) code	20
c. Census region code	Midwest
d. State verified coding of information	Yes
e. State confirmed documents reviewed	Yes
f. State definitions and policies for calendar year	2019

2019

Domain D: Definitions of child maltreatment

Table II.A. State's definition of child maltreatment

	Response
Types of maltreatment included in state definition	
a. Physical abuse	Yes
b. Excessive corporal punishment	Yes
c. Sexual abuse	Yes
d. Emotional maltreatment	Yes
e. Neglect	Yes
f. Inadequate clothing	Yes
g. Inadequate shelter	Yes
h. Malnourishment, inadequate food	Yes
i. Medical neglect, inadequate medical care	Yes
j. Failure-to-thrive	Yes
k. Educational neglect	Yes
I. Abandonment	Yes
m. Injurious environment. Likelihood of harm to child's health, physical well-being	Yes
n. Drug Lab. Child present within structure where methamphetamine is being created	Yes
Inadequate supervision. Failure to meet parent or caretaker responsibilities	Yes
Drug or alcohol misuse. Parental drug or alcohol misuse causing harm to child	No
q. Prenatal exposure to drugs or alcohol	Yes
r. Illicit substance. Illegally providing a controlled substance to a child	No
s. Human trafficking, involuntary servitude, sexual servitude	Yes
t. Female genital mutilation	Yes
u. Shaken baby syndrome, abusive head trauma	Yes
v. Failure to protect. Failure to protect from harm	Yes
w. Domestic violence. Exposure to domestic violence	Yes
x. Factitious disorder by proxy	Yes
y. Institutional abuse/neglect	No
z. Other definition (specify)	Yes - Neglect of a substance affected infant
Subtypes of maltreatment included in state definition	
a. Subtypes of maltreatment considered abuse	Sexual abuse includes human trafficking; Emotional abuse and physical abuse includes domestic violence
b. Subtypes of maltreatment considered neglect	Neglect includes failure to provide a child with food, clothing or shelter, failure to protect, and medical neglect

2019

Table II.A (continued)

		Response
	Subtypes of maltreatment considered other than abuse or neglect	Subtypes of abuse/ neglect are physical abuse, sexual abuse, mental/ emotional abuse, physical neglect, medical neglect, lack of supervision, educational neglect, and neglect of a substance affected infant; Abandonment is considered a separate kind of maltreatment; Domestic violence is included in the definitions of emotional abuse, physical abuse and lack of supervision
3.	Level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Inflicts harm	Yes
b.	Imminent danger or substantial risk of harm	Yes
4.	Differences in level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment by type of maltreatment	No
5.	Type of harm or injury specified in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Death, bodily injury, impairment of physical condition	Yes
b.	Impairment of mental or emotional condition	Yes
C.	Harmful environment, conditions	Yes
d.	Type of harm or injury not specified	No
e.	Other (specify)	No
6.	Variation in extent of injury or harm by maltreatment type in the state's definition of child maltreatment	No
7.	Perpetrator identified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	Yes
8.	Types of perpetrators specified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Any adult	Yes
b.	Parent	Yes
C.	Guardian	Yes
d.	Caregiver/caretaker	Yes
e.	Family member/parent paramour	Yes
f.	Household member	Yes
g.	Person responsible for child	Yes
h.	Other (specify)	No

2019

Table II.A (continued)

	Response	
Types of perpetrators vary by type of maltreatment	Yes	
Explanation of variation in types of perpetrator by maltreatment type	The perpetrator for neglect can only be a parent, guardian, or person responsible for the child; For mental, emotional, physical, and sexual abuse, any person may be a perpetrator	
11. Child age included in definition of child maltreatment	Yes	
12. Specific child age in definition of child maltreatment	Under age 18 and up to 21 if in the care of the agency	
13. Variability of child age by type of maltreatment	Yes - Abandonment applies to children under 16; Definition of child extends to age 21 for those in custody of department in which the child has a court approved case plan	

