

Overview of the SCAN Policies Database

The State Child Abuse and Neglect (SCAN) Policies Database compiles data on the definitions and policies that states use in their surveillance of child maltreatment, along with data on associated risk and protective factors. The SCAN Policies Database is funded by the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation in collaboration with the Children's Bureau in the Administration for Children and Families at the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The project team is led by Mathematica in partnership with Child Trends.

The project's purpose is to review and compile information from states' definitions and policies, to create a database of those definitions and policies (the SCAN Policies Database) that can be used for analysis. The database is a resource for researchers, analysts, and others who are interested in examining differences between states in their definitions and policies on child maltreatment.

Content

The scope of the SCAN Policies Database includes information about state definitions and policies related to child abuse and neglect for all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. This information represents data collected, reviewed, and verified between May 2019 and July 2020. The data reflect the state definitions and policies for the calendar year 2019. The scope of topics in the SCAN Policies Database includes states' definitions of child abuse and neglect as well as information about policies related to reporting, screening, and investigating child maltreatment. Key aspects of the child welfare systems' responseand context are also included.

The content in the database is organized into six domains. The state profiles, codebook, data collection protocol, and data file are also organized by these domains. In the protocol, each question is named with a prefix that identifies its associated domain. The six domains are listed below, with the identifying protocol number prefix.

Domain	Question prefix
Definitions	D
Reporting	R
Screening	S
Investigation	1
Child welfare response	W
Child welfare system context	С

State Profile

This SCAN Policies Database state profile serves as a summary of the information collected about the definitions and policies for the identified state. Each profile is organized by topical domains, which contain a set of tables that depict the state's information for each variable within that domain.

2019

This information was gathered through a document collection, review, and coding process conducted by the SCAN Policies Database team. Input from states on data collection was obtained through a confirmation and verification process. More information about the SCAN Policies Database data collection procedures can be found in the data user's guide and data collection protocol which are accessible from the Data Use Resources page https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources.

The state profile presents responses for each variable with "yes," "no," "unknown," or other response as appropriate. The response of "unknown" was used for topics that could not be located from the state's available resources or verified with the state. In some cases, "logical skip" was used when a question was not applicable to a particular state given a related response on a preceding question.

Data use resources

Several data use resources are available to support users of the SCAN Policies Database:

- Data user's guide: The guide has detailed information about the data set, including the
 process used to collect and review the data, the scope of information included in the data
 set, guidance on using the data, such as how to link the data with other data sources; and
 notes about specific topics. This data user's guide also has two appendices. Appendix A
 provides a glossary of key terms. Appendix B summarizes the decisions made on the scope
 and variables to include in the SCAN Policies Database after a data quality assessment.
- Codebook: The codebook provides information about each variable in the data set, including variable names, labels, definitions, protocol number, variable type, and frequencies. The codebook has two appendices. Appendix A contains supplemental notes that are important for accurately interpreting and using the data. Appendix B is a comprehensive list of all state statutes and policy documentation sources used to collect data for the SCAN Policies Database for each state, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.
- **Data collection protocol:** The protocol has the questions used to collect information about states' statutes and policies as part of the data review and coding process. Appendix A provides a glossary of key terms.

These data use resources can be found on the SCAN Policies Database website (https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com/data-use-resources) or from National Data Archive for Child Abuse and Neglect (NDACAN) (https://www.ndacan.acf.hhs.gov/).

More Information

More information about the SCAN Policies Database can be found at https://www.scanpoliciesdatabase.com. General inquiries can be submitted to SCANPoliciesDatabase@mathematica-mpr.com.

State Identifying Information

Table I.A. State identifying information

	Response
a. State abbreviation	FL
b. State Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) code	12
c. Census region code	South
d. State verified coding of information	Yes
e. State confirmed documents reviewed	Yes
f. State definitions and policies for calendar year	2019

