

#### **Overview of the SCAN Policies Database**

The State Child Abuse and Neglect (SCAN) Policies Database compiles data on the definitions and policies that states use in their surveillance of child maltreatment, along with data on associated risk and protective factors. The SCAN Policies Database is funded by the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation in collaboration with the Children's Bureau in the Administration for Children and Families at the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The project team is led by Mathematica in partnership with Child Trends.

The project's purpose is to review and compile information from states' definitions and policies, to create a database of those definitions and policies (the SCAN Policies Database) that can be used for analysis. The database is a resource for researchers, analysts, and others who are interested in examining differences between states in their definitions and policies on child maltreatment.

#### Content

The scope of the SCAN Policies Database includes information about state definitions and policies related to child abuse and neglect for all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. This information represents data collected, reviewed, and verified between May 2019 and July 2020. The data reflect the state definitions and policies for the calendar year 2019. The scope of topics in the SCAN Policies Database includes states' definitions of child abuse and neglect as well as information about policies related to reporting, screening, and investigating child maltreatment. Key aspects of the child welfare systems' responseand context are also included.

The content in the database is organized into six domains. The state profiles, codebook, data collection protocol, and data file are also organized by these domains. In the protocol, each question is named with a prefix that identifies its associated domain. The six domains are listed below, with the identifying protocol number prefix.

Domain	Question prefix
Definitions	D
Reporting	R
Screening	S
Investigation	1
Child welfare response	W
Child welfare system context	С

#### **State Profile**

This SCAN Policies Database state profile serves as a summary of the information collected about the definitions and policies for the identified state. Each profile is organized by topical domains, which contain a set of tables that depict the state's information for each variable within that domain.

2019

This information was gathered through a document collection, review, and coding process conducted by the SCAN Policies Database team. Input from states on data collection was obtained through a confirmation and verification process. More information about the SCAN Policies Database data collection procedures can be found in the data user's guide and data collection protocol which are accessible from the Data Use Resources page <a href="https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources">https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources</a>.

The state profile presents responses for each variable with "yes," "no," "unknown," or other response as appropriate. The response of "unknown" was used for topics that could not be located from the state's available resources or verified with the state. In some cases, "logical skip" was used when a question was not applicable to a particular state given a related response on a preceding question.

#### Data use resources

Several data use resources are available to support users of the SCAN Policies Database:

- Data user's guide: The guide has detailed information about the data set, including the process used to collect and review the data, the scope of information included in the data set, guidance on using the data, such as how to link the data with other data sources; and notes about specific topics. This data user's guide also has two appendices. Appendix A provides a glossary of key terms. Appendix B summarizes the decisions made on the scope and variables to include in the SCAN Policies Database after a data quality assessment.
- Codebook: The codebook provides information about each variable in the data set, including variable names, labels, definitions, protocol number, variable type, and frequencies. The codebook has two appendices. Appendix A contains supplemental notes that are important for accurately interpreting and using the data. Appendix B is a comprehensive list of all state statutes and policy documentation sources used to collect data for the SCAN Policies Database for each state, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.
- **Data collection protocol:** The protocol has the questions used to collect information about states' statutes and policies as part of the data review and coding process. Appendix A provides a glossary of key terms.

These data use resources can be found on the SCAN Policies Database website (<a href="https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources">https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources</a>) or from National Data Archive for Child Abuse and Neglect (NDACAN) (<a href="https://www.ndacan.acf.hhs.gov/">https://www.ndacan.acf.hhs.gov/</a>).

#### **More Information**

More information about the SCAN Policies Database can be found at <a href="https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com">https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com</a>. General inquiries can be submitted to <a href="mailto:SCANPoliciesDatabase@mathematica-mpr.com">SCANPoliciesDatabase@mathematica-mpr.com</a>.

