

Overview of the SCAN Policies Database

The State Child Abuse and Neglect (SCAN) Policies Database compiles data on the definitions and policies that states use in their surveillance of child maltreatment, along with data on associated risk and protective factors. The SCAN Policies Database is funded by the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation in collaboration with the Children's Bureau in the Administration for Children and Families at the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The project team is led by Mathematica in partnership with Child Trends.

The project's purpose is to review and compile information from states' definitions and policies, to create a database of those definitions and policies (the SCAN Policies Database) that can be used for analysis. The database is a resource for researchers, analysts, and others who are interested in examining differences between states in their definitions and policies on child maltreatment.

Content

The scope of the SCAN Policies Database includes information about state definitions and policies related to child abuse and neglect for all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. This information represents data collected, reviewed, and verified between May 2019 and July 2020. The data reflect the state definitions and policies for the calendar year 2019. The scope of topics in the SCAN Policies Database includes states' definitions of child abuse and neglect as well as information about policies related to reporting, screening, and investigating child maltreatment. Key aspects of the child welfare systems' response and context are also included.

The content in the database is organized into six domains. The state profiles, codebook, data collection protocol, and data file are also organized by these domains. In the protocol, each question is named with a prefix that identifies its associated domain. The six domains are listed below, with the identifying protocol number prefix.

| Domain | Question prefix |
|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Definitions | D |
| Reporting | R |
| Screening | S |
| Investigation | I |
| Child welfare response | W |
| Child welfare system context | C |

State Profile

This SCAN Policies Database state profile serves as a summary of the information collected about the definitions and policies for the identified state. Each profile is organized by topical domains, which contain a set of tables that depict the state's information for each variable within that domain.

This information was gathered through a document collection, review, and coding process conducted by the SCAN Policies Database team. Input from states on data collection was obtained through a confirmation and verification process. More information about the SCAN Policies Database data collection procedures can be found in the data user's guide and data collection protocol which are accessible from the Data Use Resources page <https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources>.

The state profile presents responses for each variable with "yes," "no," "unknown," or other response as appropriate. The response of "unknown" was used for topics that could not be located from the state's available resources or verified with the state. In some cases, "logical skip" was used when a question was not applicable to a particular state given a related response on a preceding question.

Data use resources

Several data use resources are available to support users of the SCAN Policies Database:

- **Data user's guide:** The guide has detailed information about the data set, including the process used to collect and review the data, the scope of information included in the data set, guidance on using the data, such as how to link the data with other data sources; and notes about specific topics. This data user's guide also has two appendices. Appendix A provides a glossary of key terms. Appendix B summarizes the decisions made on the scope and variables to include in the SCAN Policies Database after a data quality assessment.
- **Codebook:** The codebook provides information about each variable in the data set, including variable names, labels, definitions, protocol number, variable type, and frequencies. The codebook has two appendices. Appendix A contains supplemental notes that are important for accurately interpreting and using the data. Appendix B is a comprehensive list of all state statutes and policy documentation sources used to collect data for the SCAN Policies Database for each state, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.
- **Data collection protocol:** The protocol has the questions used to collect information about states' statutes and policies as part of the data review and coding process. Appendix A provides a glossary of key terms.

These data use resources can be found on the SCAN Policies Database website (<https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources>) or from National Data Archive for Child Abuse and Neglect (NDACAN) (<https://www.ndacan.acf.hhs.gov/>).

More Information

More information about the SCAN Policies Database can be found at <https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com>. General inquiries can be submitted to SCANPoliciesDatabase@mathematica-mpr.com.