2019

Table II.B. Child maltreatment definition exemptions

	·	
		Response: Yes/No/Unknown
1.	Exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Financial issues, financial inability to provide for a child	Yes
b.	Discipline, physical discipline as long as it is reasonable and causes no bodily injury to the child	Yes
C.	Safe haven exemption, newborn relinquished or abandoned in accordance with infant safe haven laws	Yes
d.	Infant testing positive drugs – medical, newborn with positive test for controlled substance as a result of parent's medical treatment	No
e.	Religious observance, parent relies on spiritual or religious forms of medical treatment	Yes
f.	Other exemption (specify)	No
2.	Safe haven exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Must leave a child at specific safe haven locations	Yes
b.	Child must be left by parent or parent's agent	Yes
C.	Child must be left by a certain age (specify)	Yes - 60 days old or younger
d.	No intent to return	Yes
e.	Child must be left unharmed	Yes
f.	Other (specify)	Yes - Child may be left by person having legal custody of the child

Domain R: Reporting child abuse and neglect

Table III.A. Reporting policies

Table III.A. Reporting policies	
	Response
1. Statewide centralized reporting	Yes
2. How reporting is decentralized	
a. Each county or region has its own reporting hotline	Logical Skip
b. Some counties or regions have own reporting hotline	Logical Skip
c. During some times of the day, counties or regions have their own reporting hotline	Logical Skip
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
3. Standard for reporting child maltreatment	Logical Chip
a. Known abuse and neglect	Yes
b. Reasonable cause to believe a child was abused or neglected	Yes
4. Universal mandated reporting	No
5. Required training for mandated reporters	No
6. Penalties for failure to report	Yes, all mandated reporters
7. Specific penalties for failure to report	ree, an mandated reporters
a. Criminal charges	Yes
b. Civil charges	No
c. Professional licensure suspended or revoked	No
d. Other (specify)	No
8. Penalties for false reporting	Yes
9. Specific penalties for false reporting	
a. Criminal charges	Yes
b. Civil charges	No
c. Professional license suspended or revoked	No
d. Other (specify)	No
e. Unknown	No
10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect	Yes
11. Information requested at the time of report	
a. Identifying information of child	Yes
b. Location/contact information of child and family	Yes
c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment	Yes
d. Date of suspected maltreatment	Yes
e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s)	Yes
f. Identifying and other information of reporter	Yes
g. Identifying information of child's parents, guardian, or caregiver/caretaker	Yes
h. Identifying and other information of family/household members	Yes
i. Information on prior maltreatment	Yes
j. Other (specify)	No
12. Anonymity of reporter	
a. All reporters (including mandated reports) can stay anonymous	Yes

2019

Table III.A (continued)

	Response
b. The general public can be anonymous (mandated reporters cannot remain anonymous)	No
c. Reporters (including mandated reporters) cannot be anonymous	No
d. Unknown	No
13. Tribal involvement in accepting reports of tribal cases	
a. Tribes are not involved	No
b. Tribes accept reports (specify tribes)	Yes - Iowa Tribe of Kansas and Nebraska; Kickapoo Tribe in Kansas; Prairie Band Potawatomi Nation, Sac and Fox of Missouri in Kansas and Nebraska
c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency accept reports (specify tribes)	No
d. Unknown	No

Table III.B. Types of mandated reporters

	Response	Response	Response
Types of mandated reporters	Included in state's definition of mandated reporters	Training required ¹	Subject to penalties for failure to report ²
a. Foster parents	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
b. School staff – teachers	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
c. School bus drivers or other transportation staff	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
d. Before/after school program staff	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
e. Child care staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
f. Camp counselors, directors, or administrators	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
g. Athletic coaches or staff	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
h. Medical or dental professionals	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
i. Substance abuse disorder treatment providers	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
j. Mental health, counselors, or other social service professionals	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
k. Police or other law enforcement	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
I. Emergency medical technicians, firefighters, or other emergency personnel	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
m. Judges	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
n. District attorneys or other attorneys	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
o. Guardian ad litems or Court-appointed special advocates	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
p. Other court personnel	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
q. Shelter staff	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
r. Those who work in fields processing or monitoring print, film, or computer images	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
s. Religious clergy	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
t. Volunteers	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip

2019

Table III.B (continued)

	Response	Response	Response
u. Other type of mandated	Yes - Persons licensed to practice	Logical Skip	Yes - Persons
reporter (specify)	the healing arts; Chief administrative	-	licensed to
	officers of medical care facilities;		practice the
	Mediators; Anyone that works as a		healing arts;
	volunteer in an organization that		Chief
	provides services to pregnant teens		administrative
			officers of
			medical care
			facilities;
			Mediators;
			Community
			corrections
			officers; Anyone
			that works as a
			volunteer in an
			organization
			that provides
			services to
			pregnant teens;
			Persons
			licensed to
			practice the
			healing arts

¹Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no training is required for mandated reporters (Table III.A.5). Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table III.A.4) and all mandated reporters require training (Table III.A.5).