2019

# **State Identifying Information**

### Table I.A. State identifying information

	Response
a. State abbreviation	IL
b. State Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) code	17
c. Census region code	Midwest
d. State verified coding of information	Yes
e. State confirmed documents reviewed	Yes
f. State definitions and policies for calendar year	2019

2019

### **Domain D: Definitions of child maltreatment**

Table II.A. State's definition of child maltreatment

Table II.A. State's definition of Clind matteatment	_
	Response
Types of maltreatment included in state definition	
a. Physical abuse	Yes
b. Excessive corporal punishment	Yes
c. Sexual abuse	Yes
d. Emotional maltreatment	Yes
e. Neglect	Yes
f. Inadequate clothing	Yes
g. Inadequate shelter	Yes
h. Malnourishment, inadequate food	Yes
i. Medical neglect, inadequate medical care	Yes
j. Failure-to-thrive	Yes
k. Educational neglect	Yes
I. Abandonment	Yes
m. Injurious environment. Likelihood of harm to child's health, physical well-being	Yes
n. Drug Lab. Child present within structure where methamphetamine is being created	Yes
Inadequate supervision. Failure to meet parent or caretaker responsibilities	Yes
p. Drug or alcohol misuse. Parental drug or alcohol misuse causing harm to child	Yes
q. Prenatal exposure to drugs or alcohol	Yes
r. Illicit substance. Illegally providing a controlled substance to a child	Yes
s. Human trafficking, involuntary servitude, sexual servitude	Yes
t. Female genital mutilation	Yes
u. Shaken baby syndrome, abusive head trauma	Yes
v. Failure to protect. Failure to protect from harm	Yes
w. Domestic violence. Exposure to domestic violence	Yes
x. Factitious disorder by proxy	Yes
y. Institutional abuse/neglect	No
z. Other definition (specify)	No
Subtypes of maltreatment included in state definition	
a. Subtypes of maltreatment considered abuse	Not applicable
b. Subtypes of maltreatment considered neglect	Neglect includes inadequate clothing, inadequate shelter, malnutrition, abandonment, injurious environment (including domestic violence), and inability to meet parent responsibilities

2019

## Table II.A (continued)

		Response
С.	Subtypes of maltreatment considered other than	Not applicable
	abuse or neglect	
3.	Level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Inflicts harm	Yes
b.	Imminent danger or substantial risk of harm	Yes
4.	Differences in level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment by type of maltreatment	No
5.	Type of harm or injury specified in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Death, bodily injury, impairment of physical condition	Yes
b.	Impairment of mental or emotional condition	Yes
C.	Harmful environment, conditions	Yes
d.	Type of harm or injury not specified	Yes
e.	Other (specify)	No
6.	Variation in extent of injury or harm by maltreatment type in the state's definition of child maltreatment	No
7.	Perpetrator identified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	Yes
8.	Types of perpetrators specified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Any adult	No
b.	Parent	Yes
C.	Guardian	Yes
d.	Caregiver/caretaker	Yes
e.	Family member/parent paramour	Yes
f.	Household member	Yes
g.	Person responsible for child	Yes
h.	Other (specify)	Yes - Any person who came to know the child through an official capacity or position of trust
9.	Types of perpetrators vary by type of maltreatment	No
10.	Explanation of variation in types of perpetrator by maltreatment type	Not applicable
11.	Child age included in definition of child maltreatment	Yes
12.	Specific child age in definition of child maltreatment	Under age 18 and up to 21 if in the care of the agency
13.	Variability of child age by type of maltreatment	Yes - A child is a person under 18 who has not legally emancipated; Any person between 18-22 years and residing in a Department of Children and Family Services licensed facility

profile: Illinois 2019

Table II.B. Child maltreatment definition exemptions

		Response: Yes/No/Unknown
1.	Exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment	res/No/Officiowif
a.	Financial issues, financial inability to provide for a child	Yes
b.	Discipline, physical discipline as long as it is reasonable and causes no bodily injury to the child	Yes
C.	Safe haven exemption, newborn relinquished or abandoned in accordance with infant safe haven laws	Yes
d.	Infant testing positive drugs – medical, newborn with positive test for controlled substance as a result of parent's medical treatment	Yes
e.	Religious observance, parent relies on spiritual or religious forms of medical treatment	Yes
f.	Other exemption (specify)	No
2.	Safe haven exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Must leave a child at specific safe haven locations	Yes
b.	Child must be left by parent or parent's agent	Yes
C.	Child must be left by a certain age (specify)	Yes - 30 days old or younger
d.	No intent to return	Yes
e.	Child must be left unharmed	Yes
f.	Other (specify)	No