State Identifying Information

Table I.A. State identifying information

| | Response |
|--|----------|
| a. State abbreviation | HI |
| b. State Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) code | 15 |
| c. Census region code | West |
| d. State verified coding of information | Yes |
| e. State confirmed documents reviewed | Yes |
| f. State definitions and policies for calendar year | 2019 |

Domain D: Definitions of child maltreatment

Table II.A. State's definition of child maltreatment

| | Response |
|---|--|
| 1. Types of maltreatment included in state definition | |
| a. Physical abuse | Yes |
| b. Excessive corporal punishment | No |
| c. Sexual abuse | Yes |
| d. Emotional maltreatment | Yes |
| e. Neglect | Yes |
| f. Inadequate clothing | Yes |
| g. Inadequate shelter | Yes |
| h. Malnourishment, inadequate food | Yes |
| i. Medical neglect, inadequate medical care | Yes |
| j. Failure-to-thrive | Yes |
| k. Educational neglect | No |
| l. Abandonment | Yes |
| m. Injurious environment. Likelihood of harm to child's health, physical well-being | Yes |
| n. Drug Lab. Child present within structure where methamphetamine is being created | No |
| o. Inadequate supervision. Failure to meet parent or caretaker responsibilities | Yes |
| p. Drug or alcohol misuse. Parental drug or alcohol misuse causing harm to child | Yes |
| q. Prenatal exposure to drugs or alcohol | No |
| r. Illicit substance. Illegally providing a controlled substance to a child | Yes |
| s. Human trafficking, involuntary servitude, sexual servitude | Yes |
| t. Female genital mutilation | No |
| u. Shaken baby syndrome, abusive head trauma | Yes |
| v. Failure to protect. Failure to protect from harm | No |
| w. Domestic violence. Exposure to domestic violence | No |
| x. Factitious disorder by proxy | No |
| y. Institutional abuse/neglect | Yes |
| z. Other definition (specify) | No |
| 2. Subtypes of maltreatment included in state definition | |
| a. Subtypes of maltreatment considered abuse | Physical abuse includes failure to thrive and shaken baby syndrome (subdural hematoma); Sexual abuse includes trafficking |
| b. Subtypes of maltreatment considered neglect | Neglect includes inadequate clothing, inadequate shelter, malnourishment, medical neglect, and inability to meet parent responsibilities |

Table II.A (continued)

| | Response |
|--|--|
| c. Subtypes of maltreatment considered other than abuse or neglect | Not applicable |
| 3. Level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. Inflicts harm | Yes |
| b. Imminent danger or substantial risk of harm | Yes |
| 4. Differences in level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment by type of maltreatment | No |
| 5. Type of harm or injury specified in state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. Death, bodily injury, impairment of physical condition | Yes |
| b. Impairment of mental or emotional condition | Yes |
| c. Harmful environment, conditions | Yes |
| d. Type of harm or injury not specified | Yes |
| e. Other (specify) | No |
| 6. Variation in extent of injury or harm by maltreatment type in the state's definition of child maltreatment | No |
| 7. Perpetrator identified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment | Yes |
| 8. Types of perpetrators specified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. Any adult | Yes |
| b. Parent | Yes |
| c. Guardian | Yes |
| d. Caregiver/caretaker | Yes |
| e. Family member/parent paramour | Yes |
| f. Household member | Yes |
| g. Person responsible for child | Yes |
| h. Other (specify) | No |
| 9. Types of perpetrators vary by type of maltreatment | Yes |
| 10. Explanation of variation in types of perpetrator by maltreatment type | For physical abuse and neglect, the perpetrator is any person who is a family member, household member, or person responsible for child. For sexual abuse, the perpetrator is any person |
| 11. Child age included in definition of child maltreatment | Yes |
| 12. Specific child age in definition of child maltreatment | Under age 18 with variability under 18 |
| 13. Variability of child age by type of maltreatment | Yes - Abandonment applies to children who are 3 or younger |