²Responses in this column can equal logical skip with the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table III.A.6). Reponses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table III.A.4) and when all adults or all mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table III.A.6)

2019

Domain S: Screening reports of child abuse and neglect

Table IV.A. Screening policies

	Response
1. Statewide centralized screening	Yes
2. How screening is decentralized	
a. Each county or region has its own screening unit	Logical Skip
b. Some counties or regions have their own screening units	Logical Skip
c. During certain times of the day, counties or regions have their own screening units	Logical Skip
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
3. Information required to screen in report	
a. Identifying information of child	Yes
b. Location/contact information of child and family	Yes
c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment	Yes
d. Date of suspected maltreatment	Yes
e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s)	Yes
f. Other (specify)	Yes - Other individuals or agencies who have information about this incident and how to contact them; Availability of a non-abusing adult to protect child from further harm
g. Unknown	No

Table IV.B. Screening Decision Process and Activities

Table 14.5. Corcening Decision 1 100000 and Ac			O and distance and
	Response	Required cases	Conditions or types of cases
1. Decision processes used during screening	Пооролю	Troquiros coco	урсс ст сиссе
a. Supervisory review	No	Logical Skip	_
b. Team-based decision	No	Logical Skip	_
c. Individual screener	Yes	Required for all	_
d. Other (specify)	No	Logical Skip	_
e. Unknown	No	_	_
2. Variability of decision process used for screening			
a. Consistent statewide	Yes	_	_
b. Varies locally	No	_	_
c. Unknown	No	_	_
3. Certain activities or information are required as part of screening	Yes		
4. Activities/information required as part of screening			
a. Safety or risk assessment	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
b. Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services	Yes	Required for some	If report is not immediately accepted for further investigation and/or assessment, a review of agency records is not required, but may be conducted, as needed, to guide the Initial Assessment Decision
c. Other (specify)	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable
5. Types of safety/risk assessment used during screening			
a. Structured Decision Making	Yes	_	
b. Other (specify)	No	_	_
6. Consistency of screening activities/information			
a. Consistent statewide	Yes	_	_
b. Varies locally (specify)	No	_	_
c. Unknown	No	_	_

2019

Table IV.C. Screeners

	Response
1. Screener of abuse and neglect reports	
a. Case workers (frontline staff)	Yes
b. Case managers (supervisors)	Yes
c. Staff in specialized screening unit	No
d. Other (specify)	No
2. Qualifications of screener	
a. Associate's degree	Yes
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes
c. Master's degree	No
d. Training for screening (specify)	Yes - Specialized Training through the Protection Report Center
e. Years of experience (specify)	Yes - 2 years of experience at the Protection Report Center in addition to an associate's degree
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No
3. Tribal involvement in screening process of tribal cases	
a. Tribes are not involved	Yes
b. Tribes conduct screening (specify tribes)	No
c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency (specify tribes)	No
d. Unknown	No

2019

Domain I: Investigations of child abuse and neglect

Table V.A. Investigations policies

	D
	Response
1. Child maltreatment investigations lead to criminal penalties	Yes
2. Investigator for reports	
a. Case workers (frontline staff)	Yes
b. Case managers (supervisors)	No
c. Staff in specialized investigations unit	Yes
d. Law enforcement	Yes
e. Other (specify)	Yes - Tribes
3. Qualifications of investigator	
a. Associate's degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes
c. Master's degree	No
d. Training for conducting investigations (specify)	Yes - Prevention and Protection Services (PPS) Training Academy
e. Years of experience (specify)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No
4. Level of evidence required for substantiation (founded/indicated/confirmed)	
a. Preponderance of evidence	Yes
b. Credible or substantial evidence	No
c. Probable or reasonable cause	No
d. Other (specify)	No