2019

# Domain R: Reporting child abuse and neglect

Table III.A. Reporting policies

Table III.A. Reporting policies	
	Response
1. Statewide centralized reporting	Yes
2. How reporting is decentralized	
a. Each county or region has its own reporting hotline	Logical Skip
b. Some counties or regions have own reporting hotline	Logical Skip
c. During some times of the day, counties or regions have their own reporting hotline	Logical Skip
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
3. Standard for reporting child maltreatment	203.00
a. Known abuse and neglect	No
b. Reasonable cause to believe a child was abused or neglected	Yes
4. Universal mandated reporting	No
5. Required training for mandated reporters	Yes, some mandated reporters
6. Penalties for failure to report	Yes, some mandated reporters
7. Specific penalties for failure to report	ree, come managed reportere
a. Criminal charges	Yes
b. Civil charges	No
c. Professional licensure suspended or revoked	Yes
d. Other (specify)	No
8. Penalties for false reporting	Yes
9. Specific penalties for false reporting	
a. Criminal charges	Yes
b. Civil charges	No
c. Professional license suspended or revoked	Yes
d. Other (specify)	No
e. Unknown	No
10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect	Yes
11. Information requested at the time of report	
a. Identifying information of child	Yes
b. Location/contact information of child and family	Yes
c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment	Yes
d. Date of suspected maltreatment	Yes
e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s)	Yes
f. Identifying and other information of reporter	No
g. Identifying information of child's parents, guardian, or caregiver/caretaker	Yes
h. Identifying and other information of family/household members	Yes
i. Information on prior maltreatment	No
j. Other (specify)	No
12. Anonymity of reporter	
a. All reporters (including mandated reports) can stay anonymous	No

2019

### Table III.A (continued)

	Response
b. The general public can be anonymous (mandated reporters cannot remain anonymous)	Yes
c. Reporters (including mandated reporters) cannot be anonymous	No
d. Unknown	No
13. Tribal involvement in accepting reports of tribal cases	
a. Tribes are not involved	Yes
b. Tribes accept reports (specify tribes)	No
c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency accept reports (specify tribes)	No
d. Unknown	No

Table III.B. Types of mandated reporters

	Response	Response	Response
1. Types of mandated reporters	Included in state's definition of mandated reporters	Training required <sup>1</sup>	Subject to penalties for failure to report <sup>2</sup>
a. Foster parents	Yes	No	Yes
b. School staff – teachers	Yes	Yes	Yes
c. School bus drivers or other transportation staff	Yes	Yes	Yes
d. Before/after school program staff	Yes	Yes	Yes
e. Child care staff	Yes	No	Yes
f. Camp counselors, directors, or administrators	Yes	No	Yes
g. Athletic coaches or staff	Yes	No	Yes
h. Medical or dental professionals	Yes	No	Yes
i. Substance abuse disorder treatment providers	Yes	No	Yes
j. Mental health, counselors, or other social service professionals	Yes	No	Yes
k. Police or other law enforcement	Yes	No	Yes
I. Emergency medical technicians, firefighters, or other emergency personnel	Yes	No	Yes
m. Judges	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
n. District attorneys or other attorneys	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
o. Guardian ad litems or Court-appointed special advocates	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
p. Other court personnel	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
q. Shelter staff	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
r. Those who work in fields processing or monitoring print, film, or computer images	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip
s. Religious clergy	Yes	No	No
t. Volunteers	No	Logical Skip	Logical Skip

2019

#### Table III.B (continued)

	Response	Response	Response
u. Other type of mandated reporter (specify)	Yes - Coroner or medical examiner personnel; Field personnel of the Department of Healthcare and Family Services, Human Services, Corrections, Human Rights, or Children and Family Services	No	Yes - Coroner or medical examiner personnel; Field personnel of the Department of Healthcare and Family Services, Juvenile Justice, Public Health, Human Services, Corrections, Human Rights, or Children and Family Services