Table II.B. Child maltreatment definition exemptions

| | Response: Yes/No/Unknown |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. Financial issues, financial inability to provide for a child | No |
| b. Discipline, physical discipline as long as it is reasonable and causes no bodily injury to the child | No |
| c. Safe haven exemption, newborn relinquished or abandoned in accordance with infant safe haven laws | Yes |
| d. Infant testing positive drugs – medical, newborn with positive test for controlled substance as a result of parent's medical treatment | No |
| e. Religious observance, parent relies on spiritual or religious forms of medical treatment | Yes |
| f. Other exemption (specify) | No |
| 2. Safe haven exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment | |
| a. Must leave a child at specific safe haven locations | Yes |
| b. Child must be left by parent or parent's agent | No |
| c. Child must be left by a certain age (specify) | Yes - 72 hours old or younger |
| d. No intent to return | No |
| e. Child must be left unharmed | Yes |
| f. Other (specify) | No |

Domain R: Reporting child abuse and neglect

Table III.A. Reporting policies

| | Response |
|---|-----------------------------|
| 1. Statewide centralized reporting | Yes |
| 2. How reporting is decentralized | |
| a. Each county or region has its own reporting hotline | Logical Skip |
| b. Some counties or regions have own reporting hotline | Logical Skip |
| c. During some times of the day, counties or regions have their own reporting hotline | Logical Skip |
| d. Other (specify) | Logical Skip |
| 3. Standard for reporting child maltreatment | |
| a. Known abuse and neglect | Yes |
| b. Reasonable cause to believe a child was abused or neglected | Yes |
| 4. Universal mandated reporting | No |
| 5. Required training for mandated reporters | No |
| 6. Penalties for failure to report | Yes, all mandated reporters |
| 7. Specific penalties for failure to report | |
| a. Criminal charges | Yes |
| b. Civil charges | No |
| c. Professional licensure suspended or revoked | No |
| d. Other (specify) | No |
| 8. Penalties for false reporting | Unknown |
| 9. Specific penalties for false reporting | |
| a. Criminal charges | Logical Skip |
| b. Civil charges | Logical Skip |
| c. Professional license suspended or revoked | Logical Skip |
| d. Other (specify) | Logical Skip |
| e. Unknown | Logical Skip |
| 10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect | Yes |
| 11. Information requested at the time of report | |
| a. Identifying information of child | Yes |
| b. Location/contact information of child and family | Yes |
| c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment | Yes |
| d. Date of suspected maltreatment | Yes |
| e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s) | Yes |
| f. Identifying and other information of reporter | Yes |
| g. Identifying information of child's parents, guardian, or caregiver/caretaker | Yes |
| h. Identifying and other information of family/household members | Yes |
| i. Information on prior maltreatment | No |
| j. Other (specify) | No |
| 12. Anonymity of reporter | |
| a. All reporters (including mandated reports) can stay anonymous | No |

Table III.A (continued)

| | Response |
|---|----------|
| b. The general public can be anonymous (mandated reporters cannot remain anonymous) | No |
| c. Reporters (including mandated reporters) cannot be anonymous | Yes |
| d. Unknown | No |
| 13. Tribal involvement in accepting reports of tribal cases | |
| a. Tribes are not involved | Yes |
| b. Tribes accept reports (specify tribes) | No |
| c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency accept reports (specify tribes) | No |
| d. Unknown | No |

Table III.B. Types of mandated reporters

| | Response | Response | Response |
|--|--|--------------------------------|---|
| 1. Types of mandated reporters | Included in state's definition of mandated reporters | Training required ¹ | Subject to penalties for failure to report ² |
| a. Foster parents | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| b. School staff – teachers | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| c. School bus drivers or other transportation staff | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| d. Before/after school program staff | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| e. Child care staff | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| f. Camp counselors, directors, or administrators | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| g. Athletic coaches or staff | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| h. Medical or dental professionals | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| i. Substance abuse disorder treatment providers | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| j. Mental health, counselors, or other social service professionals | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| k. Police or other law enforcement | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| l. Emergency medical technicians, firefighters, or other emergency personnel | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| m. Judges | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| n. District attorneys or other attorneys | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| o. Guardian ad litem or Court-appointed special advocates | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| p. Other court personnel | Yes | Logical Skip | Yes |
| q. Shelter staff | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| r. Those who work in fields processing or monitoring print, film, or computer images | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| s. Religious clergy | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| t. Volunteers | No | Logical Skip | Logical Skip |
| u. Other type of mandated reporter (specify) | Yes - Medical examiners and coroners | Logical Skip | Yes - Medical examiners and coroners |

¹Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no training is required for mandated reporters (Table III.A.5).