profile: Kansas 2019

Table V.B. Required activities/information for investigation

			Conditions or types of
	Response	Required cases	cases
Certain activities/information required for the investigation process	Yes		
2. Specific activities or information required for investigation			
Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
b. Visit to child's home	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable
c. Interview or observation of child victim	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
d. Interview or observation of other children living in child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
e. Risk or safety assessment	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
f. Evaluation of home environment or home study	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable
g. Interviews with child's parents, caregivers, or other adults residing in child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
h. Check of criminal records for adults in home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
i. Check of child welfare or central registry for prior child maltreatment allegations against adults in home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
j. Medical evaluation	Yes	Required for some	Medical neglect
k. Mental health evaluation	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable
I. Interview alleged perpetrator	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
m. Interview reporter or collateral source	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
n. Other (specify)	Yes - Visiting the scene of the alleged maltreatment; Obtaining relevant legal, medical, or other records	Required for some	At the investigator's discretion

2019

Domain W: Child welfare response

Table VI.A. Differential or alternative response

Table VI.A. Differential or alternative response	<u>_</u>
A Differential/allementing management	Response
1. Differential/alternative response	
a. No	Yes
b. Yes—implemented statewide	No
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions (specify)	No
d. Unknown	No
2. Types of maltreatment eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. All types of maltreatment are eligible	Logical Skip
b. Only certain types of maltreatment are eligible	Logical Skip
c. Unknown	Logical Skip
3. Types of maltreatment <u>not</u> eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. Cases involving child fatalities	Logical Skip
b. Substance-exposed infants	Logical Skip
c. Physical abuse	Logical Skip
d. Sexual abuse	Logical Skip
e. Neglect	Logical Skip
f. Abandoned infants	Logical Skip
g. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination	
a. No	Logical Skip
b. Yes	Logical Skip
c. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
d. Unknown	Logical Skip
5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response	Logical Skip
6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. No risk	Logical Skip
b. Low risk	Logical Skip
c. Moderate risk	Logical Skip
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
e. Unknown	Logical Skip
7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. No immediate safety concerns	Logical Skip
b. No prior reports of child abuse or neglect	Logical Skip
c. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
	•

2019

Table VI.A (continued)

	Response
8. When is determination made for differential/alternative response	
a. At time of screening to screen-out to differential response	Logical Skip
b. After a report is screened-in	Logical Skip
c. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
9. Referrals to community services for cases engaged in differential response	
a. No	Logical Skip
b. Yes—for all cases	Logical Skip
c. Yes—when families express interest	Logical Skip
d. Yes—when there is a determination of risk	Logical Skip
e. Yes—other (specify)	Logical Skip

Table VI.B. In-home services and foster care

	Response	
In-home services provided for unsubstantiated cases to maintain intact		
families		
a. No	No	
b. Yes—implemented statewide	Yes	
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions	No	
d. Unknown	No	
2. In-home services provided post reunification		
a. No	No	
b. Yes—implemented statewide	Yes	
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions	No	
d. Unknown	No	
3. Tribal involvement in foster care for tribal		
cases		
a. Tribes do not provide foster care	No	
b. Tribes provide foster care (specify tribes)	Yes - Iowa Tribe of Kansas and Nebraska; Kickapoo Tribe	
	in Kansas; Prairie Band Potawatomi Nation; Sac and Fox	
	of Missouri in Kansas and Nebraska	
c. Unknown	No	
4. Foster care extension for those older than 18 years	Yes	
5. Age youth are allowed to remain in extended foster care		
a. Age 21	No	
b. Other (specify)	Yes - Age 20	
6. Foster care case management staff		
a. State/county public agency staff	No	
b. Contracted provider staff	Yes	
c. Tribal agency staff	Yes	
d. Unknown	No	
7. Qualifications of foster care case managers		
a. Associate's degree	No	
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes	
c. Master's degree	No	
d. Training for case management (specify)	Yes - Prevention and Protection Services (PPS) Training Academy	
e. Years of experience (specify)	No	
f. Other (specify)	No	
g. Unknown	No	