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no training is required for mandated reporters (Table III.A.5). Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table III.A.4) and all mandated reporters require training (Table III.A.5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Responses in this column can equal logical skip with the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table III.A.6). Reponses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table III.A.4) and when all adults or all mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table III.A.6)

e: Illinois 2019

# Domain S: Screening reports of child abuse and neglect

Table IV.A. Screening policies

Poenoneo
Yes Response
Logical Skip
Yes
Yes - Demographics of the family including whether there is a non-custodial parent; Identity of those who reside in the home and their relationship to the child victim; Document the location, residence, and contact information of other subjects or involved parties who do not reside in the home; What is the reporter's basis for reasonable cause to believe a child has been abused or neglected; Any information regarding substance use or misuse in the child's home; Any information regarding a history of domestic violence; Whether any report subjects have a history of involvement with law enforcement; Reporter information, when the reporter is mandated or non-mandated and willing to provide such information; Any knowledge the reporter has regarding a current risk of harm to the child; all identified safety issues known to the reporter; Any knowledge the reporter has about potential danger or threat to Child Protection staff; Any knowledge the reporter has about the mental, emotional and physical condition of the alleged perpetrator; Any knowledge the reporter has about the mental and physical condition of the alleged child victim(s); Any information the reporter has about communication needs of the child, family or alleged perpetrator and the subject's preferred language or method of communication; Whether any subject of the report has a Native American tribal affiliation; The identity and location of possible witnesses to, or persons with information about, the alleged abuse or neglect

profile: Illinois 2019

Table IV.B. Screening Decision Process and Activities

	Response	Required cases	Conditions or types of cases
1. Decision processes used during screening			
a. Supervisory review	Yes	Required for some	_
b. Team-based decision	No	Logical Skip	_
c. Individual screener	No	Logical Skip	_
d. Other (specify)	No	Logical Skip	_
e. Unknown	No	_	_
2. Variability of decision process used for screening			
a. Consistent statewide	Yes	_	_
b. Varies locally	No	_	_
c. Unknown	No	_	_
3. Certain activities or information are required as part of screening	Yes		
4. Activities/information required as part of screening			
a. Safety or risk assessment	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
b. Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
c. Other (specify)	No	Required for all	Not applicable
5. Types of safety/risk assessment used during screening			
a. Structured Decision Making	No		_

2019

## Table IV.B (continued)

			Conditions or
	D	Daminal areas	
	Response	Required cases	types of cases
b. Other (specify)	Yes - The call	_	_
	floor worker		
	considers		
	assessment		
	factors, such		
	as the		
	severity of		
	the incident,		
	the child's		
	ability to		
	protect		
	themselves		
	or seek safe		
	haven,		
	history of		
	incidents,		
	threats made		
	to the child by		
	the		
	perpetrator,		
	whether the		
	child is		
	fearful, and		
	the current		
	location of		
	the alleged		
	perpetrator		
6. Consistency of screening activities/information			
a. Consistent statewide	Yes	_	_
b. Varies locally (specify)	No	_	_
c. Unknown	No	_	_

2019

#### Table IV.C. Screeners

	Response
1. Screener of abuse and neglect reports	
a. Case workers (frontline staff)	Yes
b. Case managers (supervisors)	Yes
c. Staff in specialized screening unit	Yes
d. Other (specify)	No
2. Qualifications of screener	
a. Associate's degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes
c. Master's degree	Yes
d. Training for screening (specify)	Yes - On-the-job training
e. Years of experience (specify)	Yes - 2 years for individuals with a bachelor's degree; 1 year for individuals with a master's degree
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No
3. Tribal involvement in screening process of tribal cases	
a. Tribes are not involved	Yes
b. Tribes conduct screening (specify tribes)	No
c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency (specify tribes)	No
d. Unknown	No

2019

# Domain I: Investigations of child abuse and neglect

### Table V.A. Investigations policies

	Response
1. Child maltreatment investigations lead to criminal penalties	Yes
2. Investigator for reports	
a. Case workers (frontline staff)	Yes
b. Case managers (supervisors)	Yes
c. Staff in specialized investigations unit	Yes
d. Law enforcement	No
e. Other (specify)	No
3. Qualifications of investigator	
a. Associate's degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes
c. Master's degree	Yes
d. Training for conducting investigations (specify)	Yes - When a Child Protection Specialist is also acting as a Forensic Interviewer for the team, the Child Protection Specialist must first complete 32 hours of approved initial training and maintain a minimum of 8 hours continuing education every two years; To maintain Forensic Interviewer status, the Child Protection Specialist must also participate in the peer review process of their work twice yearly
e. Years of experience (specify)	Yes - 1 or 2 years of experience required depending on education, training, and other qualifications
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No
4. Level of evidence required for substantiation (founded/indicated/confirmed)	
a. Preponderance of evidence	No
b. Credible or substantial evidence	No
c. Probable or reasonable cause	Yes
d. Other (specify)	No