Table III.B (*continued*)

Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table III.A.4) and all mandated reporters require training (Table III.A.5).

²Responses in this column can equal logical skip with the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table III.A.6).

Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table III.A.4) and when all adults or all mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table III.A.6)

Domain S: Screening reports of child abuse and neglect

Table IV.A. Screening policies

| | Response |
|--|---|
| 1. Statewide centralized screening | Yes |
| 2. How screening is decentralized | |
| a. Each county or region has its own screening unit | Logical Skip |
| b. Some counties or regions have their own screening units | Logical Skip |
| c. During certain times of the day, counties or regions have their own screening units | Logical Skip |
| d. Other (specify) | Logical Skip |
| 3. Information required to screen in report | |
| a. Identifying information of child | Yes |
| b. Location/contact information of child and family | Yes |
| c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment | Yes |
| d. Date of suspected maltreatment | Yes |
| e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s) | Yes |
| f. Other (specify) | Yes - Child's birthday/age; Names and ages of other persons who live with the child and their relationship to the child if known; Name and address of child's parents or persons responsible for child; Location and time of incident; Whereabouts of perpetrator, child's current location and condition; any other information the reporter believes might be helpful to the investigation of child abuse and neglect |
| g. Unknown | No |

Table IV.B. Screening Decision Process and Activities

| | Response | Required cases | Conditions or types of cases |
|---|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Decision processes used during screening | | | |
| a. Supervisory review | Yes | Required for some | — |
| b. Team-based decision | No | Logical Skip | — |
| c. Individual screener | No | Logical Skip | — |
| d. Other (specify) | No | Logical Skip | — |
| e. Unknown | No | — | — |
| 2. Variability of decision process used for screening | | | |
| a. Consistent statewide | Yes | — | — |
| b. Varies locally | No | — | — |
| c. Unknown | No | — | — |
| 3. Certain activities or information are required as part of screening | | | |
| Yes | | | |
| 4. Activities/information required as part of screening | | | |
| a. Safety or risk assessment | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| b. Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services | No | Logical Skip | Not applicable |
| c. Other (specify) | Yes - Screening interview for immediate safety concerns | Required for all | Not applicable |
| 5. Types of safety/risk assessment used during screening | | | |
| a. Structured Decision Making | No | — | — |
| b. Other (specify) | Yes - Child Safety Assessment tool | — | — |
| 6. Consistency of screening activities/information | | | |
| a. Consistent statewide | Yes | — | — |
| b. Varies locally (specify) | No | — | — |
| c. Unknown | No | — | — |

Table IV.C. Screeners

| | Response |
|--|---|
| 1. Screener of abuse and neglect reports | |
| a. Case workers (frontline staff) | No |
| b. Case managers (supervisors) | No |
| c. Staff in specialized screening unit | Yes |
| d. Other (specify) | No |
| 2. Qualifications of screener | |
| a. Associate's degree | No |
| b. Bachelor's degree | Yes |
| c. Master's degree | No |
| d. Training for screening (specify) | Yes - Core training and on-the-job training |
| e. Years of experience (specify) | No |
| f. Other (specify) | No |
| g. Unknown | No |
| 3. Tribal involvement in screening process of tribal cases | |
| a. Tribes are not involved | No |
| b. Tribes conduct screening (specify tribes) | No |
| c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency (specify tribes) | Yes - Tribes are not specified |
| d. Unknown | No |