2019

Domain D: Definitions of child maltreatment

Table II.A. State's definition of child maltreatment

Table II.A. State's definition of child maitreatment				
Response				
Types of maltreatment included in state definition				
a. Physical abuse	Yes			
b. Excessive corporal punishment	Yes			
c. Sexual abuse	Yes			
d. Emotional maltreatment	Yes			
e. Neglect	Yes			
f. Inadequate clothing	Yes			
g. Inadequate shelter	Yes			
h. Malnourishment, inadequate food	Yes			
i. Medical neglect, inadequate medical care	Yes			
j. Failure-to-thrive	Yes			
k. Educational neglect	No			
I. Abandonment	Yes			
m. Injurious environment. Likelihood of harm to on health, physical well-being	child's Yes			
n. Drug Lab. Child present within structure wher methamphetamine is being created	e Yes			
o. Inadequate supervision. Failure to meet parer caretaker responsibilities	nt or Yes			
p. Drug or alcohol misuse. Parental drug or alco misuse causing harm to child	hol Yes			
q. Prenatal exposure to drugs or alcohol	Yes			
r. Illicit substance. Illegally providing a controlled substance to a child	d Yes			
s. Human trafficking, involuntary servitude, sexu servitude	ıal Yes			
t. Female genital mutilation	No			
u. Shaken baby syndrome, abusive head trauma	a Yes			
v. Failure to protect. Failure to protect from harn	n Yes			
w. Domestic violence. Exposure to domestic viol	ence Yes			
x. Factitious disorder by proxy	Yes			
y. Institutional abuse/neglect	Yes			
z. Other definition (specify)	Yes - Makes the child unavailable for an investigation (except when fleeing domestic violence)			
Subtypes of maltreatment included in state definition	ate			
a. Subtypes of maltreatment considered abuse	Physical injury includes shaken baby syndrome			
b. Subtypes of maltreatment considered neglect	Neglect includes inadequate clothing, inadequate food, inadequate shelter, inadequate supervision			

2019

Table II.A (continued)

		Response
	Subtypes of maltreatment considered other than abuse or neglect	The statute defines 'harm to a child' as the following categories: (1) physical, mental, or emotional injury, (2) sexual battery, (3) sexual exploitation, (4) exploitation, (5) abandonment, (6) neglect, inadequate supervision, (7) exposure to a controlled substance, (8) isolation, (9) violent behavior, (10) negligence, (11) allowing a sibling to die from neglect, or (12) making the child unavailable for a CPS investigation; Environmental hazards (injurious environment) includes inadequate clothing, inadequate food, inadequate shelter, and exposure to a drug lab
3.	Level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Inflicts harm	Yes
b.	Imminent danger or substantial risk of harm	Yes
4.	Differences in level of harm included in state's definition of child maltreatment by type of maltreatment	No
5.	Type of harm or injury specified in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Death, bodily injury, impairment of physical condition	Yes
b.	Impairment of mental or emotional condition	Yes
C.	Harmful environment, conditions	Yes
d.	Type of harm or injury not specified	No
e.	Other (specify)	No
6.	Variation in extent of injury or harm by maltreatment type in the state's definition of child maltreatment	No
7.	Perpetrator identified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	Yes
8.	Types of perpetrators specified as part of state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Any adult	Yes
b.	Parent	No
C.	Guardian	No
d.	Caregiver/caretaker	No
e.	Family member/parent paramour	No
f.	Household member	No
g.	Person responsible for child	No
h.	Other (specify)	No

2019

Table II.A (continued)

	Response		
Types of perpetrators vary by type of maltreatment	No		
Explanation of variation in types of perpetrator by maltreatment type	Not applicable		
11. Child age included in definition of child maltreatment	Yes		
12. Specific child age in definition of child maltreatment	Under age 18 with variability under 18		
13. Variability of child age by type of maltreatment	Yes - Person is considered a child only if under 18 and not married and has not been emancipated by court order; Human Trafficking for labor varies given child labor laws since children under 14 may not be employed, children between 14 and 15 can work but must be within adult supervisor's eyesight, and children under 16 may not work more than 15 hours per week during school session		

profile: Florida 2019

Table II.B. Child maltreatment definition exemptions

		Response:
		Yes/No/Unknown
1.	Exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Financial issues, financial inability to provide for a child	Yes
b.	Discipline, physical discipline as long as it is reasonable and causes no bodily injury to the child	Yes
C.	Safe haven exemption, newborn relinquished or abandoned in accordance with infant safe haven laws	Yes
d.	Infant testing positive drugs – medical, newborn with positive test for controlled substance as a result of parent's medical treatment	Yes
e.	Religious observance, parent relies on spiritual or religious forms of medical treatment	Yes
f.	Other exemption (specify)	No
2.	Safe haven exemption included in state's definition of child maltreatment	
a.	Must leave a child at specific safe haven locations	Yes
b.	Child must be left by parent or parent's agent	Yes
C.	Child must be left by a certain age (specify)	Yes - 7 days old or younger
d.	No intent to return	Yes
e.	Child must be left unharmed	Yes
f.	Other (specify)	No