e profile: Illinois 2019

Table V.B. Required activities/information for investigation

	Daamanaa	Demissed access	Conditions or types of
	Response	Required cases	cases
1. Certain activities/information	Yes		
required for the investigation			
process			
2. Specific activities or			
information required for			
investigation			
a. Review agency records for	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
prior involvement with child protective services			
b. Visit to child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
		·	
c. Interview or observation of child victim	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
d. Interview or observation of	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
other children living in child's			
home	Vac	Demined for all	Not continued
e. Risk or safety assessment	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
f. Evaluation of home environment or home study	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
g. Interviews with child's	Yes	Doguirod for all	Not applicable
parents, caregivers, or other	162	Required for all	Not applicable
adults residing in child's home			
h. Check of criminal records for	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
adults in home		'	
i. Check of child welfare or	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
central registry for prior child			
maltreatment allegations			
against adults in home		<u> </u>	
j. Medical evaluation	Yes	Required for some	Any time it is determined
			valuable by the investigator; The Child Protection Specialist
			shall observe the child if the
			child victim is alleged to have
			external marks/injuries (e.g.,
			cuts, bruises, welts, burns,
			scratches, sores, etc.) as the
k. Mental health evaluation	No	Logical Skip	result of abuse or neglect  Not applicable
I. Interview alleged perpetrator	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
m. Interview reporter or	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
collateral source		<u> </u>	
n. Other (specify)	Yes - Activities to	Required for all	Not applicable
	determine if the		
	investigation is in good		
	faith		

2019

# **Domain W: Child welfare response**

Table VI.A. Differential or alternative response

	Response
1. Differential/alternative response	
a. No	Yes
b. Yes—implemented statewide	No
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions (specify)	No
d. Unknown	No
2. Types of maltreatment eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. All types of maltreatment are eligible	Logical Skip
b. Only certain types of maltreatment are eligible	Logical Skip
c. Unknown	Logical Skip
3. Types of maltreatment <u>not</u> eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. Cases involving child fatalities	Logical Skip
b. Substance-exposed infants	Logical Skip
c. Physical abuse	Logical Skip
d. Sexual abuse	Logical Skip
e. Neglect	Logical Skip
f. Abandoned infants	Logical Skip
g. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination	
a. No	Logical Skip
b. Yes	Logical Skip
c. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
d. Unknown	Logical Skip
5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response	Logical Skip
6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. No risk	Logical Skip
b. Low risk	Logical Skip
c. Moderate risk	Logical Skip
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
e. Unknown	Logical Skip
7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. No immediate safety concerns	Logical Skip
b. No prior reports of child abuse or neglect	Logical Skip
c. Other (specify)	Logical Skip

2019

### Table VI.A (continued)

	Response
8. When is determination made for differential/alternative response	
a. At time of screening to screen-out to differential response	Logical Skip
b. After a report is screened-in	Logical Skip
c. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
9. Referrals to community services for cases engaged in differential response	
a. No	Logical Skip
b. Yes—for all cases	Logical Skip
c. Yes—when families express interest	Logical Skip
d. Yes—when there is a determination of risk	Logical Skip
e. Yes—other (specify)	Logical Skip

2019

Table VI.B. In-home services and foster care

able VI.B. In-nome services and foster care		
	Response	
1. In-home services provided for		
unsubstantiated cases to maintain intact families		
a. No	No	
b. Yes—implemented statewide	Yes	
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions	No	
d. Unknown	No	
2. In-home services provided post reunification		
a. No	No	
b. Yes—implemented statewide	Yes	
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions	No	
d. Unknown	No	
3. Tribal involvement in foster care for tribal		
cases		
a. Tribes do not provide foster care	No	
b. Tribes provide foster care (specify tribes)	No	
c. Unknown	Yes	
4. Foster care extension for those older than 18 years	Yes	
5. Age youth are allowed to remain in extended foster care		
a. Age 21	Yes	
b. Other (specify)	No	
6. Foster care case management staff		
a. State/county public agency staff	Yes	
b. Contracted provider staff	Yes	
c. Tribal agency staff	No	
d. Unknown	No	
7. Qualifications of foster care case managers		
a. Associate's degree	No	
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes	
c. Master's degree	Yes	
d. Training for case management (specify)	Yes - On-the-job training	
e. Years of experience (specify)	Yes - 1 or 2 years depending on other qualifications	
f. Other (specify)	No	
g. Unknown	No	

profile: Illinois 2019

### Table VI.C. Permanency

	Response
1. Kinship guardianship as a permanency option	Yes
2. Subsidized guardianship	Yes
3. Subsidized kinship guardianship	Yes
4. Subsidized adoption	Yes