Domain I: Investigations of child abuse and neglect

Table V.A. Investigations policies

| | Response |
|---|--|
| 1. Child maltreatment investigations lead to criminal penalties | Yes |
| 2. Investigator for reports | |
| a. Case workers (frontline staff) | Yes |
| b. Case managers (supervisors) | Yes |
| c. Staff in specialized investigations unit | No |
| d. Law enforcement | Yes |
| e. Other (specify) | No |
| 3. Qualifications of investigator | |
| a. Associate's degree | No |
| b. Bachelor's degree | Yes |
| c. Master's degree | Yes |
| d. Training for conducting investigations (specify) | Yes - Core training and on-the-job training |
| e. Years of experience (specify) | Yes - If bachelor's degree, then 2 years of experience needed working directly with children |
| f. Other (specify) | No |
| g. Unknown | No |
| 4. Level of evidence required for substantiation (founded/indicated/confirmed) | |
| a. Preponderance of evidence | Yes |
| b. Credible or substantial evidence | No |
| c. Probable or reasonable cause | No |
| d. Other (specify) | No |

Table V.B. Required activities/information for investigation

| | Response | Required cases | Conditions or types of cases |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Certain activities/information required for the investigation process | Yes | | |
| 2. Specific activities or information required for investigation | | | |
| a. Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| b. Visit to child's home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| c. Interview or observation of child victim | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| d. Interview or observation of other children living in child's home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| e. Risk or safety assessment | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| f. Evaluation of home environment or home study | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| g. Interviews with child's parents, caregivers, or other adults residing in child's home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| h. Check of criminal records for adults in home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| i. Check of child welfare or central registry for prior child maltreatment allegations against adults in home | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| j. Medical evaluation | Yes | Required for some | Sexual abuse |
| k. Mental health evaluation | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| l. Interview alleged perpetrator | Yes | Required for all | Not applicable |
| m. Interview reporter or collateral source | No | Logical Skip | Not applicable |
| n. Other (specify) | No | Logical Skip | Not applicable |

Domain W: Child welfare response

Table VI.A. Differential or alternative response

| | Response |
|--|---|
| 1. Differential/alternative response | |
| a. No | No |
| b. Yes—implemented statewide | Yes |
| c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions (specify) | No |
| d. Unknown | No |
| 2. Types of maltreatment eligible for differential/alternative response | |
| a. All types of maltreatment are eligible | No |
| b. Only certain types of maltreatment are eligible | Yes |
| c. Unknown | No |
| 3. Types of maltreatment <u>not</u> eligible for differential/alternative response | |
| a. Cases involving child fatalities | Yes |
| b. Substance-exposed infants | No |
| c. Physical abuse | Yes |
| d. Sexual abuse | Yes |
| e. Neglect | No |
| f. Abandoned infants | Yes |
| g. Other (specify) | No |
| 4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination | |
| a. No | No |
| b. Yes | Yes |
| c. Other (specify) | No |
| d. Unknown | No |
| 5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response | Unknown |
| 6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response | |
| a. No risk | No |
| b. Low risk | Yes |
| c. Moderate risk | Yes |
| d. Other (specify) | No |
| e. Unknown | No |
| 7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response | |
| a. No immediate safety concerns | Yes |
| b. No prior reports of child abuse or neglect | Yes |
| c. Other (specify) | Yes - Age of alleged victim; Certain (unspecified) relationships between victim and alleged perpetrator |

Table VI.A (continued)

| | Response |
|--|----------|
| 8. When is determination made for differential/alternative response | |
| a. At time of screening to screen-out to differential response | Yes |
| b. After a report is screened-in | Yes |
| c. Other (specify) | No |
| 9. Referrals to community services for cases engaged in differential response | |
| a. No | No |
| b. Yes—for all cases | No |
| c. Yes—when families express interest | Yes |
| d. Yes—when there is a determination of risk | Yes |
| e. Yes—other (specify) | No |