profile: Kansas 2019

Table VI.C. Permanency

	Response
1. Kinship guardianship as a permanency option	Yes
2. Subsidized guardianship	Yes
3. Subsidized kinship guardianship	Yes
4. Subsidized adoption	Yes

profile: Kansas 2019

Domain C: Child welfare system context

Table VII.A. Child welfare system context

	Response
1. State or county-administered child welfare system	
a. State-administered	Yes
b. County-administered	No
c. Hybrid (partially administered by the state and partially administered by the counties)	No
d. Unknown	No
2. State operates under legal consent decree or other court-ordered monitoring	No

2019

Supplemental Notes on State

Definitions

Using the information in the report, the agency uses current assignment types to establish whether the child was affected emotionally, affected physically, or lacking supervision, and those cases would be assigned as emotional abuse, physical abuse, or lack of supervision.

Inadequate clothing or hygiene is considered maltreatment "to the extent the child's daily activities are adversely impacted or there are medical consequences (for example, sores, infection, physical illness, serious harm, hypothermia, or frostbite)."

The absence of shelter is considered maltreatment because it is "specifically hazardous to the child. Based on the child's needs and abilities, and the context (e.g., current weather conditions, available alternative resources), a child is in physical danger due to the state of shelter provided."

The state's definition of harm only includes actual harm, but when screening reports, the state considers imminent danger.

Screening

Supervisors are only involved in the reviews when they are overriding an initial assessment.

Child welfare response

Kansas does allow some children to remain in care up until their 21st birthday in certain circumstances: "When the court acquires jurisdiction over a child in need of care, jurisdiction may continue until the child has:

- 1. Become 18 years of age, or until June 1 of the school year during which the child became 18 years of age if the child is still attending high school unless there is no court approved transition plan, in which event jurisdiction may continue until a transition plan is approved by the court or until the child reaches the age of 21,
- 2. been adopted, or
- **3.** been discharged by the court.

Any child 18 years of age or over may request, in writing to the court, that the jurisdiction of the court cease."

The state uses the term "permanent custodianship" instead of "guardianship."

2019

State Statutes and Policy Documentation Sources

Child Abuse and Neglect, Kansas Administrative Regulations § 30-46 (2019). Retrieved October 16, 2019, from http://www.kssos.org/pubs/pubs kar.aspx

Crimes and Punishments, Kan. Rev. Stat. § 21 (2019).

District Officers and Employees, Kan. Rev. Stat. § 22-A (2019).

- Kansas Department for Children and Families, & National Council on Crime and Delinquency Children's Research Center. (2019). *The Structured Decision Making System for child protective services: SDM intake assessment policy and procedures manual.* National Council on Crime and Delinquency. Retrieved May 24, 2020, from http://www.dcf.ks.gov/services/PPS/Documents/PPM Forms/Appendices/Appendix 1A.pdf
- Kansas Department for Children and Families. (n.d.). *Prevention and Protection Services:*Online Reporting Information. Retrieved October 15, 2019, from http://www.dcf.ks.gov/services/PPS/Pages/OnlineReportingInformation.aspx
- Kansas Department for Children and Families. (n.d.). *Prevention and Protection Services: Child Mandated Reporters*. Retrieved October 15, 2019, from http://www.dcf.ks.gov/services/PPS/Pages/MandatoryReportersChild.aspx
- Kansas Department for Children and Families. (2018). *Title IV-B child and family services plan:*Annual progress and services report. Retrieved October 16, 2019, from http://www.dcf.ks.gov/services/PPS/Documents/Other/TitleIVBStatePlan.pdf
- Kansas Department for Children and Families. (2019). *PPS policy and procedure manual*. Retrieved January 1, 2020, from http://www.dcf.ks.gov/services/PPS/Documents/PPM_Forms/Policy_and_Procedure_Manual.pdf
- Kansas Department for Children and Families. (2020). Tips for making online report of abuse or neglect. Retrieved October 16, 2019, from http://www.dcf.ks.gov/services/PPS/Documents/Mandated%20Reporter%20How%20To.pdf Minors, Kan. Rev. Stat. § 38 (2019).