2019

# **Domain C: Child welfare system context**

Table VII.A. Child welfare system context

	Response
1. State or county-administered child welfare system	
a. State-administered	Yes
b. County-administered	No
c. Hybrid (partially administered by the state and partially administered by the counties)	No
d. Unknown	No
2. State operates under legal consent decree or other court-ordered monitoring	Yes - B.H. vs. Smith (also known as B.H. vs. McDonald); Aristotle P. vs. Marc Smith (also known as Aristotle P. vs. McDonald); Burgos vs. Calica (also known as Burgos vs. DCFS); Hill vs. Erickson

2019

### **Supplemental Notes on State**

#### **Screening**

Additional info required for screening a report includes:

- 1. Demographics of the family, including whether there is a noncustodial parent
- 2. Identity of those who reside in the home and their relationship to the child victim
- 3. Whether the alleged perpetrator is in a caretaker role
- **4.** The location/residence/contact information of other subjects or involved parties who do not reside in the home
- 5. The reporter's basis for reasonable cause to believe a child has been abused or neglected
- 6. Any information about substance use or misuse in the child's home
- 7. Any information about a history of domestic violence
- 8. Whether any report subjects have a history of involvement with law enforcement
- **9.** Reporter information, when the reporter is mandated or non-mandated and willing to provide such information
- **10.** Any knowledge the reporter has about a current risk of harm to the child
- **11.** All identified safety issues known to the reporter
- 12. Any knowledge the reporter has about potential danger or threat to Child Protection staff
- **13.** Any knowledge the reporter has about the mental, emotional, and physical condition of the alleged perpetrator
- **14.** Any knowledge the reporter has about the mental and physical condition of the alleged child victim(s)
- **15.** Any information the reporter has about communication needs of the child, family or alleged perpetrator, and the subject's preferred language or method of communication
- 16. Whether any subject of the report has a Native American tribal affiliation
- **17.** The identity and location of possible witnesses to, or persons with information about, the alleged abuse or neglect

2019

### **State Statutes and Policy Documentation Sources**

Children, Ill. Comp. Stat. Ann. § 325 (2019).

Civil Procedure, III. Comp. Stat. Ann. § 735 (2019).

Courts, III. Comp. Stat. Ann. § 705 (2019).

Criminal Offenses, Ill. Comp. Stat. Ann. § 720 (2019).

Illinois Department of Children and Family Services. (2014). Subpart C: Department Child Welfare Services. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from

https://www2.illinois.gov/dcfs/aboutus/notices/Documents/Procedures 302 Subpart C.pdf

Illinois Department of Children and Family Services. (2015). *Indian child welfare services*. Retrieved May 14, 2019, from

https://www2.illinois.gov/dcfs/aboutus/notices/Documents/Procedures\_307.pdf#search=india n

Illinois Department of Children and Family Services. (2015). *Manual for mandated reporters*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from

https://www2.illinois.gov/dcfs/safekids/reporting/documents/cfs 1050-

21 mandated reporter manual.pdf

Illinois Department of Children and Family Services. (2015). *Reports of child abuse and neglect*. Retrieved May 28, 2019, from

https://www2.illinois.gov/dcfs/aboutus/notices/Documents/Procedures 300 Appendix B.pdf

Illinois Department of Children and Family Services. (2016). *Placement and visitation services*. Retrieved May 14, 2019, from

https://www2.illinois.gov/dcfs/aboutus/notices/Documents/Procedures 301.pdf

Illinois Department of Children and Family Services. (2018). *Principles of permanency planning*. Retrieved May 14, 2019, from

https://www2.illinois.gov/dcfs/aboutus/notices/Documents/Procedures\_315.pdf

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules. (2018). Section 307.35: Placement of an Indian child. Retrieved August 27, 2019, from

https://www.ilga.gov/commission/jcar/admincode/089/089003070000350R.html