Domain R: Reporting child abuse and neglect

Table III.A. Reporting policies

The Shares		
	Response	
1. Statewide centralized reporting	Yes	
2. How reporting is decentralized		
a. Each county or region has its own reporting hotline	Logical Skip	
b. Some counties or regions have own reporting hotline	Logical Skip	
c. During some times of the day, counties or regions have their own reporting hotline	Logical Skip	
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip	
3. Standard for reporting child maltreatment		
a. Known abuse and neglect	Yes	
b. Reasonable cause to believe a child was abused or neglected	Yes	
4. Universal mandated reporting	Yes	
5. Required training for mandated reporters	No	
6. Penalties for failure to report	Yes, all adults	
7. Specific penalties for failure to report		
a. Criminal charges	Yes	
b. Civil charges	Yes	
c. Professional licensure suspended or revoked	No	
d. Other (specify)	No	
8. Penalties for false reporting	Yes	
9. Specific penalties for false reporting		
a. Criminal charges	Yes	
b. Civil charges	Yes	
c. Professional license suspended or revoked	No	
d. Other (specify)	No	
e. Unknown	No	
10. Immunity for reporters of child abuse and neglect	Yes	
11. Information requested at the time of report		
a. Identifying information of child	Yes	
b. Location/contact information of child and family	Yes	
c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment	Yes	
d. Date of suspected maltreatment	Yes	
e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s)	Yes	
f. Identifying and other information of reporter	Yes	
g. Identifying information of child's parents, guardian, or caregiver/caretaker	No	
h. Identifying and other information of family/household members	Yes	
i. Information on prior maltreatment	Yes	
j. Other (specify)	Yes - Names and contact info for any person who can provide assistance to the child	

2019

Table III.A (continued)

	Response
12. Anonymity of reporter	
a. All reporters (including mandated reports) can stay anonymous	No
b. The general public can be anonymous (mandated reporters cannot remain anonymous)	Yes
c. Reporters (including mandated reporters) cannot be anonymous	No
d. Unknown	No
13. Tribal involvement in accepting reports of tribal cases	
a. Tribes are not involved	Yes
b. Tribes accept reports (specify tribes)	No
c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency accept reports (specify tribes)	No
d. Unknown	No

Table III.B. Types of mandated reporters

	Response	Response	Response
1. Types of mandated reporters	Included in state's definition of mandated reporters	Training required ¹	Subject to penalties for failure to report ²
a. Foster parents	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
b. School staff – teachers	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
c. School bus drivers or other transportation staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
d. Before/after school program staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
e. Child care staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
f. Camp counselors, directors, or administrators	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
g. Athletic coaches or staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
h. Medical or dental professionals	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
i. Substance abuse disorder treatment providers	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
j. Mental health, counselors, or other social service professionals	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
k. Police or other law enforcement	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
l. Emergency medical technicians, firefighters, or other emergency personnel	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
m. Judges	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
n. District attorneys or other attorneys	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
o. Guardian ad litems or Court-appointed special advocates	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
p. Other court personnel	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
q. Shelter staff	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
r. Those who work in fields processing or monitoring print, film, or computer mages	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
s. Religious clergy	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
t. Volunteers	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes
u. Other type of mandated reporter (specify)	Yes	Logical Skip	Yes - Not specified

¹Responses in this column can equal logical skip when the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no training is required for mandated reporters (Table III.A.5). Responses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table III.A.4) and all mandated reporters require training (Table III.A.5).

e profile: Florida 2019

Table III.B (continued)

²Responses in this column can equal logical skip with the given type of mandated reporter is not included in the state's definition of mandated reporters or when no mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table III.A.6). Reponses in this column can be yes when a state has universal mandated reporting (Table III.A.4) and when all adults or all mandated reporters are subject to penalties (Table III.A.6)

profile: Florida 2019

Domain S: Screening reports of child abuse and neglect

Table IV.A. Screening policies

	D
	Response
1. Statewide centralized screening	Yes
2. How screening is decentralized	
a. Each county or region has its own screening unit	Logical Skip
b. Some counties or regions have their own screening units	Logical Skip
c. During certain times of the day, counties or regions have their own screening units	Logical Skip
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
3. Information required to screen in report	
a. Identifying information of child	Yes
b. Location/contact information of child and family	Yes
c. Type/severity of suspected maltreatment	Yes
d. Date of suspected maltreatment	Yes
e. Identifying and other information of alleged perpetrator(s)	Yes
f. Other (specify)	Yes - Names and contact information for any person who can provide assistance to the child or additional info about the family's circumstances; Whether the incident is chronic/ongoing situation; Any known history of abuse, neglect or abandonment; Whether alleged perpetrator has access to the victim; Current condition of the child; Other children in the environment; Name and occupation of the reporter; Relationship between child and reporter; Contact information for the reporter
g. Unknown	No