Table VI.B. In-home services and foster care

| | Response |
|---|---|
| 1. In-home services provided for unsubstantiated cases to maintain intact families | |
| a. No | Yes |
| b. Yes—implemented statewide | No |
| c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions | No |
| d. Unknown | No |
| 2. In-home services provided post reunification | |
| a. No | No |
| b. Yes—implemented statewide | Yes |
| c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions | No |
| d. Unknown | No |
| 3. Tribal involvement in foster care for tribal cases | |
| a. Tribes do not provide foster care | No |
| b. Tribes provide foster care (specify tribes) | Yes - Tribes are not specified |
| c. Unknown | No |
| 4. Foster care extension for those older than 18 years | Yes |
| 5. Age youth are allowed to remain in extended foster care | |
| a. Age 21 | Yes |
| b. Other (specify) | No |
| 6. Foster care case management staff | |
| a. State/county public agency staff | Yes |
| b. Contracted provider staff | No |
| c. Tribal agency staff | No |
| d. Unknown | No |
| 7. Qualifications of foster care case managers | |
| a. Associate's degree | No |
| b. Bachelor's degree | Yes |
| c. Master's degree | No |
| d. Training for case management (specify) | Yes - Training information is not specified |
| e. Years of experience (specify) | Yes - Number of years is not specified |
| f. Other (specify) | No |
| g. Unknown | No |

Table VI.C. Permanency

| | Response |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. Kinship guardianship as a permanency option | Yes |
| 2. Subsidized guardianship | Yes |
| 3. Subsidized kinship guardianship | Yes |
| 4. Subsidized adoption | Yes |

Domain C: Child welfare system context

Table VII.A. Child welfare system context

| | Response |
|--|--|
| 1. State or county-administered child welfare system | |
| a. State-administered | Yes |
| b. County-administered | No |
| c. Hybrid (partially administered by the state and partially administered by the counties) | No |
| d. Unknown | No |
| 2. State operates under legal consent decree or other court-ordered monitoring | Yes - Jennifer Felix vs. Cayetano Revised Consent Decree |

Supplemental Notes on State

Reporting

Any person who is not otherwise required to report pursuant to section 350-1.1, and who becomes aware of facts or circumstances that cause that person to have reason to believe that child abuse or neglect has occurred, or that there exists a substantial risk that child abuse or neglect could occur in the reasonably foreseeable future, may immediately report the matter orally to the department or to the police department.

Child welfare response

Young adults with medical conditions can continue to receive extended foster care services, including board payment, until age 21 without case management and court involvement. All youth can continue to receive foster care services until they graduate high school or until age 19, whichever comes first.

If a report is unsubstantiated, the worker shall terminate child welfare services unless the family requests services or unless there is an active case.

State Statutes and Policy Documentation Sources

Child Abuse, Haw. Rev. Stat. § 20-350 (2019).

Child Protective Act, Haw. Rev. Stat. § 31-587A (2019).

Disposition of Convicted Defendants, Haw. Rev. Stat. § 37-706 (2019).

Hawaii Department of Human Services. (2015). *Mandated reporter checklist for suspected child abuse and neglect*. Retrieved May 24, 2019.

Hawaii Department of Human Services. (2018). *A guide for mandated reporters: Child welfare services*. Retrieved May 14, 2019, from <https://humanservices.hawaii.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/GUIDE-FOR-MANDATED-REPORTERS-Rev.-6-28-18-1.pdf>

Hawaii Department of Human Services. (2019). *Child welfare services procedures manual*. Retrieved May 17, 2019, from <https://shaka.dhshawaii.net/greenbook/publishing12/index.html>

Hawaii Department of Human Services. (2019). *Mandated reporter checklist for suspected child abuse and neglect*. Retrieved July 7, 2019, https://humanservices.hawaii.gov/ssd/files/2019/03/MANDATED-REPORTER-CHILD-ABUSE-NEGLECT-CHECKLIST_.doc

Offenses Against Public Health and Morals, Haw. Rev. Stat. § 37-712 (2019).

Offenses Against the Person, Haw. Rev. Stat. § 37-707 (2019).