2019

Table IV.B. Screening Decision Process and Activities

	Response	Required cases	Conditions or types of cases
1. Decision processes used during screening	Посропос	rtoquirou oucoo	types or succe
a. Supervisory review	No	Logical Skip	_
b. Team-based decision	No	Logical Skip	_
c. Individual screener	Yes	Required for all	_
d. Other (specify)	No	Logical Skip	_
e. Unknown	No	_	_
2. Variability of decision process used for screening			
a. Consistent statewide	Yes	_	_
b. Varies locally	No	_	_
c. Unknown	No	_	_
3. Certain activities or information are required as part of screening	Yes		
4. Activities/information required as part of screening			
a. Safety or risk assessment	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable
b. Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
c. Other (specify)	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable
5. Types of safety/risk assessment used during screening			
a. Structured Decision Making	Logical Skip	_	_
b. Other (specify)	Logical Skip	_	_
6. Consistency of screening activities/information			
a. Consistent statewide	Yes	_	_
b. Varies locally (specify)	No	_	_
c. Unknown	No	_	_

2019

Table IV.C. Screeners

	Barrana	
	Response	
1. Screener of abuse and neglect reports		
a. Case workers (frontline staff)	Yes	
b. Case managers (supervisors)	No	
c. Staff in specialized screening unit	No	
d. Other (specify)	No	
2. Qualifications of screener		
a. Associate's degree	No	
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes	
c. Master's degree	No	
d. Training for screening (specify)	Yes - Periodic training in encouraging reporters to provide their names when reporting abuse, abandonment, or neglect	
e. Years of experience (specify)	No	
f. Other (specify)	No	
g. Unknown	No	
3. Tribal involvement in screening process of tribal cases		
a. Tribes are not involved	Yes	
b. Tribes conduct screening (specify tribes)	No	
c. Collaboration of tribes with state/local public child welfare agency (specify tribes)	No	
d. Unknown	No	

profile: Florida 2019

Domain I: Investigations of child abuse and neglect

Table V.A. Investigations policies

	Response
1. Child maltreatment investigations lead to criminal penalties	Yes
2. Investigator for reports	
a. Case workers (frontline staff)	Yes
b. Case managers (supervisors)	No
c. Staff in specialized investigations unit	No
d. Law enforcement	Yes
e. Other (specify)	No
3. Qualifications of investigator	
a. Associate's degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes
c. Master's degree	No
d. Training for conducting investigations (specify)	Yes - Requires Florida Certification as a Child Protective Investigator
e. Years of experience (specify)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No
4. Level of evidence required for substantiation (founded/indicated/confirmed)	
a. Preponderance of evidence	Yes
b. Credible or substantial evidence	No
c. Probable or reasonable cause	No
d. Other (specify)	No

e profile: Florida 2019

Table V.B. Required activities/information for investigation

	_		Conditions or types of
	Response	Required cases	cases
1. Certain activities/information required for the investigation process	Yes		
2. Specific activities or information required for investigation			
a. Review agency records for prior involvement with child protective services	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
b. Visit to child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
c. Interview or observation of child victim	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
d. Interview or observation of other children living in child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
e. Risk or safety assessment	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
f. Evaluation of home environment or home study	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
g. Interviews with child's parents, caregivers, or other adults residing in child's home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
h. Check of criminal records for adults in home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
i. Check of child welfare or central registry for prior child maltreatment allegations against adults in home	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
j. Medical evaluation	Yes	Required for all	Not applicable
k. Mental health evaluation	Yes	Required for some	Based on a review of available information, including the allegations in the current report, a determination shall be made as to whether immediate consultation should occur with law enforcement, the Child Protection Team, a domestic violence shelter or advocate, or a substance abuse or mental health professional
I. Interview alleged perpetrator	Yes	Required for some	If alleged perpetrator is a parent/caregiver
m. Interview reporter or collateral source	No	Logical Skip	Not applicable

2019

Table V.B (continued)

	Response	Required cases	Conditions or types of cases
n. Other (specify)	Yes - Information is gathered on the parent's or caregiver's overall functioning, parenting style and disciplinary and behavior management practices; If during the course of the investigation it is determined that there is a need to remove physical evidence from the home, other than taking a child into protective custody, the investigator shall request local law enforcement to initiate a criminal investigation	Required for all	Not applicable

2019

Domain W: Child welfare response

Table VI.A. Differential or alternative response

Table VI.A. Differential or alternative response	P
1. Differential/alternative response	Response
a. No	Yes
	No
b. Yes—implemented statewide	
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions (specify)	No
d. Unknown	No
2. Types of maltreatment eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. All types of maltreatment are eligible	Logical Skip
b. Only certain types of maltreatment are eligible	Logical Skip
c. Unknown	Logical Skip
3. Types of maltreatment <u>not</u> eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. Cases involving child fatalities	Logical Skip
b. Substance-exposed infants	Logical Skip
c. Physical abuse	Logical Skip
d. Sexual abuse	Logical Skip
e. Neglect	Logical Skip
f. Abandoned infants	Logical Skip
g. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
4. Eligibility for differential/alternative response determined by a risk determination	
a. No	Logical Skip
b. Yes	Logical Skip
c. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
d. Unknown	Logical Skip
5. Tools used to determine risk for differential/alternative response	Logical Skip
6. Risk level eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. No risk	Logical Skip
b. Low risk	Logical Skip
c. Moderate risk	Logical Skip
d. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
e. Unknown	Logical Skip
7. Other types of cases or conditions eligible for differential/alternative response	
a. No immediate safety concerns	Logical Skip
b. No prior reports of child abuse or neglect	Logical Skip
c. Other (specify)	Logical Skip

2019

Table VI.A (continued)

	Response
8. When is determination made for differential/alternative response	
a. At time of screening to screen-out to differential response	Logical Skip
b. After a report is screened-in	Logical Skip
c. Other (specify)	Logical Skip
9. Referrals to community services for cases engaged in differential response	
a. No	Logical Skip
b. Yes—for all cases	Logical Skip
c. Yes—when families express interest	Logical Skip
d. Yes—when there is a determination of risk	Logical Skip
e. Yes—other (specify)	Logical Skip

2019

Table VI.B. In-home services and foster care

	Response
In-home services provided for unsubstantiated cases to maintain intact families	
a. No	No
b. Yes—implemented statewide	Yes
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions	No
d. Unknown	No
2. In-home services provided post reunification	
a. No	No
b. Yes—implemented statewide	Yes
c. Yes—implemented in specific counties or regions	No
d. Unknown	No
3. Tribal involvement in foster care for tribal cases	
a. Tribes do not provide foster care	Yes
b. Tribes provide foster care (specify tribes)	No
c. Unknown	No
4. Foster care extension for those older than 18 years	Yes
5. Age youth are allowed to remain in extended foster care	
a. Age 21	Yes
b. Other (specify)	No
6. Foster care case management staff	
a. State/county public agency staff	Yes
b. Contracted provider staff	No
c. Tribal agency staff	No
d. Unknown	No
7. Qualifications of foster care case managers	
a. Associate's degree	No
b. Bachelor's degree	Yes
c. Master's degree	No
d. Training for case management (specify)	Yes - Requires Florida Certification as a Case Manager
e. Years of experience (specify)	No
f. Other (specify)	No
g. Unknown	No

2019

Table VI.C. Permanency

	Response
1. Kinship guardianship as a permanency option	Yes
2. Subsidized guardianship	Yes
3. Subsidized kinship guardianship	Yes
4. Subsidized adoption	Yes

2019

Domain C: Child welfare system context

Table VII.A. Child welfare system context

	Response
1. State or county-administered child welfare system	
a. State-administered	Yes
b. County-administered	No
c. Hybrid (partially administered by the state and partially administered by the counties)	No
d. Unknown	No
2. State operates under legal consent decree or other court-ordered monitoring	Yes - H.G. vs. Carroll

2019

Supplemental Notes on State

Definitions

State classifies corporal punishment as part of bizarre punishment and/or physical injury.

State classifies emotional maltreatment as mental injury.

State classifies injurious environment as environmental hazards within which inadequate food, clothing, and shelter are defined.

State classifies malnourishment as inadequate food within the definition of environmental hazards.

State classifies drug lab within the definition of environmental hazards.

State classifies illegal substance as illegally providing a controlled substance to a child as substance misuse.

State classifies exposure to domestic violence within household violence and intimate partner violence.

State Statutes and Policy Documentation Sources

Child Welfare Training Consortium at the University of South Florida. (2012). *Child welfare pre*service training protective investigations overview: Participant guide. Retrieved June 4, 2019, from

http://centerforchildwelfare.fmhi.usf.edu/preservice/participantguides/ProtectiveInvestigation sOverview-ParticipantGuideJuly12.pdf

Florida Administrative Code, & Florida Administrative Register. (n.d.). *Rule Chapter 65C-28: Out-of-home care*. Retrieved August 14, 2019, from https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ChapterHome.asp?Chapter=65C-28

Florida Administrative Code, & Florida Administrative Register. (n.d.). *Rule Chapter 65C-29: Protective investigations*. Retrieved August 14, 2019, from https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ChapterHome.asp?Chapter=65C-29

Florida Administrative Code, & Florida Administrative Register. (n.d.). *Rule Chapter 65C-30: General child welfare provisions*. Retrieved August 15, 2019, from https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ChapterHome.asp?Chapter=65C-30

Health and Human Services: Miscellaneous Provisions, Fla. Code Ann. § 402 (2019).

Kidnapping; Custody Offenses; Human Trafficking; and Related Offenses, Fla. Code Ann. §§ 787.01–787.29 (2019).

Maternal and Infant Health Care, Fla. Code Ann. §§ 383.011–383.51 (2019).

Personnel, Fla. Code Ann. § 65C-15.017 (2017). Retrieved March 14, 2020, from https://www.flrules.org/gateway/RuleNo.asp?title=CHILD-PLACING%20AGENCIES&ID=65C-15.017

Proceedings Relating to Children, Fla. Code Ann. §§ 39.001–39.8298 (2019).

Sentence, Fla. Code Ann. § 921 (2019).

State of Florida Department of Children and Families. (2001). Administrative fines and other penalties for false reporting of abuse, neglect and abandonment (CF Operating Procedure No. 175-19). Retrieved August 14, 2019, from http://centerforchildwelfare.org/kb/DCF_Pol/Family%20Safety%20CFOP's/ADMINISTRATIV E%20FINES%20AND%20OTHER%20PENALTIES%20FOR%20FALSE%20REPORTING% 20OF%20ABUSE,%20NEGLECT%20AND%20ABANDONMENT%20CFOP%20175-19.htm

State of Florida Department of Children and Families. (2013). *Intakes and response to foster care referrals and investigations involving a foster parent* (CF Operating Procedure No. 175-12). Retrieved June 4, 2019, from

https://www.myflfamilies.com/admin/publications/cfops/CFOP%20175-xx%20Family%20Safety/CFOP%20175-

12,% 20 Intakes % 20 and % 20 Response % 20 To % 20 Foster % 20 Care % 20 Referrals % 20 and % 20 Investigations % 20 Involving % 20 a % 20 Foster % 20 Parent.pdf

State of Florida Department of Children and Families. (2013). *Reports and services involving American Indian children*. Retrieved June 4, 2019, from https://www.myflfamilies.com/admin/publications/cfops/CFOP%20175-xx%20Family%20Safety/CFOP%20175-

36,%20Reports%20and%20Services%20Involving%20American%20Indian%20Children.pdf

2019

- State of Florida Department of Children and Families. (2018). *Child maltreatment index* (CF Operating Procedure No. 170-4). Retrieved June 4, 2019, from https://www.myflfamilies.com/admin/publications/cfops/CFOP%20170-xx%20Child%20Welfare/CFOP%20170-04,%20Child%20Maltreatment%20Index.pdf
- State of Florida Department of Children and Families. (2018). *Implement reunification and post-placement supervision* (CF Operating Procedure No. 170-7). Retrieved June 4, 2019, from http://centerforchildwelfare.org/kb/DCF_Pol/CFOP_170/CFOP170_7-Ch12.pdf
- State of Florida Department of Children and Families. (2019). *Child protective investigations* (CF Operating Procedure No. 170-5). Retrieved June 3, 2019, from https://www.myflfamilies.com/admin/publications/cfops/CFOP%20170-xx%20Child%20Welfare/CFOP%20170-05%20%20Child%20Protective%20Investigations/CFOP%20170-05,%20%20%20Child%20Protective%20Investigations.pdf
- State of Florida Department of Children and Families. (2019). Florida's child welfare practice model (CF Operating Procedure No. 170-1). Retrieved June 3, 2019, from https://www.myflfamilies.com/admin/publications/cfops/CFOP%20170-xx%20Child%20Welfare/CFOP%20170-01%20%20Florida%20Child%20Welfare%20Practice%20Model/CFOP%20170-01,%20%20%20%20Florida%20Child%20Welfare%20Practice%20Model.pdf